IN THE NAME OF GOD

MATHEMATICAL MODELING AND EVALUATION OF CO₂ ABSORPTION BY K₂CO₃ SOLUTION IN TURBULENT CONTACT **ABSORBER**

BY GHOLAM REZA ZAHEDI

THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc)

> IN CHEMICAL ENGINEERING SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY SHIRAZ, IRAN

EVALUATED AND APPROVED BY THE THESIS COMMITTEE AS: EXCELLENT

A.JAHANMIRI, Ph.D.. ASSOC. PROF. OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING (CHAIRMAN)

CO.

M.MOSHFEGHIAN, Ph.D., PROF. OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

M.ASADI, Ph.D., ASSOC. PROF. OF CHEMISTRY CHEMICAL ENGINEERING(CHAIRMAN)

AUGUST 2000

TO MOY IDIEAR THEACHDEIR MIT. IRIEZA SHLAMOI WHO

TAUGHT ME

HOW TO LIVE AND FOR WHAT I SHOULD LIVE

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

At the beginning I thank Allah the great creator because of all things, which I have in my life and because of the power and success he gave me to finish my M.S. thesis. A work such this owes debts to many people. First I would like to express my special thanks to Dr. Jahanmiri because of his useful advice and excellent supervision and key suggestions. I am also deeply indebted to Prof. M. Moshfeghian and Dr. M. Asadi who contributed to this work through their encouragement, valuable helps, advice and their interest.

ABSTRACT

MATHEMATICAL MODELING AND EVALUATION OF CO₂ ABSORPTION BY K₂CO₃ SOLUTION IN TURBULENT CONTACT ABSORBER

BY GHOLAM REZA ZAHEDI

The aim of this thesis is simulation of process for carbon dioxide absorption from a Turbulent Contact Absorber (TCA). For this purpose mass and momentum balance equations are written for a TCA. Then these equations are solved numerically by considering system conditions. In order to solve these equations numerically a computer program are written in Turbo Pascal language. To check the accuracy of the results experimental data were needed, but unfortunately there weren't any published experimental data in the literature. So we use a pilot scale turbulent contact absorber, which is located in unit operation labratory. of chemical engineering department — Shiraz university. To analyze carbon dioxide concentration a gas-analyzer is used.

Obtained results show the excellent rate of absorption and good efficiency of TCA in comparison with fixed beds.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 1 1	PAGE
CONTENT	
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIQURES	viii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	x
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE SURVEY	5
2.1 Hydrodynamic	5
2.2 Mass Transfer	12
CHAPTER 3. MODELING	29
3.1 Introduction	29
3.2 Shear Stress at Gas-Liquid Interface	32
3.3 Minimum Fluidization Velocity	33
3.4 Pressure Drop	33
3.5 Description of Reaction	33
3.6 Mass Balance for Carbon Dioxide Compound	. 35
3.7 Mass Balance for K ₂ CO ₃	37
CHAPTER 4. METHODS FOR SOLVING	38
PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	
4.1 Introduction	38
4.2 Numerical Form	38
4.3 Necessary Parameters	40
A A David Processes in Gas-Liquid Interface	40

4.5 Gas Phase Mass Transfer Coefficient	41
4.6 Numerical Solution Method	41
CHAPTER 5. EXPERIMENTAL WORKS	44
5.1 Description of Device	44
5.2 Gas Analyzer	46
5.3 Description of Experiments	46
CHAPTER 6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	50
6.1 Recommendations	51
REFERENCES	59
ABSTRACT AND TITLE PAGE IN PERSIAN	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
3.1 Average Values of K	34
5.1 Pressure Drop Experimental Data	47
5.2 Pressure Drop Experimental Data	48
5.3 Pressure Drop Experimental Data	48
5.4 Pressure Drop Experimental Data	49

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
FIGURE 1.1 Turbulent Contact Absorber	4
FIGURE 2.1 Effect of Liquid flow rate on minimum	15
fluidization	
velocity	
FIGURE 2.2 Liquid hold up in TCA	16
FIGURE 2.3 Pressure gradient as a function of gas	17
velocity	
FIGURE 2.4 Effect of bed height on bed expansion	18
FIGURE 2.5 Effect of packing density on bed	19
expansion	
FIGURE 2.6 Mobile bed data on fixed bed-flooding	20
data	
FIGURE 2.7 Maps predicting the region of	21
congregation at the	
wall	
FIGURE 2.8 H _l as a function of U_g at Hs/D = 2.4	22
FIGURE 2.9 H_i versus U_g for $H_s/D = 0.78$	23
FIGURE 2.10 Comparison data of h _l with Kito-Tabei-	24
Murata correlation	_
FIGURE 2.11 Effect of bed height on flooding point	25

type one TCA	
FIGURE 2.12 Pressure drop data versus Ug in type	26
one TCA	
FIGURE 2.13 Effect of static bed height on flooding	27
point of	
type two TCA	
FIGURE 3.1 Hypothetical model for simulating TCA	29
tower	
FIGURE 3.2 Liquid element for momentum balance	31
FIGURE 3.3 Plane of reaction	35
FIGURE 3.4 Element for mass transfer	36
FIGURE 4.1 Grid points to expand PDE	39
FIGURE 4.2 Matrix of expanded equations	41
FIGURE 5.1 Schematic of CO ₂ absorber pilot plant	45
FIGURE 6.2 Pressure drop curve	52
FIGURE 6.3 Comparison of experimental pressure	53
drop results	
with (Eq. 2-2) at $Q_1=10$ lit/Min.	
FIGURE 6.4 Comparison of experimental pressure	54
drop results	
with (Eq. 2-2) at O _i =15 lit/Min	

FIGURE 6.5 Comparison of pressure drop data for	55
two different	
flow rates.	
FIGURE 6.6 Velocity profile according to Eq.2-2	56
FIGURE 6.7 velocity profile according to Eq.2-2	57
FIGURE 6.8 Gas absorption as a function of gas	58
velocity	
FIGURE 6.9 Gas absorption as a function of gas	59
velocity	

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol

Total pressure drop
Acceleration due to gravity (9.807 m/s ²)
Static bed height (m)
Diameter of spheres (m)
Internal diameter of the tower (m)
Gallilo number ($(g d^3 sp \rho^2_{sp})/\mu^2_l$)
Froude number $(u_l/(g d_{sp})^{1/2})$
Reynolds number (ρu d _{sp} / /μι)
Weber number $(d_{sp}u^2 \rho / \sigma)$
Superficial liquid velocity (m/s)
Minimum fluidization gas velocity of irrigating
particles (m/s)
The ratio of the surface area of a packing to volume
ofit
(m ⁻¹)
Width of column (m)
Length of column (m)
Height of channel (m)
Liquid flow rate (m ³ /s)
Constant of reaction

Concentration (mol/m³) C Fick's diffusivity (mol / m².s) J Constant of eq. (4-4) A_{i} Constant of eq. (4-4) $\mathbf{B_{i}}$ Constant of eq. (4-4) C_i Constant of eq. (4-4) \mathbf{D}_{i} Constant of eq. (4-4) $\mathbf{E_{i}}$ Velocity (m/s) V Pressure (pa) P

Symbol

Greek letters

ρ	Density (Kg/m ³)
$\epsilon_{\rm sp}$	Void Fraction of spheres in dry static bed
f	Fraction of free open area of the bottom supporting
d	Equivalent diameter of free open area (m)
D	Internal diameter of the tower (m)
σ	Surface tension (N/m)
μ	Viscosity (kg/m.s)
υ	Cinematic viscosity (m ² /s)
Δ	Flowing liquid length (m)

Shear stress (pa)
 Λ Reaction zone length (m)
 βi Constant of equation 4-21
 γi Constant of equation 4-21

Subscripts

f Fluid 1 Liquid Static S Gas g Sphere sp x direction X i Interface Turbulent t

CHAPTER ONE

Beginning

1.1 Introduction

Absorption is probably the most important gas purification technique and is common to a great number of processes. It involves the transfer of a substance from the gaseous to the liquid phase through the phase boundary. The absorbed material may dissolve physically in the liquid or react chemically with it.

The great majority of absorbers used for gas purification operations are packed, plate or spray towers. These absorber types are interchangeable to a considerable extent. Although certain specific conditions may favor one over the other. In general packed towers are preferred for small installations, corrosive service, liquids with a tendency to foam, very high liquid/gas ratios, and applications where a low-pressure drop is desired. Although many packing are available, the most commonly used are Pall rings, saddles and grids.

Plate columns are frequently more economical because a higher gas velocity can usually be tolerated, and therefore a column of smaller diameter is required. They are practically suitable for large installations, clean, non-corrosive, non-foaming liquids, and low liquid flow rate applications.

special towers have been developed. Some of them are shower trays, which specifically are applicable to very high liquid flow rates such as those used in the absorption of CO₂ from ammonia-synthesis gas with water.

Spray contactors are of importance primarily where pressure drop is a major factor and where solid particles are present in the exhaust gas at atmospheric pressure. Several types of spray contactors, including the venture scrubber and ejector, are utilized for the removal of hydrofluoric acid, silicon tetra fluoride, and sulfur dioxide foam stack gases [1].

In addition to these devices special contactors have been developed to meet specific process requirements. These include gas-liquid-solid fluidized beds [2].

Gas-liquid-solid fluidization has seen considerable progress with respect to an understanding of the phenomena of gas-liquid-solid fluidization. This phenomenon can be classified mainly into four modes of operations. These modes are: concurrent three-phase fluidization with liquid as the continuous phase (Mode I-a); concurrent three-phase fluidization with gas as the continuous phase (Mode I-b); Inverse three-phase fluidization (Mode II-a); and fluidization represented by a turbulent contact absorber (TCA) (mode II-b). Mode II-a and II-d are achieved with a countercurrent flow of gas and liquid. Due to the complex nature of three-phase fluidization, however, various methods are possible in evaluating the operating and design parameters for each mode of operation.