

In the Name of God



Allameh Tabataba'i University
Faculty of Persian Literature and Foreign Languages
Department of English Language and Literature

The Role of Language Learning Identity in Willingness to Communicate among Iranian EFL Learners

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Arts in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL)

Advisor: Dr. Shahin Vaezi

Reader: Dr. Mohammad Khatib

By:

Mahsa Vahedi aval

Tehran, Iran

September 2012

In the Name of God

The Most Merciful

The Most Compassionate

Dedication

To my life-long teachers

*My Dear Parents and
Professors*

Abstract

Poststructuralists in the field of SLA have been trying to understand what identity is, how it relates to a larger society, and most importantly how it affects one's language learning process. MacIntyre et al. (1998) argued that the ultimate goal of second or foreign language learning should be to “engender in language students the willingness to seek out communication opportunities and the willingness actually to communicate in them” (p. 547). The major purpose of this study is to investigate any probable effect of the students' developing Language Learning Identity (LLI) on their foreign language (FL) Willingness to Communicate (WTC). In doing so, the LLI of students at intermediate and advanced levels have been investigated and later compared to the degree of their WTC. The participants of the study were 250 male and 250 female students at intermediate and advanced levels studying at Iran Language Institute (ILI) and 20 students (12 female and 8 male) studying ESP at the Iran University of Science and Technology(IUST). LLI questionnaire was one of the instruments used in this study which was developed by the researcher and the other one was WTC questionnaire adapted from MacIntyre, Baker, Clément and Conrod (2001). Furthermore an interview was conducted with 20 ESP students at IUST to provide complementary information about the factors that would lead to more enhanced identity and WTC variables or factors that would diminish it. The obtained results were analyzed. Both male and female participants at

advanced levels of language learning possessed *foreign language (FL) identity*. A chi-square analysis was run to compare the subjects' Willingness to Communicate based on LLI. The findings point to this fact that participants with *high (FL) identity* are the ones who are *more willing to communicate in English*. Nevertheless, Iranian EFL learners desire to assimilate the foreign culture only up to the extent that the foreign culture and identity arising from it aid the process of foreign language learning and not more.

Acknowledgments

Although my name appears on the cover of this thesis, I could not have done this alone and might even have withdrawn from the study prior to its completion if it had not been for the wonderful people who supported me in this effort.

First and foremost I want to thank God in whose name I started writing this thesis and who helped me manage to finish it in time.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Vaezi whose psycholinguistic course in Allameh Tabataba'i University inspired the researcher to work on this topic. She always supported, directed, and encouraged me. She had a keen eye for details throughout the process of writing and revising the thesis. Also it is my great pleasure to thank my reader Dr. Khatib, whose hints and supports were of remarkable contribution to the writing of this thesis.

Furthermore, I wish to extend my appreciation to Dr. Tajeddin who provided essential encouragement and supervision in developing the Language Learning Identity questionnaire.

I am also highly grateful to the heads and managers of Iran Language Institute (ILI) who permitted the researcher to collect data at Tajrish (male) and Golbarg (female) branch. Here again I would like to thank Dr. Vaezi for

giving the researcher the opportunity to conduct interviews with her ESP students at Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST).

I would like to honestly offer my heartfelt thanks to my husband who patiently bore with me to finish this thesis and without whose encouragement I would have most probably stopped half through the way.

I offer my deepest gratitude to my loving parents who have been inspiring and supporting me throughout my personal and academic life and taught me the things that no one can find in books. Thank you one and all.

Table of Contents

Dedication.....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Figures.....	x
List of Charts.....	xi
List of Abbreviations.....	xii
List of Appendices.....	xiii
Chapter One: Introduction.....	1
1.1. Background.....	2
1.2. Statement of the Problem.....	6
1.3. Significance of the Stud.....	7
1.4. Purpose of the Study.....	9
1.5. Research Questions.....	9
1.6. Definition of the Key Term.....	10
1.7. Limitations/ Delimitations of the Study.....	11
Chapter Two: Review of the Literature.....	13
2.1. Introduction.....	14
2.2. Language Learning Identity.....	14
2.2.1. Theories of Identity.....	14
2.2.2. A Framework for Identity.....	18

2.2.2.1. Erik Erikson—what is identity.....	18
2.2.2.2. The Process of Identity Development.....	20
2.2.3. Identity in SLA and Applied Linguistics.....	21
2.2.3.1. The Psycholinguistic Approach to Identity.....	25
2.2.3.2. The Communicative Approach to Identity.....	27
2.2.3.3. Social Constructivist and Poststructuralist Notion of Identity.....	29
2.2.4. Individual Psychosocial Processes of Identity Formation.....	31
2.2.5. Institutional and Societal Contexts of Identity Formation.....	34
2.2.6. Research Trajectories.....	38
2.3. Willingness to Communicate.....	45
2.3.1. Foundations of Willingness-To-Communicate Construct.....	46
2.3.2. A Personality trait-like or Situational state-like Construct: different models of WTC.....	49
2.3.2.1. McCroskey’s Model of WTC.....	50
2.3.2.2. Clement’s Social Context Model of WTC.....	51
2.3.2.3. Kang’s Model of WTC.....	52
2.3.2.4. MacIntyre et al.’s Heuristic Model of WTC in L2.....	54
2.3.3. Sex and Age, Marking off Willingness to Communicate.....	57
2.3.4. WTC in L2 in Asian Contexts.....	60
2.3.5. Cross cultural view and Researches on WTC and other variable.....	65
Chapter Three: Methodology.....	71
3.1. Introduction.....	72
3.2. Participants.....	72

3.3. Instruments.....	73
3.3.1. Language Learning Identity (LLI) Questionnaire.....	74
3.3.1.1. Reliability.....	75
3.3.1.2. Construct Validity.....	75
3.3.2. Willingness to Communicate (WTC) Questionnaire.....	80
3.4. Data Collection Procedures.....	80
3.5. Research Design.....	82
3.6. Data Analysis.....	82
Chapter Four: Results and Discussion.....	84
4.1. Introduction.....	85
4.2. Results.....	85
4.2.1. The First Research Question.....	85
4.2.2. The Second Research Question.....	87
4.3. Discussion of the Results.....	93
Chapter Five: Conclusion, Implications, and Suggestions for further Research.....	102
5.1. Introduction.....	103
5.2. Conclusion.....	103
5.3. Pedagogical Implications.....	105
5.4. Suggestions for further Research.....	108
References.....	110

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Ways in which self-aspects can be perceived & evaluated.....	16
Table 3.1 Reliability statistics of LLI test.....	75
Table 3.2 Total variance of items on LLI test	76
Table 3.3 Rotated Component Matrix.....	78
Table 4.1 Language Learning Identity tendency according to gender.....	86
Table 4.2 Analysis of chi-square identity groups on WTC.....	88
Table 4.3 Distribution of WTC data among the three identity groups.....	89
Table 4.4 Distribution of WTC data among the three identity groups separated by gender.....	91
Table 4.5 Analysis of chi-square gender and identity groups on WTC.....	92

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Clement's proposed Model of Second Language (L2) Communication.....	52
Figure 2.2 Kang's Model of WTC.....	53
Figure 2.3 MacIntyre et al.'s heuristic model of WTC in L2.....	56

List of Charts

Chart 4.1 Distribution of LLI questionnaire's responses.....	95
---	----

List of Abbreviations

- CA:** Communication Anxiety
- CLT:** Communicative Language Teaching
- EFL:** English as a Foreign Language
- ELL:** English Language Learner
- ELT:** English Language Teaching
- ESP:** English for Specific Purposes
- FL:** Foreign Language
- IL:** Inter language
- ILI:** Iran Language Institute
- IUST:** Iran University of Science and Technology
- L1:** First Language
- L2:** Second Language
- LLI:** Language Learning Identity
- SCT:** Self-Categorization Theory
- SIT:** Social Identity Theory
- SLA:** Second Language Acquisition
- SPCC:** Self-Perceived Communicative Competence
- SPSS:** Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
- WTC:** Willingness to Communicate

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Language Learning Identity (LLI) Questionnaire.....	121
Appendix B: Persian version of Language Learning Identity (LLI) Questionnaire.....	123
Appendix C: Willingness to Communicate (WTC) Questionnaire.....	125
Appendix D: Persian version of Willingness to Communicate (WTC) Questionnaire.....	127
Appendix E: Interview Questions.....	129

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1. Background

Psychosocial theory of personality development shows that one of the major developmental tasks concerning late adolescence is the formation of a stable and coherent sense of self-identity. The construction of young people's identities is dependent on the context of other developmental demands and role transitions typical of their age, such as those related to future education, peer and intimate relationships and occupation. (Erikson, 1968; Havighurst, 1948, cited in Berzonsky et al, 1999).

With a shift of focus from a psycholinguistic to a more comprehensive social and anthropological view towards language and linguistics, educators and researchers have become more interested in the issue of language identity. These researchers have investigated the diverse social, historical, and cultural contexts in which language learning takes place and how learners deal with the plethora of positions those contexts offer them. According to Norton (2006) it is now believed that language is more than a system of signs; it is a social practice in which experiences are organized and identities negotiated.

Freud was one of the pioneers who made use of the term "identity". He tried to examine inner identity for formulating an implicit concept that emphasized race and religion and concentrated on preparation for the life

more than the present life which was empty of prejudice and was thoroughly logical. Identity theorists can be considered as a group of “Ego” psychological theorists whose main goal was to examine the effect of some aspects of social and psychological environment of “Ego” and its development and performance. In this regard, we can refer to the theories of Erickson, Glasser, Kegan, Blos, Lovenger, Kolberg, Morcia, Adams, and Berzonsky (Ghasemi, 2005).

Nowadays in modern language pedagogy communication, the functional nature of which has been related to a variety of individual and contextual characteristics, has got a great emphasis. Cultivation of the communicative competence as Canale and Swain (1980) believed is one of the significant goals in modern language teaching and learning. By the communicative language teaching (CLT) approach, classroom organization had been “increasingly characterized by authenticity, real-world simulation, and meaningful tasks” (Brown, 2001: 42). The traditional teacher fronted classes have been replaced by more teacher-student and student-student interaction. Therefore, learners’ willingness “to talk in order to learn” (Skehan, 1989: 48) is a crucial factor in second language acquisition (SLA).

The concept of “Willingness to Communicate” (WTC) was originally developed by McCroskey and associates (McCroskey & Baer, 1985; McCroskey & Richmond, 1987, 1990, 1991), as a personality-based, trait-

like predisposition that individuals display in first language (L1) communication. MacIntyre and associates applied the WTC construct which can be roughly defined as the intention to initiate communication in a second language context (MacIntyre & Charos, 1996; MacIntyre et al., 1998). With regard to L2 communication, L1 and L2 WTC are likely to be independent (MacIntyre et al., 2003, cited in Cao & Philp, 2006); that is, WTC cannot be transferred from L1 to L2. As Cao and Philp (2006) pointed out, this lack of transferability is due to the fact that there is much greater variation in communicative competence among most L2 users, as well as social factors associated with L2 use (MacIntyre et al., 1998).

There is a number of factors that have been identified as directly or indirectly predictive of L2 WTC. Second language anxiety or communication apprehension as well as self-perceived competence have been consistently found to be the two variables which are closely related to L2 WTC (Clément, Baker, & MacIntyre, 2003; Yashima, 2002). Motivation is depicted to correlate with L2 WTC (Hashimoto, 2002; MacIntyre et al., 2002) or to exert indirect influence on L2 WTC (Yashima, 2002; Yashima, Zenuk-Nishide, & Shimizu, 2004). It is also found that L2 WTC can be related to social support (MacIntyre, Baker, Clément, & Conrod, 2001), personality traits (MacIntyre & Charos, 1996), and gender (Baker & MacIntyre, 2000; MacIntyre et al., 2002).

Some researchers believed that EFL learning and language achievement can be “part and parcel of students’ self-identity construction” (Gao et al., 2002:115) and indicated that how individual learners went through different degrees of agency in the process of self-identity construction. Therefore we can see that in addition to all the above mentioned variables which have been identified to be predictive of L2 WTC, Language Learning Identity can be related to WTC as well.

Many researchers have contributed significantly to shape the current view of language identity as influenced by both institutional and community practices. Duff and Uchida (1997), for instance, believed that according to the sociocultural theory of identity, identities and beliefs are negotiated and changed regularly through language.

There is now a huge amount of research that explores the relationship between identity, language learning, and language teaching. (e.g., Antaki and Widdicombe (1998); Bernstein, (2000); Black, (2006); Block, (2002, 2006), etc.) Issues of identity are seen to be relevant not only to language learners, but to language teachers, teacher educators, and researchers. Regarding the potential benefits of participating in communicative interaction, some researchers argue (see for example MacIntyre et al., 1998, 2003) that the creation of WTC in the language learning process should be the fundamental goal of second language education; that is, to encourage learners to be