



Alzahra University Faculty of Literature, Languages, and History

A Thesis Submitted In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL)

Thesis Title Language Needs Analysis of Business Management and Economics Students

Thesis Advisor **Zohreh Nafissi, Ph.D.**

Thesis Reader **Elaheh Sotoudehnama, Ph.D.**

BY Maryam Sadat Seyyedi

Mar. 2013



This thesis is approved by

Dr. Zohreh Nafissi (Advisor)

Dr. Elaheh Sotoudehnama (Reader)

To My Family

Acknowledgements

Many have contributed to the completion of this thesis and I am indebted to all the people who generously helped me in many different ways. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Nafissi for her continued support and guidance throughout the course of my research as well as the opportunities that she had offered me, not only during the time that this thesis was written but over the past few years.

I am also grateful to Dr. Sotoudehnama, the reader of my thesis, for making many invaluable comments and suggestions for improving this study, she also advised me on the appropriate statistical tools. I appreciate the time and effort she invested in reading and commenting on the manuscript.

I am also thankful to the students and professors who took part in this study for their cooperation and contribution.

Finally my deepest appreciation goes to my family who have always been supportive of and loving to me.

Abstract

The aim of conducting this study was to investigate the foreign language learning needs of undergraduate economics and business management students in faculties of social sciences of Alzahra and Azad Naragh University. In the study, which was designed on the basis of a quantitative –qualitative basis using interviews and questionnaires, 146 female undergraduate business management as well as 150 economics students, 80 graduates, and 24 university professors participated. By the results, discrepancies were found between the needs of undergraduate business management and economics students. For the business management students reading, writing, and then speaking respectively should be emphasized but for the economics students writing, reading, and listening respectively were the matter of importance. There should be more systematic emphasis on specific sub skills. Professors should update their methodology in teaching skills and they should concentrate more on reading and writing strategies and sub skills in order to develop these skills. In addition, as the students did not feel satisfied with the amount of L2 teacher talk in class, it is suggested that professors run classes in English language not in Persian. It is hoped that the findings of this study would shed light on syllabus design and curriculum development **ESP** for in Iran. programs

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	v i
Abstract	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiv
List of Abbreviations	XV
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2. Statement of the Problem	3
1.3. Significance of the Study	4
1.4. Research Questions	5
1.5. Null Hypotheses	6
1.6. Definition of Key Terms	7
1.6.1. Needs Analysis	7
1.6.2. English for Specific Purposes Courses	7
1.6.3. Syllabus and Curriculum	8
1.6.4. Course Design	8
1.7. Limitations and Delimitations	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. The Origins of ESP	11
2.2.1. What is ESP (English for Specific Purposes)?	14
2.2.2. The Development of ESP	17
2.2.3. Materials for ESP	19
2.3 Needs	21

2.4. Needs Analysis	24
2.4.1. Rationale for Needs Analysis	27
2.4.2. Needs Analysis in ESP	28
2.4.3. Importance of Implementing a Needs Analysis	31
2.4.4. Dynamic Nature of Needs Analysis	33
2.4.5. Sources of Data Collection in Needs Analysis	34
2.5. Researches on ESP Courses around the World	35
2.6. Research on ESP Courses in Iran	44
2.7. Conclusion	47
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	
3.1. Introduction	50
3.2. Participants	50
3.3. Instrumentation	53
3.3.1. Questionnaire	53
3.3.1.1. Students' Questionnaire	55
3.3.1.2. Instructors' Questionnaire	56
3.3.1.3. Graduates' Questionnaire	56
3.3.2. Interview	57
3.4. Procedures	58
3.5. Design	61
3.6. Data Analysis	61
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1. Introduction	64
4.2. Analysis of the Questionnaire Data	64
4.2.1. Results of the First Research Question	73
4.2.2. Results of the Second Research Question	78

4.2.3. Results of the Third Research Question	83
4.2.4. Results of the Fourth Research Question	87
4.2.5. Results of the Fifth Research Question	91
4.3. Analysis of the Interview Data	95
4.3.1 Interview with the Students	96
4.3.2 Interview with the Professors	100
4.4. The Syllabuses	119
4.5. Discussion	123
4.5.1. Discussion of the First Research Question	124
4.5.2. Discussion of the Second Research Question	130
4.5.3. Discussion of the Third Research Question	134
4.5.4. Discussion of the Fourth Research Question	138
4.5.5. Discussion of the Fifth Research Question	139
4.5.6. Discussion of the Sixth Research Question	140
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS	
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS 5.1. Introduction	145
5.1. Introduction	146
5.1. Introduction5.2. Conclusion5.3. Pedagogical Implications	146 148
5.1. Introduction5.2. Conclusion5.3. Pedagogical Implications5.4. Suggestions for Further Research	146 148 150
5.1. Introduction5.2. Conclusion5.3. Pedagogical Implications5.4. Suggestions for Further Research	146 148 150
 5.1. Introduction 5.2. Conclusion 5.3. Pedagogical Implications 5.4. Suggestions for Further Research REFERENCES 	146 148 150 153
 5.1. Introduction 5.2. Conclusion 5.3. Pedagogical Implications 5.4. Suggestions for Further Research REFERENCES APPENDICES 	146 148 150 153
 5.1. Introduction 5.2. Conclusion 5.3. Pedagogical Implications 5.4. Suggestions for Further Research REFERENCES APPENDICES Appendix A: Students' Questionnaire before Modifications 	146 148 150 153 164 169
 5.1. Introduction 5.2. Conclusion 5.3. Pedagogical Implications 5.4. Suggestions for Further Research REFERENCES APPENDICES Appendix A: Students' Questionnaire before Modifications Appendix B: Students' Questionnaire after Modification 	146 148 150 153 164 169 174
5.1. Introduction 5.2. Conclusion 5.3. Pedagogical Implications 5.4. Suggestions for Further Research REFERENCES APPENDICES Appendix A: Students' Questionnaire before Modifications Appendix B: Students' Questionnaire after Modification Appendix C: Professors' Questionnaire	146 148 150 153 164 169 174

Appendix G: Professors' Interview Questions	. 186
Appendix H: Translation of Students' Interview Questions	. 187
Appendix I: Translation of Professors' Interview Questions	. 188
Appendix J: Sample of Students' Interview	. 189
Appendix K: Sample of Professors' Interview	. 190
Appendix L: Figures	. 191

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Dis	stribution of	the Students	5	0
Table 3.2 Dis	stribution of	the Professors	5	0
Table 4.1 Dis	stribution of	the Participants	6	3
Table 4.2 Re	search Quest	ions and the Que	stionnaire Items 6	5
	•		ifference between Busines	
Management	Students' and	d Professors' Per	ceptions of Skills7	1
Table 4.4 De	escriptive Sta	ntistics for the D	ifference between Busines	S
Management	Students' and	d Professors' Per	ceptions of Sub Skills 7	2
Table 4.5 Or	ne-way Repe	ated Measures A	NOVA for within Subject	ts
			ates and Professors) 7-	
Table 4.6 Or	ne-way Repea	ated Measures A	NOVA Results for between	n
Subjects	(Business	Management	Undergraduates and	d
Professors)		75		
Table 4.7	Descriptive	Statistics for	the Difference between	n
Economics S	tudents' and l	Professors' Perce	ptions of Skills7	6
Table 4.8	Descriptive	Statistics for	the Difference between	n
Economics S	tudents' and l	Professors' Perce	ptions of Sub Skills 7	7
Table 4.9 O	ne-way Repe	eated Measures A	ANOVA Results for within	n
Subjects (Ski	lls, Economic	es Undergraduate	es and Professors)7	9
Table 4.10	One-way F	Repeated Measu	res ANOVA Results fo	r
between	Subjects	(Economics	Undergraduates and	d
Professors)			.80	

Table 4.11 Descriptive Statistics for the Difference between
Undergraduate Business Management Students' and Economic
Students' Perceptions of
Skills
81
Table 4.12 Descriptive Statistics for the Difference between
Undergraduate Business Management Students' and Economic
Students' Perceptions of
Skills
82
Table 4.13 Independent Samples T-Test Results for Perception of
Language Needs by Undergraduate Business and Economics
Participants84
Table 4.14 Descriptive Statistics for the Difference between
Undergraduate Business Management Students' and Graduates'
Perceptions of Skills86
Table 4.16 Results of Independent Samples T-Test for the Perceptions
of Undergraduate and Graduate Business Management
Participants87
Table 4.17 Descriptive Statistics Results for the Difference between
Undergraduate Economic Students' and Graduates' Perceptions of
Skills90
Table 4.18 Descriptive Statistics Results for the Difference between
Undergraduate Economic Students' and Graduates' Perceptions of Sub
Skills
91

Table	4.19 Ir	ndependent S	ampl	es T-	test Results	for	Percep	otion of
	_	eeds by Un	derg	raduat	te and Gra	duate	e Eco	onomics
Particip	ants	92						
Table	4.	20 Dist	ribut	ion	of 1	the	Ir	nterview
Particip	ants	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		95				
Table	4.21	Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	e First
Questio	n	96						
Table	4.22	Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Second
Questio	n	96						
Table	4.23	Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Third
Questio	n	97						
		Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Fourth
Questio	n	97						
		Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Fifth
Questio	n	98						
		Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Sixth
Questio	n	98						
Table	4.27	Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Seventh
Questio	n	98						
Table	4.28	Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Eighth
Questio	n	99						
Table	4.29	Frequency	of	the	Responses	to	the	Ninth
Questio	n	99						

List of Figures

Figure	4.1	Business	Management	Undergraduates	and Professors
Percept	tion				0
Needs.					
	.75				
Figure	4.2	Economics	s Undergradua	tes and Professo	rs' Perception o
Needs.					
	80				
Figure	4.3	Business	Management	and Economics	Undergraduates
Percept	tion				O
Needs.					
8	35				
Figure	4.4	Business	Management	Undergraduates	and Graduates
Percept	tion				O
Needs.					
	89				
Figure	4.5 I	Economics	Undergraduat	es and Graduates	' Perception of
Needs.	93				

List of Abbreviations

ESP: English for Special Purposes

EGP: English for General Purposes

TEFL: Teaching English as a Foreign Language

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1 Introduction

In Iran almost all non-English major undergraduate students should pass ESP courses during their studies to improve their subject-specific English and perform more successfully in their disciplines. Because "ESP is designed to meet special needs of the learners" (Dudley-Evans & St. John, 1998, p.4), to ensure some success for these learners, teachers and curriculum developers need to determine what each learner needs and wants to learn. To obtain this essential information the very starting point should be conducting a needs analysis. Needs analysis is now viewed as "the logical starting point for the development of a language program" and through conducting needs analysis learning needs can be responded (Richards & Renandya, 2002, p.75). The most efficient way to inform the material and curriculum developers of the goals and objectives of the course is to determine the needs of the students. In this way they come to know which content area to add or delete and which teaching methodology is the best in order to help students get a better command of English in their area of study. As Long (2005) suggests, "There is an urgent need for courses of all kinds to be relevant and to be seen to be relevant to the needs of specific groups of learners" (p. 19). To achieve this end, it is fundamental to conduct an exhaustive needs analysis before designing an ESP curriculum and material (Johns, 1981).

From the field of language teaching, the focus of this research is on ESP (English for Specific purposes). Hutchinson and Waters (1987) maintain that any course, General English or ESP, should start with the question 'why do these learners need to learn English?', and they remark that "all courses are based on a perceived need of some

sort" (p.53). Therefore, it is essential to identify academic needs before designing a language course. The main purpose of this research is to investigate the real English language needs of the students during their undergraduate studies. This study focuses on the language needs of Business management and Economics students based on their ESP courses they will pass during their study. This research can be a contribution to English language syllabus, which may need to be reevaluated according to the needs of the students. Such an analysis of the needs will make the teachers more aware of the students' needs and help design a more effective and efficient language course. Information gathered is related to the students' English language demands for macro skills and their attitudes toward language instruction. Moreover, teachers' views regarding students' language needs are investigated.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Based on the researcher's experience, some of the students from different majors are not satisfied completely with the kind of language program instruction in English for general and English for specific purposes courses and they are complaining that whatever they actually need as English language are not met and they have to attend English language institutions to meet their needs. Seferoglu (1998) in his thesis states that "most foreign language programs do not provide learners opportunities in the classroom to develop the skills that they will need in their target language encounters" (p.3). This is the case that mastering English is of paramount importance for some of the university majors such as Business management and Economics considering their future profession. Due to the growing need to use

English internationally in the field of trade, commerce, and business, it seems necessary to investigate the needs of students of the above mentioned majors so they could function effectively in their professions. Thitthongkam (2011) found out that foreign language plays an important role in business sector especially in import and export companies for communication and negotiations with customers, other companies, and foreign countries. This raises the question of "what are the needs and expectations of these students?" The purpose of this study is to investigate the language needs of Iranian Business management and Economics undergraduate students and their English language professors' view in this regard.

1.3. Significance of the Study

With the emergence of learner-centered approaches, English language programs need to adapt their curriculum and materials to the needs and expectations of students. Needs analysis in second language program has been started with emphasizing English for specific purposes instruction and most of the needs analysis researches conducted up to now have been related to ESP. To the best of the researcher's knowledge, almost no research has been conducted on needs analysis of students majoring in Business management and Economics in Iran, but students and graduates of these majors are dealing with English if not more than other majors at least as much as them regarding their future job. In this regard, Rajabi and Azarpour (2011) state that "a graduate majoring in business administration and management is usually employed in factories or industrial companies where he has a great need to be able to communicate with other companies around the word" (p.29). Thus, the researcher thinks that