In The Name Of God The Merciful, The Compassionate



University of Guilan International Campus

Thesis Submitted for the Award of Master of Arts in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL)

The Relationship between Intelligence and Receptive Language Skills among EFL Learners

By

Seyyed Amin Majouri

Supervisor: Dr. Abdorreza Tahriri Adviser: Dr. Maryam Danaye Tous

September 2013



Parents

ACKNOWLEGEMENT

My experiences with the faculty and staff of University of Guilan have undoubtedly shaped me both professionally and personally. From the very beginning, Dr. Tahriri, my supervisor, was there to lend me his support, wisdom, and wit. In his own caring and nurturing way, he guided me in the discovery of a fresh perspective time after time. This study would not have been attainable without the assistance of Dr. Dana, my advisor. Her drive for precision has led me to further hone my skills as a researcher and a practitioner. I am forever indebted to her for her dedication, kindness, and support.

My loving family has rooted for me as long as I can remember. They have instilled upon me the importance of family, faith, and education. They have taught me to be myself and to take pride in everything that I do. I am who I am today because of them. I can only hope and pray that I can provide my future family with the same kind of unconditional love and support that they have afforded me. Words cannot begin to express what they mean to me. I love them with all my heart, always and forever.

Finally, my special thanks go to Shokooh English Institute and Islamic Azad University of Ghaemshahr, their administrators, teachers, and students who helped me a lot in collecting data and many other people who contributed and supported me in different ways. It is impossible to cite them all here. Although their names do not appear in this acknowledgement, they will always be remembered in my heart.

ABSTRACT

The present study sought to investigate the relationship between intelligence and foreign language receptive skills among upper-intermediate EFL learners with a consideration of the role gender and age. The study was a quantitative correlational one. The participants were 102 Iranian male and female EFL learners from two branches of Shokouh English Institute and Islamic Azad university of Ghaemshahr. Three different instruments were used to collect the relevant data: 1) Oxford Placement Test (2011) to determine participating EFL learners' proficiency level, 2) Raven Progressive Matrices (RPM) to determine the participants' IQ, and 3) a collection of reading and listening comprehension tests of IELTS to assess the participants' reading and listening comprehension. Pearson coefficient correlation and the Fisher r-to-z transformation were used to analyze the obtained data. The results suggested significant relationship between intelligence and both reading and listening comprehension. However, the difference between male and female participants regarding the relationship between intelligence and receptive language skills was not found to be significant. In addition, this correlation was stronger for the participants who were between 18 to 22 years old than 23 to 27 or 28 to 32 years of age. The difference between age groups was found to be statistically significant. The major implication of the study is that intelligence as an important individual variable should receive further attention in language teaching programs.

Keywords: Intelligence; receptive language skills; upper-intermediate level.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	II
Dedication	III
Acknowledgment	IV
Abstract	V
Table of Contents	VI
List of Tables	IX
List of Figures	XI
List of Abbreviations	XIII

Chapter One: Introduction	01
1.1 Preview	02
1.2 Statement of the Problem	03
1.3 Objectives and Significance of the Study	04
1.4 Research Questions/Hypotheses	05
1.5 Limitations and Delimitations of the Study	06
1.5.1 Delimitations	06
1.5.2 Limitations	06
1.6 Definition of Key Terms	07
1.6.1 Intelligence	07
1.6.2 Reading Comprehension	07
1.6.3 Listening Comprehension	08
1.7 Outline of the Study	08
Chapter Two: Literature Review	09
2.1 Introduction	10

2.2 Theoretical Framework	10
2.2.1 Models related to Individual Differences and SLA	11
2.2.1.1 The Carroll model of school learning: an interactional model	11
2.2.1.2 The Good Language Learner model	12
2.2.1.3 Monitor model	14
2.2.2 Classifications of Individual Differences	15
2.3 Intelligence	16
2.3.1 Definition of Intelligence	17
2.3.2 Testing Intelligence	20
2.4 Receptive Language Skills	22
2.4.1 Listening Comprehension	22
2.4.2 Reading Comprehension	24
2.5 Studies on Intelligence and language receptive skills	25
2.6 A Case Study	
2.7 Summary	34
Chapter Three: Methodology	
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Participants	
3.3 Materials/Instruments	40
3.3.1 Oxford Placement Test	41
3.3.2 Raven Progression Matrix	41
3.3.3 Reading and Listening Comprehension Test	41
3.4 Design of the Study	42
3.5 Data Collection Procedure	42
3.6 Data Analysis	43

3.7 Summary	44
Chapter Four: Results and Discussion	46
4.1 Introduction.	47
4.2 Findings	47
4.2.1 Pearson Coefficient Correlation	53
4.2.2 Fisher r-to-z transformation	65
4.3 Discussion	68
4.3.1 Intelligence and Reading Comprehension	68
4.3.2 Intelligence and Listening Comprehension	69
4.3.3 Intelligence and Receptive Language Skills across Gender	69
4.3.4 Intelligence and Receptive Language Skills across Age Groups	70
Chapter Five: Conclusions	73
5.1 Introduction	74
5.2 Conclusions	74
5.3 Pedagogical Implications	77
5.4 Suggestions for Further Research	78
References	79
Appendices	87
APPENDIX A: Oxford Placement Test 3 (2011)	
APPENDIX B: Raven's Process Matrices	92
APPENDIX C: Listening and Reading Comprehension Test	

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Obtained correlations between intelligence and reading ability from 1955
until 1982 (Stanovich, Cunningham, and Feeman, 1984)28
Table 3.1 The number of the initial sample and the participants of the study
Table 3.2 Information about the participants' gender
Table 3.3 Demographic information of the participants 40
Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics of scores
Table 4.2 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and reading comprehension) 53
Table 4.3 Correlations between IQ and reading comprehension
Table 4.4 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and listening comprehension)
Table 4.5 Correlations between IQ and listening comprehension
Table 4.6 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and female reading comprehension) 55
Table 4.7 Correlations between females' IQ and their reading comprehension55
Table 4.8 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and female listening comprehension)
Table 4.9 Correlations between females' IQ and their listening comprehension56
Table 4.10 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and male reading comprehension)
Table 4.11 Correlations between males' IQ and their reading comprehension57
Table 4.12 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and male listening comprehension)
Table 4.13 Correlations between males' IQ and their listening comprehension
Table 4.14 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and group A reading comprehension)
Table 4.15 Correlations between group A IQ and their reading comprehension59
Table 4.16 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and group A listening comprehension)60
Table 4.17 Correlations between group A IQ and their listening comprehension60
Table 4.18 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and group B reading comprehension) 61

Table 4.19 Correlations between group B IQ and their reading comprehension61
Table 4.20 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and group B listening comprehension)
Table 4.21 Correlations between group B IQ and their listening comprehension62
Table 4.22 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and group C reading comprehension)
Table 4.23 Correlations group C IQ and their reading comprehension
Table 4.24 Descriptive Statistics (IQ and group C listening comprehension)
Table 4.26 Correlations between group C IQ and their listening comprehension64
Table 4.27 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Gender and Reading
Comprehension
Table 4.28 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Gender and Listening
Comprehension
Table 4.29 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Age Groups (A & B) and Reading
Comprehension
Table 4.30 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Age Groups (A & C) and Reading
Comprehension
Table 4.31 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Age Groups (B & C) and Reading
Comprehension
Table 4.32 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Age Groups (A & B) and Listening
Comprehension
Table 4.33 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Age Groups (A & C) and Listening
Comprehension
Table 4.34 Fisher r-to-z Transformation report on Age Groups (B & C) and Listening
Comprehension

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 The Carroll model of school learning: an interactional model (Skehan,
1989)
Figure 2.2 The Good Language Learner model (Skehan, 1989)13
Figure 2.3 The Monitor Model (Skehan, 1989)14
Figure 3.1 Distribution of the participants' gender
Figure 3.2 Distribution of different age groups40
Figure 4.1 Distribution of participants' IQ scores
Figure 4.2 Distribution of participants' reading comprehension scores
Figure 4.3 Distribution of participants' listening comprehension scores
Figure 4.4 Distribution of participants regarding their IQ and reading comprehension
scores
Figure 4.5 Distribution of participants regarding their IQ and listening comprehension
scores
Figure 4.6 Correlation between IQ and reading comprehension
Figure 4.7 Correlation between IQ and listening comprehension
Figure 4.8 Correlation between females' IQ and their reading comprehension55
Figure 4.9 Correlation between females' IQ and their listening comprehension56
Figure 4.10 Correlation between males' IQ and their reading comprehension57
Figure 4.11 Correlation between males' IQ and their listening comprehension58
Figure 4.12 Correlation between group A IQ and their reading comprehension59
Figure 4.13 Correlation between group A IQ and their listening comprehension60
Figure 4.14 Correlation between group B IQ and their reading comprehension61
Figure 4.15 Correlation between group B IQ and their listening comprehension62

Figure 4.16 Correlation between group C IQ and their reading comprehension63 Figure 4.17 Correlation between group C IQ and their listening comprehension64 Figure 4.18 A brief overview of all the correlations between different variables68

List of Abbreviations

- **CPM: Raven Colored Progressive Matrices**
- EFL: English as a Foreign Language
- ESL: English as a Second Language
- GLL: Good Language Learner
- IDs: Individual Differences
- IELTS: International English Language Testing System
- IQ: Intelligent Quotient
- L2: Second Language
- MLAT: Modern Language Aptitude Test
- PPVT: Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test
- RPM: Raven's Process Matrices
- SEI: Shokooh English Institute
- SLA: Second Language Acquisition
- TOEFL: Test of English as Foreign Language
- WISC: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children

Chapter One Introduction

1.1. Preview

Successful second language acquisition (SLA), an obvious goal of anyone in the field of language learning, is a great concern to applied linguists. In fact, in a class with similar facilities, the students progress differently. While some students learn faster, others might face hardship during learning and sometimes some of them fail to succeed at all. There are neurolinguistic, cognitive, and social factors which influence learning greatly; however, individual factors also play an important role in successful SLA. Second language (L2) learning "demands a level of personal engagement unlike ... any other subject-matters studied in academic settings" (Horwitz, 1995, p. 573). Brown (2007) found self-esteem, inhibition, risk-taking, anxiety, empathy, and motivation as the main individual factors which influence successful SLA.

Intelligence is one of the individual factors which should be taken into consideration. According to Teepen (2005), there is a strong relationship between intelligence and SLA. He stated that "higher IQ scores correlated with better performance on academic aspects of second language acquisition" (p. 8). McLaughlin (1987a) also believed in intelligence as one of the most important factors in SLA.

While the relationship between intelligence and second language learning had been confirmed by numerous researchers (for example, Teepen, 2005; Chowdhury, 2010), Chomsky (1981) did not highlighted the role of individual differences within a speech community, and Teepen (2005) had the same idea about the effect of intelligence on oral communication. However, the effect of intelligence on reading comprehension has not been empirically investigated sufficiently. Several studies (Barnes, 1955; Birch & Belmont, 1965; Chall, 1967; Samuels & Dahl, 1975; Singer, 1977; White & Jacob, 1979; cited in Stanovich, Cunningham, & Feeman, 1984) have been carried out on reading ability and intelligence tests. Nevertheless, none of these studies worked on second language reading comprehension in particular.

Comprehension and in particular reading and listening comprehension are two key elements of successful SLA. Listening was long considered as a Cinderella skill which has been overlooked by its elder sister, speaking. However, according to Nunan (1997), in the 1960s the focus on oral language skills gave it a boost and later in 1980s, Krashen's (1982) ideas about comprehensible input made listening fashionable again. Research on reading has also flourished, both in first and second language since 1980s. Recently, several studies have been carried out in this field (for example, Jafarigohar, 2012; Lien, 2011) which have investigated some factors on reading comprehension. This study aims to investigate one of these factors, intelligence; in other words, the relationship between intelligence and foreign language reading and listening comprehension will be investigated in this study.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Comprehension and production are two indispensible components of communication. Therefore, comprehension and production both for the sake of communication must be taken into consideration. Children are unable to produce words or sentences for the purpose of communication without first comprehending the language (Steinberg & Sciarini, 2006). Brown (2007) also believes that speech comprehension develops prior to speech production in adults. Therefore, reading and listening comprehension as two significant skills of SLA must receive a great deal of attention.

As it was mentioned earlier, intelligence, as one of the individual factors, may play a role in reading and listening comprehension. The question of why students perform differently in reading and listening comprehension tests has not been answered conclusively. When a placement test is administered and students are placed in a class, they are expected to be homogeneous, but teachers are usually surprised by the performance of the students in reading and listening comprehension tests.

Intelligence Quotient (IQ) score may help the educational system to have a better look at the students or even add an IQ test to their placement test. Thus, heterogeneous reading and listening comprehension scores in a situation where students are given the same instruction and facility is the problem under investigation in the present study, and different IQ score can be a potential reason for this problem.

1.3. Objectives and Significance of the Study

The objective of this study is to investigate the role of intelligence in reading and listening comprehension in an EFL setting. As the importance of reading and listening comprehension and role of intelligence in SLA were discussed, a study on the relationship between these three variables can be worthy of further investigation.

To the best of my knowledge, such a study will be carried out for the first time in Iran. The findings of this study can benefit researchers and teachers as well as test developers. If a relationship between aforementioned factors is found to be positive, this understanding can help test developers to include IQ questions in their placement tests or design the tests in a way that intelligence is also taken into account. Teachers can be aware of students' IQ; as a result, they can harmonize their teaching instruction with their students' IQ. The result can also provide an impetus for other researchers for more follow-up studies at larger scales in Iran.

1.4. Research Questions & Hypotheses

The main questions which the study tries to answer are as follow:

- 1. Is there any relationship between intelligence and reading comprehension among upper-intermediate Iranian EFL learners?
- 2. Is there any relationship between intelligence and listening comprehension among upper-intermediate Iranian EFL learners?
- 3. Is there any difference between male and female Iranian EFL learners of upper-intermediate level regarding the relationship between intelligence and their reading and listening comprehension?
- 4. Is there any difference between different age groups of Iranian EFL learners of upper-intermediate level regarding the relationship between intelligence and their reading and listening comprehension?

Concerning the research questions the following null hypotheses will drive the present study:

- There is not any statistically significant relationship between intelligence and reading comprehension. Simply put, different IQ scores have nothing to do with the performance of upper-intermediate EFL learners in reading comprehension tests.
- 2. There is not any statistically significant relationship between intelligence and listening comprehension among upper-intermediate EFL learners.
- 3. There is not any significant difference between male and female EFL learners of upper-intermediate level regarding the relationship between intelligence and their reading and listening comprehension.

4. There is no significant difference between different age groups of EFL learners of upper-intermediate level regarding the relationship between intelligence and their reading and listening comprehension.

1.5. Limitations and Delimitations of the Study

This is a quantitative study with correlational design. Intelligence and receptive language skills (listening and reading) are independent variables, the relationship of which is to be investigated in the present study. Age (three age groups) and gender are moderator variables of the study, and participants' language proficiency as upper-intermediate one is a control variable. A number of limitations and delimitations, due to the nature of the study, are imposed upon the research design.

1.5.1 Delimitations

This study focused on learning English in universities and institutes in EFL context and mainly in Iran; therefore, some of the results may not be applicable to other contexts such as ESL. Upper-intermediate level is the level which has been investigated in the study and the results might not apply to other levels of proficiency. The last delimitation which is worthy of consideration is limited age groups and the participants' native language. Having only three age groups and only Persian learners of English can limit the results to be used in other contexts.

1.5.2 Limitations

There were also some limitations in the current study. The first limitation is concerned with the unequal number of males and females in the study. The second one is concerned with participants; not having an equal number of participants in different age groups, and not having enough participates to divide them into two or three levels of proficiency can be mentioned. The last one is related to administration of the listening test. There was not a well-organized laboratory with enough headsets for performing the listening test without any noise. For future research, it is suggested to include an equal number of males and females, to spend longer time and more money to have more participants, and to utilize a more motivating mechanism for the data collection.

1.6 Definition of Key Terms

There are some key terms that should be defined and clarified at the beginning of this study. The terms defined in this section include: 1) *Intelligence*, 2) *Reading Comprehension*, and 3) *Listening Comprehension*.

1.6.1. Intelligence

Columbia Encyclopedia (2000) defines intelligence as the general mental ability involved in calculating, reasoning, perceiving relationships and analogies, learning quickly, storing and retrieving information, using language fluently, classifying, generalizing, and adjusting to new situations. Most people may define intelligence as an inborn and general ability that enables us learn better or faster, but according to Gardner (1999), every society has its own ways of defining intelligence and considering someone intelligent. As in psychology intelligence refers to the ability to achieve goals in a wide range of environments, in SLA it refers to the learner's score in an IQ test.

1.6.2. Reading Comprehension

Reading comprehension is a complex undertaking that involves many levels of processing. It can be defined as the level of understanding of a text, and this understanding comes from the interaction between the words that are written and how they trigger knowledge outside the text. Base on National Reading Panel (2000)