



Shiraz University
Faculty of Sciences

Ph.D. Thesis In Mathematics (Analysis)

**REFLEXIVITY AND SUPERCYCLICITY OF
SOME CLASSES OF LINEAR OPERATORS**

By
MASOUMEH FAGHIH AHMADI

Supervised by
K. HEDAYATIAN, Ph.D.

September 2009

**IN THE NAME OF
GOD**

Declaration Form

I, Masoumeh Faghih Ahmadi, a Pure Mathematics student majored in Mathematics (Analysis) from the college of Science declare that this thesis is the result of my research and I had written the exact reference and full indication wherever I used others' sources. I also declare that the research and the topic of my thesis are not reduplicative and guarantee that I will not disseminate its accomplishments and not make them accessible to others without the permission of the university. According to the regulations of the mental and spiritual ownership, all rights of this belong to Shiraz University.

Name: Masoumeh Faghih Ahmadi

Date: 1/9/2009

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping horizontal and vertical strokes, positioned to the left of the name and date.

IN THE NAME OF GOD

**REFLEXIVITY AND SUPERCUCLICITY OF
SOME CLASSES OF LINEAR OPERATORS**

BY

MASOUMEH FAGHIH AHMADI

THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D.)

IN

MATHEMATICS (ANALYSIS)

SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY

SHIRAZ

ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

EVALUATED AND APPROVED BY THE THESIS COMMITTEE AS: EXCELLENT

K. Hedayatian

K. HEDAYATIAN, Ph.D., ASSOCIATE PROF. OF MATH.
(CHAIRMAN)

M. Radjabalipour

M. RADJABALIPOUR, Ph.D., PROF. OF MATH.

M. Vaezpour

M. VAEZPOUR, Ph.D., ASSOCIATE PROF. OF MATH.

B. Tabatabaie

B. TABATABAIE, Ph.D., ASSOCIATE PROF. OF MATH.

B. Khani

B. KHANI ROBATI, Ph.D., ASSOCIATE PROF. OF MATH.

A. Abdollahi

A. ABDOLLAHI, Ph.D., ASSOCIATE PROF. OF MATH.

September 2009

To Karim and Saeed

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank the affectionate God who bestowed upon me the success in completing this stage of education.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my supervisor, Professor K. Hedayatian, for everything that I have learned from him. Also, I express my gratitude to him for his guidance, advice and encouragement throughout this research. Moreover, I would appreciate the other members of the thesis committee, Prof. M. Radjabalipour, Prof. M. Vaezpour, Prof. B. Tabatabaie, Prof. B. Khani Robati and Prof. A. Abdollahi for their comments and notification to this work.

ABSTRACT

REFLEXIVITY AND SUPERCYCLICITY OF SOME CLASSES OF LINEAR OPERATORS

BY

MASOUMEH FAGHIH AHMADI

In the present thesis, by \mathcal{H} we mean a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, and $B(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

The thesis is organized as follows:

In the first part, we consider the class of m -isometric operators, which are, in some sense, a generalization of isometries. After observing some easy properties of m -isometric operators, we shall characterize all 3-isometric unilateral weighted shift operators, which are not 2-isometric, in terms of their weight sequences. One of the most interesting results is the identification of the behavior of orbits of m -isometries. We will prove that the orbit of every vector under an m -isometric operator is eventually increasing. This leads us to some nice consequences, some of which are as follows:

- (1) power bounded m -isometries are isometries;
- (2) an m -isometric operator is never supercyclic.

We also consider the subject of weak hypercyclicity of these operators, and prove that no m -isometry is weakly hypercyclic.

The second part of the thesis is devoted to reflexivity of operators. We are going to prove the reflexivity of a hyponormal operator T whose spectrum is $\{z : |z| \leq r(T)\}$, where $r(T)$ denotes the spectral radius of T . Another result is to prove the reflexivity of contractions whose spectrum fills the closed unit disc. We also derive an easy proof for a result of Foias and Pearcy which states that every unilateral or bilateral weighted shift T with $\|T\| = r(T)$ is reflexive. Afterwards, we will show that all non-negative powers of an injective unilateral weighted shift operator such that the point spectrum of whose adjoint has a nonzero element, are reflexive. Furthermore, all integer powers of an invertible bilateral weighted shift operator are reflexive. At last, we will prove that every positive integer power of a unilateral weighted shift that is an m -isometry, is reflexive.

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Some Basic Facts about Operators	3
1.2	Strong and Weak Operator Topologies on $B(\mathcal{H})$	5
1.3	Weighted Shift Operators	7
1.4	Another View of Weighted Shifts	9
1.5	A Collection of Spaces	15
1.6	Hypercyclic and Supercyclic Operators	20
2	SUPERCYCLIC AND HYPERCYCLIC m-ISOMETRIC OPERATORS	27
2.1	m -Isometries: Definitions and Preliminaries	28
2.2	A Survey on m -Isometric Operators	34
2.3	Some Facts about m -Isometric Operators	36
2.4	3-Isometric Unilateral Weighted Shift Operators	38
2.5	Supercyclicity of 2-Isometries	41
2.6	Orbits and Supercyclicity of m -Isometries	46
2.7	Weak Hypercyclicity of m -Isometries	52
3	SOME REFLEXIVE OPERATORS	54
3.1	Reflexivity: Fundamentals and Examples	55

3.2	A Survey on Reflexivity	62
3.3	Reflexivity of Hyponormal Operators	64
3.4	Reflexivity of Powers of Weighted Shifts	67
3.5	On Reflexivity of Contractions	72
3.6	Reflexivity of m -Isometric Unilateral Weighted Shifts	73

REFERENCES		76
-------------------	--	-----------

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the most intractable unsolved problems in the theory of Hilbert space operators is the invariant subspace problem. This problem deals with the question of whether every operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space has a nontrivial (closed) invariant subspace; that is, an invariant subspace which is neither $\{0\}$ nor the whole space. The Banach space analogue has been answered negatively by P. Enflo [27], C. Read [57, 58], and B. Beauzamy [8]. Some authors are interested in closed invariant subsets other than $\{0\}$ and the whole space which is a stronger condition on operators.

However, the Hilbert space case is still unsolved, although many deep and innovative techniques have been developed to handle special classes of operators. See, for instance, [56].

Some branches in operator theory has been motivated from this problem. One is various kinds of cyclicity, like hypercyclicity and supercyclicity. In Chapter 2, we will be concerned with discussing these concepts for a special class of operators, called m -isometric operators. Another is reflexivity of operators which is the discussion of Chapter 3. Some parts in the scope of our investigation are appeared in [29, 30, 31]. As we will see, if each nonzero vector in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is hypercyclic for an operator T , then T has no nontrivial closed invariant subset. In contrast, reflexive operators have numerous invariant subspaces.

In the present chapter, we shall state some basic definitions and preliminary results which will be used in the other parts of this thesis. Throughout this

research, \mathcal{H} denotes a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, unless specifically stated, and $B(\mathcal{H})$ refers to the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . By an operator, we mean a bounded linear operator. Also, some familiarity with elementary facts about operator theory will be assumed.

1.1 Some Basic Facts about Operators

Let T be an operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The *spectrum* of T , denoted by $\sigma(T)$, consists of all complex numbers λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is not boundedly invertible. If T^* is the *adjoint* of an operator T , it is well-known that

$$\sigma(T^*) = \sigma(T)^* := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

The *spectral radius* of T is defined by $r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$, which satisfies

$$r(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \|T\|.$$

The *point spectrum* and the *approximate point spectrum* of an operator T are parts of the spectrum. They are denoted by $\sigma_p(T)$ and $\sigma_{ap}(T)$, respectively. The point spectrum of T is, by definition, the set of all scalars λ such that $\ker(T - \lambda) \neq (0)$. Furthermore, $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ consists of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which there is a sequence $\{h_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{H} such that $\|h_n\| = 1$ for all n and $\|(T - \lambda)h_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Recall that an operator T is *bounded below*, if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|Th\| \geq c\|h\|$, for all h in \mathcal{H} .

Proposition 1.1.1 *If T is an operator on \mathcal{H} and $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(a) λ is not in $\sigma_{ap}(T)$.

(b) The operator $T - \lambda$ is bounded below.

(c) $\text{ran}(T - \lambda)$ is closed and $\ker(T - \lambda) = \{0\}$.

Proof. See [19, Proposition 6.4 (VII)]. \square

Example 1.1.2 If T is a compact operator on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then $0 \in \sigma(T)$ and either $\sigma(T)$ is finite or consists of a sequence converging to zero.

Note that if T is a compact operator then $\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \sigma_p(T)$ [19, Theorem 7.1 (VII)].

To continue, we recall that if X_1 and X_2 are Banach spaces, then $X_1 \oplus_1 X_2$ denotes the Banach space of all $x = h_1 \oplus h_2$ with norm defined by $\|x\| = \|h_1\| + \|h_2\|$.

The next result is an application of the closed graph theorem which is sometimes used to prove certain linear transformations are bounded. Recall that by the closed graph theorem, if the graph of a linear transformation is closed, then it is continuous.

Proposition 1.1.3 *If \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are Hilbert spaces and $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ is a linear transformation, then the graph of T , i.e., $\{h \oplus Th \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus_1 \mathcal{H}_2 : h \in \mathcal{H}_1\}$ is closed if and only if whenever $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $Th_n \rightarrow k$, it must be that $k = 0$.*

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

We now turn to another result which is useful in the subsequent chapters.

Theorem 1.1.4 *Suppose that $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\text{ran } T$ is closed if and only if $\text{ran } T^*$ is closed.*

Proof. See [19, Theorem 1.10 (VI)]. \square

In many situations, we deal with special subspaces of a Hilbert space, which are introduced in the next definition.

Definition 1.1.5 Let T be an operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$. A closed subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} is called an *invariant subspace* of T , if $T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Also, \mathcal{M} is called a *reducing subspace*, if it is invariant under both T and T^* .

This section concludes with a glance at the Riesz functional calculus for an operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$. For further discussion on this subject, we refer to [19].

Definition 1.1.6 Let T be in $B(\mathcal{H})$. By $Hol(T)$ we mean the set of all complex valued functions that are analytic in a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$.

Theorem 1.1.7 *Suppose that $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\tau : Hol(T) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ with the following properties.*

(a) *If $f(z) \equiv 1$, then $\tau(f) = I$.*

(b) *If $f(z) = z$ for all z , then $\tau(f) = T$.*

(c) *If f, f_1, f_2, \dots are analytic functions on an open set G such that $\sigma(T) \subseteq G$ and $f_n(z) \longrightarrow f(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of G , then $\tau(f_n) \longrightarrow \tau(f)$.*

Proof. See [19, Proposition 4.8 (VII)]. \square

Notation. The operator $\tau(f)$, introduced in the above theorem is represented by $f(T)$.

1.2 Strong and Weak Operator Topologies on

$$B(\mathcal{H})$$

In this section, we will make a brief study of various kinds of topologies on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Note that since $B(\mathcal{H})$ is a normed space, it admits the norm topology.

But there are other topologies on $B(\mathcal{H})$ which results in serious studies of this space. We, especially, make a use of them in the last chapter.

Definition 1.2.1 The *strong operator topology* (SOT) on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the topology defined by the collection of seminorms $\{p_h : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ where $p_h : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $p_h(T) = \|Th\|$.

According to this definition, for an operator $T_0 \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the family of sets of the form

$$U(T_0 : h; \varepsilon) = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \|(T - T_0)h\| < \varepsilon\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and h is in \mathcal{H} , constitutes a subbase of open neighborhoods of T_0 in SOT.

Definition 1.2.2 The *weak operator topology* (WOT) on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the topology defined by the collection of seminorms $\{p_{h,k} : h, k \in \mathcal{H}\}$ where $p_{h,k} : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $p_{h,k}(T) = |\langle Th, k \rangle|$.

In this topology, an operator T_0 in $B(\mathcal{H})$ has a subbase of neighborhoods consisting of all sets of the type

$$U(T_0 : h, k; \varepsilon) = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}) : |\langle (T - T_0)h, k \rangle| < \varepsilon\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and h, k are in \mathcal{H} .

The following proposition illustrates the convergence in SOT and WOT on $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 1.2.3 Let $\{T_i\}_i$ be a net in $B(\mathcal{H})$.

(a) $T_i \rightarrow T$ (SOT) if and only if $\|T_i h - Th\| \rightarrow 0$ for every h in \mathcal{H} .

(b) $T_i \rightarrow T$ (WOT) if and only if $\langle T_i h, k \rangle \rightarrow \langle Th, k \rangle$ for every h, k in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. See [19]. \square

The next theorem is crucial when studying convex subsets of $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 1.2.4 *The weak operator closure of a convex subset of $B(\mathcal{H})$ coincides with its strong operator closure.*

Proof. See [45, Theorem 5.1.2]. \square

1.3 Weighted Shift Operators

Weighted shift operators provide a good source of plenty of examples in many branches of operator theory. To get an explicit description of them, we begin with the following definitions.

Definition 1.3.1 A (not necessarily bounded) operator T on \mathcal{H} is called a *unilateral weighted shift*, if there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and a sequence of scalars $\{w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $Te_n = w_n e_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 0$.

Definition 1.3.2 A (not necessarily bounded) operator T on \mathcal{H} is called a *bilateral weighted shift*, if there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and a bilateral sequence $\{w_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $Te_n = w_n e_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The sequence $\{w_n\}_n$ is called the weight sequence of T . Note that a weighted shift operator is bounded if and only if its weight sequence forms a bounded sequence.

We remark that it will always suffice to assume that the weight sequence consists of nonnegative scalars, due to the following result.

Proposition 1.3.3 *If T is a unilateral (resp. bilateral) weighted shift operator with weight sequence $\{w_n\}_n$, then T is unitarily equivalent to a unilateral (resp. bilateral) weighted shift operator with a nonnegative weight sequence.*

Proof. See [21, Page 53]. \square

Theorem 1.3.4 *If T is a bounded weighted shift operator then*

$$\|T^n\| = \sup_k |w_k w_{k+1} \dots w_{k+n-1}|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. The result clearly follows from the fact that

$$T^n e_k = (w_k w_{k+1} \dots w_{k+n-1}) e_{k+n},$$

for every k . \square

Theorem 1.3.5 *If T is a bounded bilateral weighted shift operator then*

$$T^* e_n = \overline{w_{n-1}} e_{n-1},$$

for all n . Also, if $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unilateral weighted shift operator, then

$$T^* e_n = \begin{cases} \overline{w_{n-1}} e_{n-1} & (n \geq 1), \\ 0 & (n = 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [67, Page 52]. \square

Recall that an operator T is said to be *power bounded*, if $\sup\{\|T^n\| : n = 0, 1, \dots\} < \infty$.

Theorem 1.3.6 *Every power bounded weighted shift operator is similar to a contraction.*

Proof. See [67, Page 55]. \square

Recall that an operator T is called *hyponormal*, if $T^*T - TT^*$ is a positive operator; or equivalently, if $\|Th\| \geq \|T^*h\|$, for every vector h in \mathcal{H} . For a hyponormal operator T , we always have $\|T\| = r(T)$ (see [21]).

Theorem 1.3.7 *A weighted shift operator is hyponormal if and only if its weight sequence is increasing.*

Proof. See [21, Proposition 6.6] or [67, Page 83]. \square

Shield's paper [67] is a good reference on the spectrum of weighted shift operators.

Theorem 1.3.8 *If T is a unilateral weighted shift operator, then*

$$\sigma(T) = \{z : |z| \leq r(T)\}.$$

Theorem 1.3.9 *Suppose that T is a bilateral weighted shift operator. Then the following assertions are true.*

(a) *If T is invertible, then*

$$\sigma(T) = \{z : r(T^{-1})^{-1} \leq |z| \leq r(T)\}.$$

(b) *If T is not invertible, then*

$$\sigma(T) = \{z : |z| \leq r(T)\}.$$

1.4 Another View of Weighted Shifts

In this section, we describe another way of introducing injective weighted shift operators.

Recall that a (not necessarily bounded) operator T on \mathcal{H} is said to shift (forward) an orthogonal basis $\{f_n\}_n$, if $Tf_n = f_{n+1}$, for every n . Let $\{e_n\}_n$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} and T be a weighted shift operator with positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_n$. Then T shifts the orthogonal basis $\{f_n\}_n$ defined by