

IN THE NAME OF GOD

**THE ZARISKI TOPOLOGY ON THE PRIME
SPECTRUM OF A MODULE**

BY:

MOHAMMAD BAZYAR

THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE OFFICE VICE-CHANCELLOR FOR GRADUATE
STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.S.)

IN

PURE MATHEMATICS

SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY

SHIRAZ, IRAN

EVALUATED AND APPROVED BY THE THESIS COMMITTEE AS: EXCELLENT

.....*H. Sharif*..... SHARIF, H., Ph.D., PROF. OF MATH.
(CHAIRMAN)
.....*M. Ershad*..... ERSHAD, M., Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF.
OF MATH.
.....*M. Hakim-Hashemi*..... HAKIM-HASHEMI, M., Ph.D., ASSISTANT
PROF. OF MATH.

NOVEMBER 2001

۷۹۴۷

dedicated:

To my dear parents

and

To whom I Love

۲۹۳۱۷

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I pay tribute to Dr. Sharif and Dr. Ershad for their help while this dissertation was being written.

Thanks are also due to Miss Nikmanesh and all staffs of the Mathematics Department of Shiraz University.

ABSTRACT

THE ZARISKI TOPOLOGY ON THE PRIME SPECTRUM OF A MODULE

BY:

MOHAMMAD BAZIAR

Let M be a module over a commutative ring R . A submodule K of M is called prime if $K \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ satisfy $rm \in K$ then $r \in (K : M)$ or $m \in M$, where $(K : M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq K\}$. Clearly this is a generalization of the notion of prime ideals of rings.

The prime spectrum $\text{spec}(M)$ of M is the collection of all prime submodules. We topologize $\text{spec}(M)$ with the Zariski topology, which is analogous to that on $\text{spec}(R)$. Then define continuous map ψ from $\text{spec}(M)$ to $\text{spec}(\bar{R})$ (where $\bar{R} = \frac{R}{\text{Ann}(M)}$) find condition that ψ is surjective, open, closed, injective and homeomorphic.

We find base for Zariski topolog on $\text{spec}(M)$, and prove this base and $\text{spec}(M)$ are quasi-compact.

We find subsets, Y of $\text{spec}(M)$ that are irreducible, irreducible closed and generic point for $\text{spec}(M)$ and every irreducible closed subset of $\text{spec}(M)$.

We prove that $\text{spec}(M)$ is T_0 -space iff is injective and find condition under which $\text{spec}(M)$ be a T_1 and spectral space.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CONTENT	PAGE
CHAPTER I: Introduction	1
1.1. The Scope of the Dissertation	2
1.2. Prime Submodules	4
1.3. Zariski Topology on $\text{spec}(M)$	9
CHAPTER II: About $\text{spec}(M)$	24
2.1. Relating $\text{spec}(M)$ and $\text{spec}(\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(R)})$	24
2.2. A Base for the Zariski Topology on X	32
CHAPTER III: Irreducible Closed Subset of $\text{spec}(M)$	36
CHAPTER IV: $\text{spec}(M)$ as Spectral Space	46
REFERENCES	54
ABSTRACT AND TITLE PAGE IN PERSIAN	

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

0. Literature Survey

M. Hochster has characterized spectral spaces as quasi-compact T_0 -spaces W such that W has a quasi-compact open base closed under finite intersections and each irreducible closed subset of W has a generic point. We follow the Hochster's characterization closely in discussing whether $\text{spec}(M)$ of a module M is a spectral space. [6]

In 1984 Chin-pi-Lu wrote a paper about prime submodules of modules. The purpose of this paper is to introduce interesting and useful properties of prime submodules of modules and show various applications of the properties. [9]

In 1988 Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith discussed the multiplication modules. [5]

In 1989 C. P. Lu discussed M -radicals of submodules, the M -radical of a submodule N in a module M over a ring R is defined as the intersection of all prime submodules. [10]

In 1992 J. Jenkins and P. F. Smith discussed, the prime radical of a module over a commutative ring. In this paper they proved that for every

Dedekind domain R , an R -module M , the radical of M has a certain form. [8]

In 1992 R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore discussed about prime submodules. According to this paper in many cases the conclusions about finitely generated modules over a PID are shown to be valid for modules including infinitely generated ones, over an arbitrary integral domain. [12]

In 1993 R. L. McCasland and P. F. Smith discussed prime submodules of Noetherian modules. [13]

In 1994 T. Duraviel discussed a topology on spectrum of modules, that he defines a topology on spectrum of R -modules by means of its prime submodules and proves some results which are already known for $\text{spec}(R)$. And defines absolutely flat R -modules which is a generalization of an absolutely flat ring and prove some related results. [4]

In 1995 C. P. Lu discussed spectra of modules. The spectra of modules are introduced and a useful relationship between $\text{spec}(M)$ and $\text{spec}(M_s)$ are gained. [11]

In 1997 R. L. McCasland, M. E. Moore and P. F. Smith discussed on the spectrum of a module over a commutative ring. This paper investigates when the spectrum of M consisting of all prime submodules of M has a Zariski topology analogous to that for R . For finitely generated modules M this occurs if and only if M is a multiplication module. [14]

1. The Scope of the Dissertation

Throughout this dissertation, all rings R are commutative with identity and

all modules are assumed to be unitary.

Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module. A submodule K of M is called prime if $K \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ satisfy $rm \in K$ then $r \in (K : M)$ or $m \in K$, where

$$(K : M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq K\}.$$

For any module M over a commutative ring R with identity the prime spectrum $\text{spec}(M)$ of M is the collection of all prime submodules. In section 2 of this chapter we study some properties of prime submodules of a module. Then in section 3 we define the Zariski topology on $\text{spec}(R)$ and extend this notion to modules and define Zariski topology and quasi-Zariski topology on $\text{spec}(M)$, then we study some relationship between $\text{spec}(M) = X$ and $\text{spec}\left(\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(M)}\right) = X^{\bar{R}}$.

In chapter II we define the natural map $\psi : \text{spec}(M) \longrightarrow \text{spec}\left(\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(M)}\right)$ by $\psi(P) = \overline{(P : M)}$ and find conditions under which ψ is injective, surjective, open, closed, or homeomorphic. We also consider some relationship between X and $X^{\bar{R}}$ with respect to connectedness. In section 2 of this chapter we introduce quasi-compact base for $\text{spec}(M)$.

In chapter III we find subsets, Y of $X = \text{spec}(M)$ that are closed, irreducible, and condition under which Y is irreducible closed subset of X , then we find generic point for $\text{spec}(M)$ and every irreducible closed subset of $\text{spec}(M)$.

M. Hochster [6] has characterized spectral spaces as quasi-compact T_0 -spaces W such that W has a quasi-compact open base closed under finite intersections and each irreducible subset of W has a generic point. In chap-

ter IV we follow the Hochster's characterization closed in discussing whether $\text{spec}(M)$ of a module M is a spectral space. The injectivity and the surjectivity of the natural map ψ of X have important roles for X being spectral. We prove that X is T_0 -space iff ψ is injective iff X has at most one p -prime submodule for every $p \in \text{spec}(R)$. We show that if M is a finitely generated nonzero R -module, then X is a spectral space iff M is a multiplication module iff X is homeomorphic to $\text{spec}(\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(M)})$ iff ψ is injective. We also consider the following two cases:

- a) The image of ψ is a closed subset of $\text{spec}(\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(M)})$, and
- b) X is a non-empty finite set.

For each of these cases, we prove that X is a spectral space iff ψ is injective.

2. Prime Submodules

Let M be an R -module. For any submodule N of M we denote the annihilator of $\frac{M}{N}$ by $(N : M)$, i.e.

$$(N : M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}.$$

Definition 1.2.1. Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module. A submodule K of M is called prime if $K \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ satisfy $rm \in K$ then $r \in (K : M)$ or $m \in K$.

Clearly, any prime ideal of R is a prime R -submodule of the R -module R .

Example 1.2.2. The torsion submodule $T(M)$ of M over an integral domain

is a prime submodule if $T(M) \neq M$ because if $rm \in T(M)$ for some $0 \neq r \in R$ and some $m \in M$, then there exists $0 \neq r' \in R$ such that $r'rm = 0$. Since R is a domain, $rr' \neq 0$ and so $m \in T(M)$. Clearly, if $r = 0$ then $r \in (T(M) : M)$.

Lemma 1.2.3. A submodule K of an R -module M is prime if and only if $P = (K : M)$ is a prime ideal of R and the $(\frac{R}{P})$ -module $\frac{M}{K}$ is torsion free.

Proof. Let K be a prime submodule of M . Also suppose that $rr' \in P$ and $r \notin P$ for some $r, r' \in R$. Then $rr'M \subseteq K$ and since $r \notin P$, $r'M \subseteq K$. Thus $r' \in P$ and P is a prime ideal of R . Now we know that $\frac{M}{K}$ is an $\frac{R}{P}$ -module, because $P = \text{Ann}(\frac{M}{K})$. Now suppose that $(r + P)(m + K) = K$ for some $r + P \in \frac{R}{P}$ and $m + K \in \frac{M}{K}$ therefore $rm + K = K$ and hence $rm \in K$. Consequently $r \in P$ or $m \in K$ i.e. $r + P = P$ or $m + K = K$. Thus the $\frac{R}{P}$ -module $\frac{M}{K}$ is torsion-free. Conversely, we assume that $rm \in K$ and $r \notin P$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Hence $rm + K = (r + P)(m + K) = K$. Since $\frac{M}{K}$ is a torsion-free $\frac{R}{P}$ -module then $m + K = K$ and so $m \in K$. It follows that K is a prime submodule of M . \square

If K is a prime submodule of M and $p = (K : M)$ then K is called a p -prime submodule of M .

Example 1.2.4. If R is a simple ring, then every non-zero R -module M of R is torsion-free, since for any $0 \neq x \in M$, $\text{ann}(x) \neq R$ and hence $\text{Ann}(x) = 0$. Also for any proper submodule N of M , $(N : M) = 0$ and since (0) is the only maximal ideal of R , (0) is prime. It follows that a simple ring R has

the property that every proper submodule N of M is prime. \square

Corollary 1.2.5. Let K be any submodule of an R -module M such that $(K : M)$ is a maximal ideal of R . Then K is a prime submodule of M . In particular, mM is a prime submodule of an R -module M for every maximal ideal m of R such that $mM \neq M$.

Proof. Since $(K : M) \neq R$ then $K \neq M$ and since $(K : M) = m$ is a maximal ideal of R then $\frac{R}{m}$ is a field and $\frac{M}{K}$ is a vector space over $\frac{R}{m}$. Now if $\bar{r}\bar{x} = 0$ and $\bar{r} \neq 0$, where $\bar{r} = r + M$ for some $r \in R$ and $\bar{x} = x + K$ for some $x \in M$, then $\bar{r}^{-1}\bar{r}\bar{x} = 0$ and so $\bar{x} = 0$. Thus $\frac{M}{K}$ is torsion-free $\frac{R}{m}$ -module. It follows that K is a prime submodule of M by Lemma 1.2.3. Now if for some maximal m of R $mM \neq M$ then it is clear that $(mM : M) = m$. Thus mM is a prime submodule of M . \square

Example 1.2.6. Every proper subspace of a vector space is prime.

Proof. Let V be a vector space over the field F and W be a proper subspace of V . Since $rV = V$ for every $0 \neq r \in F$ then $(W : V) = 0$ and since $\langle 0 \rangle$ is a maximal ideal of F therefore by Corollary 1.2.5 W is a prime submodule of V .

Corollary 1.2.7. Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M and let m be a maximal ideal of R . Then N is m -prime if and only if $mM \subseteq N$. Consequently, if N is an m -prime submodule of M , then so is every proper submodule of M containing N .

Proof. The necessity is trivial. Conversely if $mM \subseteq N$ then $m \subseteq (N : M)$ and since $N \neq M$ hence $(N : M) \neq R$ therefore $m = (N : M)$. It follows

that N is an m -prime submodule of M by Corollary 1.2.5. \square

Proposition 1.2.8. If N is a maximal submodule of an R -module M , then $(N : M)$ is a maximal ideal of R and N is a prime submodule of M .

Proof. Let $(N : M) \subseteq m \subseteq R$, where m is an ideal of R . Since N is a maximal submodule of M , hence $\frac{M}{N}$ is a simple R -module. It implies that $\frac{M}{N}$ is cyclic and $\frac{M}{N} = (x + N)R$ for some $x \in M$. Thus $m(\frac{M}{N}) = \frac{M}{N}$ or $m(\frac{M}{N}) = 0$. If $m(\frac{M}{N}) = \frac{M}{N}$ then $m(\frac{M}{N}) = (x + N)R$ and hence there exists $r_i \in m$ and $y_i + N \in \frac{M}{N}$ ($y_i \in M$) such that $x + N = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i (\sum_{j=1}^t y_j + N)$. On the other hand, $y_i + N = \sum_{j=1}^t r'_j (x + N)$, for some $r'_j \in R$, therefore

$$(x - (\sum_{i=1}^n r_i) (\sum_{j=1}^t r'_j) x) + N = (1 - (\sum_{i=1}^n r_i) (\sum_{j=1}^t r'_j)) (x + N) = 0.$$

It follows that $1 - (\sum r_i) (\sum r'_j) \in \text{Ann}(\frac{M}{N}) = (N : M) \subseteq m$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \in m$, $1 = 1 - (\sum r_i) \sum r'_j + \sum r_i \sum r'_j \in m$ so $m = R$. Now if $m(\frac{M}{N}) = 0$, then $mM \subseteq N$ and so $m \subseteq (N : M) \subseteq m$. Hence $(N : M) = m$. Therefore $(N : M)$ is a maximal ideal of R . By Corollary 1.2.5 N is a prime-submodule of M . \square

Remark 1.2.9. If m is a maximal ideal of a ring R , then not every m -prime submodule of an R -module M is a maximal submodule. In Example 1.2.6 we can see that $\langle 0 \rangle$ is a maximal ideal and all maximal or non-maximal subspaces of vector space V are $\langle 0 \rangle$ -prime submodules in V .

Corollary 1.2.10. If M is a finitely generated module, then every proper submodule of M is contained in a prime submodule.

Proof. Let N be a proper submodule of M and let A be the set of all submodules of M containing N . A is non-empty, because $N \in A$. By Zorn's

Lemma, it can easily be proved that there exists a maximal element L in A . Thus L is a maximal submodule of M and by Proposition 1.2.8 L is a prime submodule of M containing N . \square

Definition 1.2.11. An R -module M is called a multiplication module provided that for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$.

Theorem 1.2.12. Let M be a non-zero R -module, where $R \neq 0$. If M is a multiplication module, then M has at least one prime submodule.

Proof. Let $M \neq 0$ and $0 \neq m \in M$. Then $I = \{r \in R \mid rm = 0\}$ is a proper ideal of R and hence $I \subseteq P$ for some maximal ideal P of R . If $M = PM$ then since $Rm = AM$, for some ideal A of R , we have $Rm = AM = PAM = PRm = Pm$. Therefore $(1 - r)m = 0$ for some $r \in P$ and hence $(1 - r) \in I$. Since $I \subseteq P$ then $(1 - r) \in P$ and so $1 \in P$, a contradiction. Thus $M \neq PM$. Since $(PM : M) = P$ is a maximal ideal, PM is a prime submodule of M by Corollary 1.2.5. \square

For any R -module M , let $\text{spec}(M)$ denotes the collection of all prime submodules of M . Now let H be any R -module, for any prime ideal p of R we define

$$\text{spec}_p(H) = \{L \in \text{spec}(H) \mid (L : H) = p\}.$$

Lemma 1.2.13. Let p be a prime ideal of R and let M be an R -module. Let N be any submodule of M and let $K \in \text{spec}_p(M)$, then $K \cap N = N$ or $K \cap N \in \text{spec}_p(N)$.

Proof. Let $K \cap N \neq N$ for any $r \in p$ we have $rN \subseteq rM \subseteq K$, also $rN \subseteq N$ then $rN \subseteq K \cap N$. Hence $p \subseteq (K \cap N : N)$. Now suppose that $r \in (K \cap N : N)$ then $rN \subseteq K \cap N \subseteq K$. Since $N \not\subseteq K$ and K is a prime submodule of M then $r \in p$. Thus $(K \cap N : N) = p$. Let $rx \in K \cap N$, where $r \in R$ and $x \in N$, hence $rx \in K$ and so $r \in p$ or $x \in K$. It follows that $r \in p$ or $x \in K \cap N$. Thus $K \cap N \in \text{spec}_p(N)$.

3. Zariski Topology on $\text{spec}(M)$

Recall that $\text{spec}(R)$ denotes the collection of all prime ideals of R . For an ideal I of R we define

$$V(I) = \{P \in \text{spec}(R) : I \subseteq P\}.$$

It can easily be checked that $V(\{0\}) = \text{spec}(R)$ also

$$V(R) = \emptyset$$

$$V(I) \cup V(J) = V(IJ)$$

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(I_\lambda) = V\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right)$$

where I and J and I_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) are ideals of R . Thus the $V(I)$ are the closed sets for a topology on $\text{spec}(R)$, called the Zariski topology.

Now we extend this notion to modules. For any submodule N of an R -module M we define $V(N)$ to be set of all prime submodules of M containing N . Of course $V(M)$ is just the empty set and $V(0)$ is $\text{spec}(M)$.

Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Define the variety of I denoted by $V^R(I) = V(I)$. The collection $\zeta(R) = \{V^R(I) \mid I \trianglelefteq R\}$ of all varieties of ideals I of R satisfies the axioms for closed sets in a topological space.

Now let M be an R -module for any submodule N of M we consider two different types of varieties denoted, by $V^*(N)$ and $V(N)$ respectively as follows:

$$V^*(N) = \{P \in \text{spec}(M) \mid P \supseteq N\}.$$

Then

(i) $V^*(0) = \text{spec}(M)$ and $V^*(M) = \emptyset$

(ii) $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} V^*(N_i) = V^*(\sum_{i \in \Lambda} N_i)$ for any index set Λ

(iii) $V^*(N) \cup V^*(L) \subseteq V^*(N \cap L)$, where $N, L, N_i \leq M$ since

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} N \cap L \subseteq N \Rightarrow V^*(N \cap L) \supseteq V^*(N) \\ N \cap L \subseteq L \Rightarrow V^*(N \cap L) \supseteq V^*(L) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow V^*(N) \cup V^*(L) \subseteq V^*(N \cap L).$$

We denote the set $\{V^*(N) \mid N \leq M\}$ by $\zeta^*(M)$.

Next, we define

$$V(N) = \{P \in \text{spec}(M) \mid (P : M) \supseteq (N : M)\},$$

which are the closed sets. Then

a) $V(0) = \text{spec}(M)$ and $V(M) = \emptyset$

b) $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} V(N_i) = V(\sum_{i \in \Lambda} (N_i : M)M)$

c) $V(N) \cup V(L) = V(N \cap L)$ where $N, L, N \leq M$.

Proof. (a) The proof is trivial.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} P \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} V(N_i) &\iff (P : M) \supseteq (N_i : M) \quad \forall i \in \Lambda \\ &\iff (P : M)M \supseteq (N_i : M)M \quad \forall i \in \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

since $((N : M)M : M) = (N : M)$ for every submodule N of M because

$$\text{if } r \in (N : M)$$