

In the name of
God



دانشکده‌ی پردیس بین‌المللی ارس

گروه زبان و ادبیات انگلیسی

پایان‌نامه برای دریافت درجه کارشناسی ارشد در رشته آموزش زبان انگلیسی

با عنوان:

تحقیق در کاربرد نشانگرهای کلامی در سه ژانر اکشن ، کمدی و رمانتیک در فیلم های انگلیسی

استاد راهنما:

دکتر فرهمن فرخی

استاد مشاور:

دکتر حسین صبوری

پژوهشگر:

مریم حسینی

شهریور 1393

Acknowledgments

The work of writing a thesis takes much time, effort, and commitment, and this thesis was no exception. Along the way there have been many unexpected obstacles and setbacks. I have no doubt that this thesis project would have never been completed without the countless people who supported me directly and indirectly. I sincerely believe that I am a most fortunate person to have many professors, colleagues, friends, and family members' right beside me, always generously providing me with encouragement and support.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my committee members. My deepest thanks go to my thesis supervisor, Professor Farahman Farrokhi. I am grateful for his continuous support.

I am also indebted to Dr. Hosein Sabouri, the advisor of the thesis, whom I forged a very special bond, for his kindness, encouragement and helpful advice. I am also thankful for the rest of the committee.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family. I am most grateful that they always encouraged me to try with my best efforts even when the chance of success was slim. They taught me that everything is a learning experience and that I should just keep moving forward because I will learn something valuable no matter what the results are. And thus, I dedicate my thesis to my parents and my husband with my deepest gratitude.

Surname: Hosseini	Name: Maryam
Thesis Title: An Investigation of Discourse marker use in Action, Comedy, and Romantic Genres in English movies.	
Supervisor: Dr. Farahman Farrokhi	Advisor: Dr. Hossein Sabouri
Degree: Master of Arts	Major: English Language
Field: English Language Teaching	University: University of Tabriz
Faculty: Persian Literature and Foreign languages	Department: English Language Department
Graduation Date:	Number of pages: 88
Keywords: Discourse, Discourse Marker, Genre, Film Genre, Action Genre, Comedy Genre, Romance Genre	
<p>Abstract</p> <p>Nowadays, the notion of pragmatics is gaining more and more prominence among language learners. The ability to provide sufficient discourse markers in different genres may be considered as an indicator of pragmatic competency. Discourse markers are not randomly assigned to sentences, but are appropriately used only under precise and well-defined conversational conditions. These conversational conditions may comprise a specific genre that in turn may lead to specific employment of different discourse markers. Bearing this in mind, this study opted to find out whether different film genres employ discourse markers differently with regard to the type of markers preferred, their frequency, and distribution. To this end, the study drew upon a corpus of 15 films pertaining to the three genres of action, comedy, and romance to see whether there were any statistically significant differences in the use of discourse markers. Biber's classification of discourse markers was adopted as a means of analyzing the discourse markers employed in each genre. The results indicated a relationship between discourse marker use and genres in the sense that different film genres led to a different distribution of each discourse marker type. In addition, the results of the Chi-square test revealed a significant difference in discourse marker use according to each specific genre, which was further supported by the strength of association tests. The findings of this study could raise the awareness of syllabus writers and teachers towards the different kinds of discourse markers used in different genres and as a result, enable them to design more effective pedagogic tasks for enhancing the learners' capacity in performing more effectively in speaking activities by making the learners' speech more intelligible for their conversational partners in a specific genre.</p>	

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Table of Contents.....	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Abbreviations.....	viii
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.0. Introduction.....	2
1.1. Background of the Study	2
1.2. Statement of the Problem	3
1.3. Significance of the Study.....	4
1.4. Research Questions.....	4
1.5. Definition of key terms.....	5
1.6. The organization of the Study	6
1.7. Chapter Summary	7
Chapter Two: Review of the related literature.....	8
2.0. Introduction.....	9
2.1. Defining Genre	9
2.2. Film Genre	12
2.3. Different Perspectives on Genre Study	12
2.3.1. Formalist/structuralist Approach.....	12
2.3.2. Audience-based Approaches.....	15
2.3.3. Critical/Ideological Analysis of Genres.....	16

2.4. The History and Evolution of Film Genres	18
2.5. Different film Genres.....	18
2.5.1 Action Genre.....	19
2.5.2 Comedy Genre.....	20
2.5.3. Romance Genre.....	20
2.6. Discourse Markers.....	21
2.6.1. What is a Discourse Marker?.....	21
2.6.2. Similar Features of Discourse Markers.....	23
2.6.3. Classifications of Discourse Markers.....	27
2.7. Related Studies.....	40
2.8. Chapter Summary.....	43
Chapter Three: Methodology.....	44
3.0. Introduction.....	45
3.1. Restatement of the Research Questions	45
3.2. Design of the Study	45
3.3. Materials.....	45
3.4. Categories of Analysis.....	46
3.5. Procedures of Data Analysis	49
3.6. Chapter Summary.....	52
Chapter Four: Data analysis & Results	53
4.0. Introduction.....	54
4.1. Descriptive Statistics for the Action Genre	54
4.2. Descriptive Statistics for the Comedy Genre	57
4.3. Descriptive Statistics for the Romance Genre.....	60

4.4. Addressing RQ (1).....	64
4.5. Inferential Statistics and Addressing RQ (2).....	65
4.6. Chapter Summary.....	69
Chapter Five: Discussion & Conclusion.....	70
5.0. Introduction.....	71
5.1. Summary of the main findings.....	71
5.1.1. Action Genre.....	71
5.1.2. Comedy Genre.....	72
5.1.3. Romance Genre.....	72
5.1.4. Genres and Discourse Markers.....	72
5.2. Discussion.....	72
5.3. Pedagogical Implications.....	74
5.4. Limitations of the Study.....	74
5.5. Suggestions for Further Research.....	75
5.6. Chapter Summary.....	75
References.....	76
Appendices.....	84
Appendix 1: DM analysis of Endless Love.....	85
Appendix 2: Transcription Conventions.....	88

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Classification of discourse markers by Knott (1996).....	29
Table 2.2 Classification of discourse markers by Mackey (1987).....	29
Table 2.3 Classification of discourse markers by Rezvani Kalajahi et al (2012)....	31
Table 2.4 Conjunctive discourse markers in English	35
Table 3.1 Movie Classification.....	46
Table 3.2 Sample of Observed and Expected Frequency	51
Table 3.3 Sample of Crosstabulation.....	51
Table 3.4 Sample of Test Statistics.....	52
Table 4.1 DM Frequency Distribution of the Action Genre	54
Table 4.2 Descriptive Statistics for the Action Genre	55
Table 4.3 DM Frequency Distribution of the Comedy Genre	58
Table 4.4 Descriptive Statistics for the Comedy Genre,.....	58
Table 4.5 DM Frequency Distribution of the Romance Genre	61
Table 4.6 Descriptive Statistics for the Romance Genre.....	61
Table 4.7 Observed and Expected frequencies across Genres.....	66
Table 4.8 Crosstabulation of Genres vs. DMs.....	67
Table 4.9 Chi-Square Tests	67
Table 4.10 Symmetric Measures	68

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Relationship of genre, register, and language	11
Figure 4.1 Frequency Distribution of DMs in the Action Genre	56
Figure 4.2 Percentage Distribution of DMs in the Action Genre	57
Figure 4.3 Frequency Distribution of DMs in the Comedy Genre	59
Figure 4.4 Percentage Distribution of DMs in the Comedy Genre	60
Figure 4.5 Frequency Distribution of DMs in the Romance Genre	62
Figure 4.6 Percentage Distribution of DMs in the Romance Genre	63
Figure 4.7 Frequency Distribution of DMs across Action, Comedy, and Romance Genres	64

List of Abbreviations

CS	Communication Strategy
DM	Discourse Marker
EFL	English as a Foreign Language
ESP	English for Specific Purposes
FL	Foreign Language
L1	First Language
L2	Second Language
NL	Native Language
NNS	Non – Native Speaker
NS	Native Speaker
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

Chapter One

Introduction

1.0. Introduction

Since the 1980's, many researchers have contributed to the systematization of English language discourse markers, providing conversational conditions likely to surround different markers. Fraser (1990) considers DMs as belonging to “well-defined pragmatic categories” (p. 383). Occasionally, researchers have assigned broad semantic definitions to individual DMs in an attempt to lexicalize them. This has created debate between the pragmatic and semantic approaches, given that a distinct lexical category and definitions for DMs would regularize them as a component of language.

Providing sufficient DMs in different genres may be considered as an indicator of pragmatic competency. Genre is a ubiquitous phenomenon common to all instances of discourse (Neale, 2000, p. 2), which justifies the interest in this topic at different levels. The narrative genre of discourse is useful for determining the competencies through which the participants conjointly accomplish meaningful communication with the resources, however seemingly imperfect at their disposal (Firth & Wagner, 1997).

According to Lakoff (1973, p. 462), DMs are “not randomly assigned to sentences, but are appropriately used only under precise and well-defined conversational conditions”. These conversational conditions may comprise a specific genre that in turn may lead to specific employment of different discourse markers.

1.1 Background of the study

Despite the wealth of information, there is relatively little consensus as to the definition of the term “discourse marker”. Discourse markers (DMs) are syntactically independent fragments that serve pragmatic functions in discourse. DMs are considered “sequentially dependent elements which bracket units of talk” (Schiffrin, 1987, p. 31) and also “lexical expressions...which have a general core meaning which signals the relationship of the current utterance to the prior discourse” (Fraser, 1988, p. 27). The term ‘Multifunctional’ may be applied to DMs to encompass the many functions they serve, from sequencing and transitioning to providing pause within discourse.

This multi-functionality of DMs may prove fruitful in stages of discourse defining a specific genre. The study of genre within systemic functional linguistics has concentrated on structural characterizations through genre staging. Stages are the constitutive elements of a genre, which follow each other in a predetermined fashion, specific to each genre. The most basic structure of a genre is its division into beginning, middle, and end (Eggins, 1994; Stenström, 1994). Eggins (1994, p. 37) characterizes the staging, or schematic structure of a genre, “as a description of the parts that form the whole, and how the parts relate to each other”.

Bruti and Perego (2008) investigated the function of vocatives as a subtype of discourse markers over different film genres with the aim to investigate the various roles vocatives played in the construction of the narrative according to the different needs that different film genres aimed to fulfill. Film and genre have recently been analyzed by various authors and from different perspectives, as the recent wide-ranging literature in the field demonstrates (Altman, 1999; Kozloff, 2000; Neale, 2000; Frezza, 2001; Aimeri & Frasca, 2002; Campari, 2002; Eugeni & Farinotti, 2002).

Although, to the best knowledge of the researcher, there is no sufficient study investigating the presence of all types of discourse markers and their distribution in film genres. In order to accomplish this, the researcher draws on a corpus of 15 films pertaining to the three genres of action, comedy, and romance to see whether there are any statistically significant differences in the use of DMs.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

A successful communication is one achieves its communicative goals. Interlocutors are not only engaged in presenting the propositional content, but they are also concerned with presenting this content in a way that fulfills their communicative intentions. Discourse markers are essential tools for achieving communicative goals. Although they are considered semantically and grammatically optional, they are pragmatically obligatory tools for speech processing.

Therefore, studying the function of discourse markers as elements that ensure the acceptability, naturalness, and effectiveness of a speech is indispensable. However, while studying how these elements function in speech, it seems even more important to study them across a variety of different genres. Different genres employ discourse markers differently whether with regards to the type of markers preferred, their frequency, or distribution.

Despite the extensive use of discourse markers, there are very few studies that are devoted to the study of these items in film genres. This means that a systematic treatment of discourse markers as a discourse phenomenon in various film genres is almost absent. As a means of addressing this gap, the present study examines discourse markers from a systemic functional grammar (SFG) perspective in three genres, that is, action, comedy, and romance. The current study is different from the other studies in that all types of DMs adopted from Biber's (1999) classification have been considered in the analysis of film genres rather than only one type.

1.3. Purpose of the Study

Increasing knowledge about the use of various discourse markers in different genres can help syllabus designers to focus on those genres which seem to be problematic for the students in both comprehending a film and speaking according to a specific context of speech.

Teaching discourse markers to the students can help them become armed with the knowledge they need in order to speak more coherently and can also lead to a better understanding of their interlocutors' speech. Comparing the different genres due to their discourse marker employment can also raise the students' critical awareness towards these genres and in turn cause them to become critical thinkers.

1.4. Research Questions

In order to achieve the purpose of the study, the following research questions were formulated:

RQ (1): What types of discourse markers are frequently used in action, comedy, and romance genres?

RQ (2): Is there a relationship regarding discourse marker employment across action, comedy, and romance genres?

Null Hypothesis: There is no relationship regarding discourse marker employment across action, comedy, and romance genres.

Alterative Hypothesis: There is a relationship regarding discourse marker employment across action, comedy, and romance genres.

1.5. Definition of key terms

Before proceeding into the literature on the issue investigated in this study, it seems necessary to digress for a moment to clarify some of terminology which is crucial to the discussion and which is used extensively throughout the thesis.

- **Genre:**
The organization of any speech activity in stages, determined by the overall purpose of the genre and by social conventions (as cited in Taboda, 2006).

- **Action**
genre: This genre typically involve portrayals of main characters engaged in a series of dramatic, dangerous events involving narrow escapes, fights, or rescues, all filmed in a face-paced style that keeps audiences wondering if the hero or heroine will make it out alive at the end of the film (Welsh, 2000).

- **Comedy**
genre: Comedy films are designed to elicit laughter from the audience. Comedies are light-hearted dramas, crafted to amuse, entertain, and provoke enjoyment. The comedy genre humorously exaggerates the situation, the language, action, and characters (Hartley, 2001).

- **Romance**
genre: Romance films are love stories, or affairs of the heart that center on passion, emotion, and the romantic, affectionate involvement of the main characters (usually a leading man and lady), and the journey that their love takes through courtship or marriage. Romance films make the love story or the search for love the main plot focus (Welsh, 2000).

- **Discourse**
marker: Discourse marker is a word or phrase which links clauses or sentences by signaling relations between them (Hutchinson, 2004).

➤ **Coherence**

: Coherence is an umbrella term for many aspects, such as the sequencing of events covered in the text, completeness of the actions or concepts laid out in it and whether the text conforms to what we would expect from a piece of writing belonging to a given genre (Pearson & Pennock-Speck, 2005).

1.6. The organization of the study

In addition to this chapter, which is the whole study in a miniature fashion and which covers the background of the study, the significance of the study, research questions, and definition of key terms, this study has been organized into four other chapters.

Chapter Two: Review of the related literature

A thorough review of the related literature is presented here. It begins with a broad review of the definition of genres and the stages included in each genre from a systemic functional linguistics point of view. The review is then narrowed down by means of attending to issues such as different approaches to genre analysis, which in turn are followed by the definition of film genres and the characteristics of the main film genres (that is, comedy, romance, and action) which are the focus of this study. In the next part, different classifications regarding discourse markers are presented. Finally, some related studies regarding discourse markers in various genres are given.

Chapter Three: Methodology

This chapter begins with the restatement of the research questions. Other factors underlying this study are discussed under the rubrics of design of the study, materials, and categories of analysis, respectively. Last but not least, the procedures carried out in this study are disclosed, to provide a better vision on what is going on and what to expect.

Chapter Four: Data analysis & Results

The results and findings of the study in terms of research questions are presented here. Descriptive and inferential statistics are adopted throughout this chapter as means of addressing the research questions. In addition some useful tables and figures are provided.

Chapter Five: Discussion and Conclusion

This chapter discusses the results and some possible answers for the research questions will be presented regarding the results of the study. There is a more in-depth qualitative discussion and interpretations of the results and possible reasons for the obtained results will be discussed and then discussion of pedagogical implications and also the limitations of the study are included. Finally, some suggestions for further research will be made at the end.

1.7. Chapter summary

In this chapter, in order to present a general view of the study, a brief background concerning the importance of using discourse markers in various genres was presented. Then, the importance and the purpose of conducting this study and the gap in the literature that it attempted to fill were also mentioned. After that, the two research questions were presented in a separate section. In addition, the key words which are used very frequently in this study were described very briefly and at the end of this chapter the overall organization of different parts and sections of the study were pointed out.

Chapter Two

Review of the Related Literature

2.0. Introduction

This chapter aims to provide both a theoretical background and a rationale for the present study. At the outset it begins with a broad review of the definition of genres and the stages included in each genre from a systemic functional linguistics point of view. The review is then narrowed down by means of attending to issues such as different approaches to genre analysis, which in turn are followed by the definition of film genres and the characteristics of the main film genres (that is, comedy, romance, and action) which are the focus of this study. In the next part, different classifications regarding discourse markers are presented. Finally, some related studies regarding discourse markers in various genres are given.

2.1. Defining genre

Most definitions of genre establish a connection with Mikhail Bakhtin's work. For Bakhtin (1986, p. 60, as cited in Taboada, 2011) language is realized through individual concrete utterances by participants in the various areas of human activity:

Each separate utterance is individual, of course, but each sphere in which language is used develops its own relatively stable types of these utterances. These we may call speech genres.

In the Hallidayan tradition, this relationship between human activity and language is portrayed as one between context and text. The idea of a relationship between context and text was first formalized in the concept of *register*. Halliday, MacIntosh, and Strevens used register to refer to “a variety, according to use in the sense that each speaker has a range of varieties and chooses between them at different times” (Halliday et al. 1964, p. 77).

A register is constituted by the linguistic features which are typically associated with a configuration of situational features, classified in values of the field, mode, and tenor of the text's context of situation. Field refers to what is going on; the area of operation of the language activity. It describes the inherent features of the situation and the event taking place, with an emphasis on institutional areas of activity.

Tenor refers to the relations among the participants, to the extent that they affect and determine features of the language. In the category of tenor we include degrees of formality, the roles played by the participants and the focus of the activity. *Mode* of discourse is the function of the text in the event. Mode typically describes the channel of communication (spoken or written), the degree of spontaneity between extempore and prepared, together with the amount and type of feedback possible (see Taboada, 2011).

In summary, register captures aspects of the language that are defined by the situation, what is taking place, who is taking part, and what part the language is playing along with the words and structures used in the realization of those meanings. The three elements that realize context are linked to the linguistic system in the Hallidayan model. Field, tenor, and mode have direct realizations through the metafunctions of language: ideational, interpersonal, and textual. Thus, field is realized through the ideational metafunction, tenor through the interpersonal metafunction, and mode through the textual metafunction (Halliday, 1994; Halliday & Hasan, 1976; Halliday et al. 1964).

Register places emphasis on the context of situation, as defined by the field, tenor, and mode variables. It does not account for the relationship of language to the context of culture, which is the realm of genre. The widely quoted definition by Martin (1984, p. 25) is that genre is “a staged, goal-oriented, purposeful activity in which speakers engage as members of our culture.”

The study of genre within systemic functional linguistics has concentrated on structural characterizations through genre staging. Stages are the constitutive elements of a genre, which follow each other in a predetermined fashion, specific to each genre. The most basic structure of a genre is its division into beginning, middle, and end (Eggins, 1994; Stenstrom, 1994). Eggins (1994, p. 37) characterizes the staging, or schematic structure of a genre, “as a description of the parts that form the whole, and how the parts relate to each other. This is achieved following both formal and functional criteria”.

The definition of genre followed in this study is one where genre is primarily a structurally determining characteristic of texts. A given text is perceived as belonging to a genre because of its structural characteristics, that is, its staging. For that recognition to happen there must be established consensus that certain texts develop in a certain series of stages (see Taboada, 2003).