

*In the name of God*

1875.1

**Shiraz University of Medical Sciences**

**School of Dental Medicine**

**A thesis presented for the degree of**

**Master of Science in Endodontics**

**An in vivo comparative study of antibacterial efficacy of  
NaOCl with normal saline followed by 2% IKI solution as  
final rinse in endodontic treatments**

*Advisors:*

۱۳۸۹/۹/۱۴

**Dr. Akbar Khayat**

Professor of Endodontics

**Dr. Mohammad Motamedifar**

Associate Professor of Microbiology

*By:*

**Dr. Seyyed Abbas Abbaszadegan**

June 2010

۱۴۷۲.۱

## به نام ایزد یکتا

دانشگاه علوم پزشکی شیراز  
دانشکده دندانپزشکی

پایان نامه جهت اخذ درجه دکترای تخصصی دندانپزشکی در رشته اندودانتیکس

عنوان:

مقایسه کلینیکی اثر ضد میکروبی هیپوکلریت سدیم و استفاده  
از محلول ۲٪ IKI متعاقب نرمال سالین در درمان های اندودنتیک

به راهنمایی اساتید ارجمند:

جناب آقای دکتر اکبر خیاط  
جناب آقای دکتر محمد معتمدی فر

نگارش:

دکتر سید عباس عباس زادگان

تیر ماه ۱۳۸۹

۱۴۷۲۰۱

## ارزیابی پایان نامه

پایان نامه شماره ۱۲۶۶ تحت عنوان:

*An in vivo comparative study of antibacterial activity of NaOCl with normal saline followed by 2% IKI solution as final rinse in endodontic treatments*

ارائه شده توسط دکتر سید عباس عباس زادگان در تاریخ ۸۹/۴/۱۲ در کمیته بررسی پایان نامه مطرح و با درجه/نمره ۱۹.۵ به تصویب رسید.

اعضاء محترم هیات داوری:

۱. جناب آقای دکتر اکبر خیاط

۲. جناب آقای دکتر محمد معتمدی فر

۳. جناب آقای دکتر فریبرز معظمی

۴. سرکار خانم دکتر لعیان صفی

۵. جناب آقای دکتر محمدرضا آذر

۶. جناب آقای دکتر حمیدرضا پاکشیر

۷. جناب آقای دکتر علیرضا عدل

۱۳۸۹/۹/۱۴

پایان نامه

*To my parents, my special wife  
and my family who taught me how  
to love and live honestly, faithfully  
and unwearingly and to those  
who believe in  
the power of God*

## Acknowledgments

First of all, I would like to thank *God Almighty* for granting me the wisdom. If it were not His grace, I would not be what I am at present.

Secondly, I am very thankful to all of my committee members for making this project possible:

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my first supervisor, *Professor Khayat* for his leadership and his immense knowledge, who was always willing to listen, talk and give positive feedback with continued support to complete this research project.

Sincere thanks are extended to my second supervisor *Dr. Motamedifar*, for his support, his warm cooperation and his useful scientific comments.

I am grateful to *Dr. Moazami*, the director of Endodontics department for his guidance, support and cooperation.

I am also grateful to *Dr. Adl*, for his critical reviewing of the discussion part and his comments.

I should express my deep gratitude as well, to *Dr. Vosoughi* for his help in compiling the statistics in this study.

I also wish to thank the Microbiological laboratory of Shiraz Medical University, in particular, *Mr. Hosseini* for his devotion of time beyond his normal line of duty and his speed in handling my work.

Finally, a special "thank-you" to all my classmates and friends for their continuous help and support in the Graduate Endodontics department.

# **Table of Contents**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Title page</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>Dedication</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>IV-VII</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. Root canal infections versus other infections</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.2. Microbiology of root canal system</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.2.1. Endodontic infections</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.2.2. Chronic apical periodontitis</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.2.3. Acute apical periodontitis and abscesses</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1.2.4. The apical root canal microbiota</b>	<b>11</b>

<b>1.2.5. Persistent and secondary infections</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>1.2.6. Bacteria persisting immediately after treatment</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>1.2.7. Bacteria in treated root canals</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>1.2.8. Extraradicular infections</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>1.2.9. Microbial invasion of dentin</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>1.3. Chemomechanical Strategies to Manage Endodontic</b>	
<b>    Infections</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>    1.3.1. Importance of tooth isolation</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>    1.3.2. Root canal instrumentation</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>1.3.2.1. Manual Instrumentation</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>1.3.2.2. Rotary instrumentation</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>    1.3.3. Root canal disinfection</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>1.3.3.1. Sodium hypochlorite</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>1.3.3.1.1. Mechanism of action</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>1.3.3.1.2. Antimicrobial activity</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>1.3.3.1.3. Antifungal activity</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>1.3.3.1.4. Buffering effect of dentine on NaOCl</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>1.3.3.1.5. Effect of NaOCl on the composition and</b>	
<b>structure of dentine</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>1.3.3.1.6. Toxicity of NaOCl</b>	<b>43</b>



1.3.3.1.7.	Injection of sodium hypochlorite beyond the apical foramen	46
1.3.3.1.8.	Allergic reactions to NaOCl	46
1.3.3.2.	Other Biocides	47
1.3.3.2.1.	Chlorhexidine	50
1.3.3.2.2.	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	55
1.3.3.2.3.	Phenolic Agents	57
1.3.3.3.	EDTA and citric acid	60
1.3.3.4	Iodine compounds	62
1.3.3.4.1	Antibacterial activity	63
1.3.3.4.2	Antifungal activity	67
1.3.3.4.3	Buffering effect of dentin	67
1.3.3.4.4	Cytotoxicity of iodine compounds	68
1.3.3.4.5	Allergic reactions to iodine compounds	69
1.3.3.5	MTAD	70
1.3.3.6	Bioactive glass	73
1.3.3.7	Superoxidized Water	73
<b>2.</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>75</b>
2.1.	Clinical Material	75
2.2.	Endodontic Treatment and Sampling	
	Procedures	76

<b>2.3. Microbiological Analysis</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>2.4. Statistical Analysis</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>3. RESULTS</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>4. DISCUSSION</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>5. REFERENCES</b>	<b>91</b>

# **List of Tables**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Table 1. Bacterial load (CFU per <math>\mu</math>l) and percent reduction in group I</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Table 2. Bacterial load (CFU per <math>\mu</math>l) and percent reduction in group II</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Table 3. Summary of CFU Mean and standard deviations</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Table 4. Summary of CFU reductions</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Table 5. Wilcoxon test's statistical significance</b>	<b>84</b>

# **List of Figures**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Fig. 2.1 Irrigation solutions</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Fig. 2.2 Sampling procedure</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Fig. 2.3. Anaerobic jar</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Fig. 3-1 Comparison of CFU changes by using NaOCl and normal saline followed by IKI</b>	<b>84</b>

# Abstract

**Background:** Bacteria play a central role in the development and progression of pulp and periapical disease. It is believed that effective debridement of the root canal system prior to sealing by means of chemomechanical preparation is the key to long-term success of endodontic therapy. In order to achieve this goal, various irrigants are used which Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is amongst the favorite one.

Despite the positive aspects of NaOCl, it also has some known clinical disadvantages which can make someone to search for other alternatives.

There are some advantages for the clinical use of Iodine potassium iodide (IKI) as a root canal disinfectant over NaOCl such as lower toxicity, more tolerable odor and taste, and nonbleaching.

It has acceptable antimicrobial properties, and a 2% solution has lower toxicity to tissue culture cells. Besides, it can penetrate deeper than 1000 $\mu$ m of dentin for 5 minutes.

In spite of its promising results from in vitro studies, published clinical studies have been insufficient. So, the current study was aimed at comparing the antibacterial activity of NaOCl with normal saline followed by 2% IKI solution as final rinse in infected teeth.

**Materials and methods:** For this purpose, thirty single-rooted teeth with necrotic pulps were selected according to inclusion/exclusion criteria and divided in to two groups randomly. In group I, 2.5% NaOCl was an irrigant during instrumentation and in group II; normal saline was used followed by a 5 minute of 2% IKI final rinse. Bacterial

samples were taken before treatment (S<sub>1</sub>), after instrumentation (S<sub>2</sub>) and after final rinse of IKI in group II (S<sub>3</sub>).

**Results:** Results revealed that bacteria were present in all initial samples. Both NaOCl and Normal saline were able to significantly reduce the number of CFU from S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>2</sub> (90 % and 43% respectively). After chemomechanical preparation using NaOCl (group I), 2 of the 15 canals (13.3%) showed negative culture but no cases in group II rendered bacterial free.

Following treatment by using IKI final rinse in group II, an approximate 52.7% increase in bacterial count was seen from S<sub>2</sub> to S<sub>3</sub> although 15% decrease (non-significant) from S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>3</sub> was also evident. It means that this protocol of using IKI did not offer any additional advantages over irrigation with sole normal saline (15% versus 43% reduction from initial bacterial count which had no statistically significant difference).

**Conclusion:** 2.5% NaOCl irrigation could not render all canals free of bacteria but was significantly proven superior to either sole irrigation with normal saline or irrigation with normal saline followed by 2% IKI final rinse.

**Key words:** IKI, NaOCl, Normal saline, Irrigant, Endodontics

# 1. Introduction

Microorganisms and their by-products are considered to be the major cause of pulp and periradicular pathosis (1-6). Hence, the major objective in endodontic therapy is to disinfect the entire root canal system by eliminating any possible source of infection (7). Moreover, the outcome of endodontic treatment largely depends on the effectiveness of the control or elimination of microorganisms in root canal system (8-10). This goal may be accomplished using mechanical instrumentation and chemical irrigation by various techniques and different irrigants and medicaments.

There is no solid evidence in the literature that demonstrates that mechanical instrumentation alone results in a bacteriafree root canal system, and when the complex anatomy of the root canal system is considered (11,12), this is not surprising.

Further, there is in vitro and clinical evidence that mechanical instrumentation leaves significant portions of the root canal walls untouched (13), so, complete elimination of bacteria by instrumentation alone is unlikely to occur (14).

It is assumed, but not demonstrated, that any pulp tissue left in the root canals can serve as nutrient for any remaining bacteria. Furthermore, tissue remnants also impede the antimicrobial effects of root canal irrigants and medicaments. Therefore, some form of irrigation and disinfection is necessary to remove residual tissue and to kill microorganisms. Chemical treatment of the root canal system can be arbitrarily divided into irrigants, canal rinses, and interappointment medicaments. In this part we will review the various endodontic infections and chemomechanical strategies to manage them.

### ***1.1. Root canal infections versus other infections***

Root canal system infections possess some peculiarities that differentiate them from infections in other human sites. Once established, a root canal system infection cannot be eliminated by the host defense mechanisms or by systemic antibiotic therapy. This is explained by the fact that microorganisms present in root canal system infections are in a protected sanctuary, where the absence of a blood supply in a necrotic pulp impedes the transport of defense cells and molecules as well as systemically administered antibiotics to the infected site (15). On the other hand, although host defense mechanisms and systemic antibiotics are ineffective against microorganisms within the root canal system, if microorganisms gain access to the highly vascularized periradicular tissues, they are usually effectively eliminated and thereby prevented from spreading to other sites. However, if infection spreads into



facial spaces, it may cause life-threatening conditions such as Ludwig's angina and cavernous sinus thrombosis (15).

Due to the anatomical localization of the endodontic infection, it only can be treated through professional endodontic intervention using both chemical and mechanical procedures.

## ***1.2. Microbiology of root canal system***

Basically the three most common situations requiring endodontic treatment are as follows (16-19):

- The uninfected pulp (irreversible pulpitis, iatrogenic pulp exposures, trauma, elective pulp therapy for mechanical or restorative dentistry reasons),
- Infected root canal systems with or without apical periodontitis (and without any previous endodontic treatment) where bacterial distribution is variable within the main canal, dentine and lateral canals, and
- Infected canals in previously root-filled teeth with or without apical periodontitis, including cases where the location of bacteria may reflect the quality of the root filling.

The oral cavity contains one of the most concentrated accumulations of microorganisms in the human body with more than 700 different species having been detected so far. Almost all bacteria recovered from the root canal systems of infected teeth belong to the oral microflora (20). However, it is estimated that some 50% of species in the oral microflora cannot be cultured

or have not yet been cultivated. Consequently, concepts of endodontic infections and the associated microflora may need to be re-defined over time as more species become identified through more refined research techniques (21, 22).

Bacteria can be found in both planktonic form and in biofilm communities. The configuration will affect the efficacy of antimicrobial agents with biofilms being much more resistant because of their diffusion barriers and altered bacterial cell metabolism and replication rates (23). It has been shown that some of the root canal walls often remain untouched during chemomechanical preparation of the canals regardless of the instrumentation technique and instruments used (24). Endodontic treatment failure that can be attributed to micro-organisms remaining in the canal may occur if the microorganisms possess pathogenicity, reach sufficient numbers, and gain access to the periradicular tissues to induce or maintain periradicular inflammation (25). Bacteria remaining in the untouched areas within the root canal system are recognized as the main cause of persistent infections after root canal therapy (25, 26) and they can induce inflammatory responses in the periradicular tissues (21).

### ***1.2.1. Endodontic infections***

Microbial diversity in endodontic infections has been consistently assessed by anaerobic culturing and culture independent molecular biology methods. Collectively, more than 400 different microbial taxa have been identified in endodontic samples from teeth with different forms of apical periodontitis.

These taxa are usually found in combinations involving many species in primary infections and a few ones in secondary persistent infections (21). Endodontic bacteria fall into nine of the 13 phyla that have oral representatives, namely Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, Synergistes, TM7, and Sulphur River 1 (SR1) (27-32). In addition to bacteria, fungi and archaea have been only occasionally found in intraradicular infections (33, 34, 35) while herpesviruses and HIV have been detected in pulp (only HIV) and apical periodontitis lesions (36-43).

Endodontic infections develop in a previously sterile place which as such does not contain a normal microbiota. Therefore, any species found in the canal has the potential to be an endodontic pathogen or at least to play a role in the ecology of the microbial community. So far, virtually all studies involved with phenotypic or genotypic identification of endodontic bacteria have followed a cross-sectional design, for obvious ethical reasons. Thus, species prevalence and consequently only species association with disease can be inferred from these studies. In addition to frequency of detection, causation may be strengthened on the basis of potential pathogenicity (in animal models or deduced from association with other human diseases). Based on cross sectional studies, several species have emerged as candidate or putative endodontic pathogens, and the labels candidate or putative should be preserved while a definite role in disease causation is not determined.

Primary infections are caused by microorganisms that colonize the necrotic pulp tissue. It can also be regarded as the initial or 'wild' infection, in the sense that there has not been any professional intervention yet. Participating

microorganisms may have been involved in the earlier stages of pulp invasion (usually via caries), which culminated in inflammation and further necrosis, or they can be latecomers that took advantage of the environmental conditions in the root canal after pulp necrosis. Primary infections are the cause of primary apical periodontitis, which can manifest itself as a chronic or acute disease. Some acute conditions may evolve to an abscess, which in some cases can spread to head and neck spaces to establish a life-threatening condition.

### **1.2.2. *Chronic apical periodontitis***

Primary infections are conspicuously dominated by anaerobic bacteria organized in a mixed community. Overall, the bacterial density per canal varies from  $10^3$  to  $10^8$  (31, 44-47). The largest counts may be mostly related to large lesions and/or symptomatic cases. As for species richness, a mean of 10-20 species/phylotypes have been found per canal in teeth with chronic apical periodontitis as revealed by molecular biology studies (21 29, 32, 48). Root canals of teeth with apical radiolucency associated with a draining sinus tract (chronic apical abscess or suppurative apical periodontitis) have been reported to harbor a mean number of 17 species (32).

The size of the apical periodontitis lesion has been shown to be proportional to the number of bacterial species and cells in the root canal (32, 44, 49). In a molecular study using the reverse-capture checkerboard approach to assess the microbiota of teeth with chronic apical periodontitis (32), demonstrated that the mean number of bacterial taxa per canal was in direct proportion to the