

Allameh Tabataba'i University Faculty of Persian Literature and Foreign Languages Department of English Language and Literature

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements For the Degree of Master of Arts (M.A.) in English Literature

V.S. Naipaul

A Double-edged Glance Towards the Orient

in

The Mimic Men and Among the Believers

Advisor: Dr. Seyed Mohammad Marandi

Reader: Dr. Kamran Ahmadgoli

By: Parisa Alimohammadi Sarab

September, 2011

Tehran, Iran

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH,

THE MOST MERCIFUL,

THE MOST BENEFICENT



Allameh Tabataba'i University Faculty of Persian Literature and Foreign Languages Department of English Language and Literature

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements For the Degree of Master of Arts (M.A.) in English Literature

V.S. Naipaul

A Double-edged Glance Towards the Orient

in

The Mimic Men and Among the Believers

Advisor: Dr. Seyed Mohammad Marandi

Reader: Dr. Kamran Ahmadgoli

By: Parisa Alimohammadi Sarab

September, 2011

Tehran, Iran



Allameh Tabataba'i University Faculty of Persian Literature and Foreign Languages Department of English Language and Literature

We Hereby Recommend that the Thesis by

Parisa Alimohammadi Sarab

Entitled:

V.S. Naipaul

A Double-edged Glance Towards the Orient in The Mimic Men and Among the Believers

Be Accepted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in English Literature

Date of Approval: September, 2011

	Advisor: Dr. Seyed Mohammad Marandi
	Reader: Dr. Kamran Ahmadgoli
•••••	Examiner: Dr. Maryam Beyad
	Head of the Department: Dr. Mohammad Khati

Committee on Final Examination

فرم گردآوری اطلاعات پایان نامهها کتابخانه مرکزی دانشگاه علامه طباطبائی

سوی شرق در دو اثر: میمیک من و امونگ د بلیورز	عنوان: نگرش دوسویهی وی اس نایپل به ا
	نویسنده / محقق: پریسا علی محمدی سراب
	مترجم: -
استاد مشاور/ داور: دکتر کامران احمدگلی / دکتر مریم بیاد	استاد راهنما: دكتر سيد محمد مرندى
واژه نامه: ندارد	کتابنامه: دارد
توسعه ای	نوع پایان نامه: بنیادی [
سال تحصيلى: 90-1389	مقطع تحصیلی: کارشناسی ارشد
طباطبائی دانشکده: ادبیات فارسی و زبان های	محل تحصیل: تهران نام دانشگاه: علامه ا خارجی
گروه آموزشی: زبان و ادبیات انگلیسی	تعداد صفحات: 97
، شرق شناس غرب زده، ادبیات پسا استعماری	کلید واژه ها به زبان فارسی : شرق شناسی
Orientalism, Orientalised Oriental, Pos	کلید واژه ها به زبان انگلیسی : t-colonial Literature

الف. موضوع و طرح مسئله (اهمیت موضوع و هدف): پس از پایان دوره استعمار و استقلال ممالکی که سابقا مستعمره دول غربی بودند، تلاش مردمان آنها برای ادارهی امور کشورشان آغاز شد. غافل از این که سالها استعمار و تاثیر پذیری از فرهنگ و سیستم آموزشی غربی هویت اولیهی آنان را گرفته بود. وی اس نایپل هم در کتاب میمیک مِن با موفقیت بحران هویتی این مردمان و تاثیر شگرف آن در عدم موفقیت آنان در اداره امور کشور را به تصویر میکشد. در طی سال ها، فکر، اندیشه و حتی مذهب توسط استعمار به این مردمان تحمیل شده است و در نتیجه ایستادن بر روی پاهای خود برای آنان به امری ناممکن تبدیل شده

چند سال پس از انتشار کتاب میمیک مِن، نایپل طی سفری به خاورمیانه و خاور دور مشاهدات و نظراتش را در باب اعتقادات و اتحاد اهالی مسلمان این کشور ها در قالب کتاب امونگ دِ بلیورز منتشر کرد. نایپل در این کتاب به اتحادی که در اهالی کتاب میمیک مِن فقدان آن در اداره کشور ملموس و رنجآور بود خرده گرفته و عقیده دارد این اتحاد و حرکت دستهجمعی مانع پیشرفت جامعه شده و باعث میشود افراد از هویت شخصی خود باز بمانند. این بار وابستگی افراد به غرب نیست که از نظر او مشکل ساز است، بلکه اعتقاد سرسخت آنان به حفظ سنتها و باورهای مذهبی جامعه خطرناک مینماید.

این تحقیق قصد دارد دو کتاب نامبرده را در باب نگرش دوسویهی نایپل به سوی شرق با توجه به شواهد متنی و نظریات منتقدان و متفکران بررسی کند.

ب. مباتی نظری شامل مرور مختصری از منابع، چارچوب نظری و پرسش ها و فرضیه ها: نایپل به عنوان یکی از اهالی مناطقی که سابقا تحت استعمار قرار داشتند در کتابهایش هم زیاد به این مساله پرداخته است و با همدردی با اهالی این کشور ها، بالاخص اهالی سرزمین پدریاش در جزایر کارائیب، موفق شده خود را به عنوان یک نویسنده منتقد استعمار در دنیای غرب معرفی کند. وی در آن دسته از اثر هایش که در جزایر دریای کارائیب میگذرد بحران هویت اهالی این جزایر تازه استقلال یافته را ناشی از عدم استقلال فکری و مالی آنها میداند. حال آنکه در سفر به خاورمیانه و خاور دور از وابستگی افراد به هویت اسلامی و ملی خود انتفاد کرده و این وابستگی را مانع پیشرفت این ممالک میداند. این تحقیق در پی یافتن شواهدی از درون متن برای پشتیبانی از فرضیه اصلی آن است که نگرش دوسویهی نابیل به سوی شرق میباشد.

پ. روش تحقیق شامل تعریف مفاهیم، روش تحقیق، جامعه مورد تحقیق، نمونه گیری و روشهای نمونه گیری و روشهای نمونه گیری، ابزار اندازهگیری، نحوه اجرای آن، شیوه گردآوری و تجزیه و تحلیل داده ها: روش تحقیق به صورت کتابخانه ای بود. ابتدا تئوریهای شرق شناسی استخراج شده و سپس متن دو اثر نامبرده با استفاده از دادههای جمع آوری شده از کتابها و مقالات تحلیل شده است. به دلیل ماهیت کتابخانهای تحقیق، جامعه مورد تحقیق، نمونه گیری، روشهای نمونه گیری، و ابزار اندازه گیری برای آن تعریف نشده اند.

ت . یافته های تحقیق: نایپل علیرغم محبوبیت و جایگاه ویژهای که به عنوان یک آگاه به امور شرق و مسائل خاورمیانه در محافل امروزی غربی برای خود کسب کرده است، در حقیقت پا در مسیر شرق شناسان کلاسیک غربی میگذارد که تنها با تکیه بر منابع و داده های دست چندم به تحلیل مسائل شرق می پردازند و در گزارش وقایع بی طرف عمل نمی کنند. این غرض ورزی در گزارش مسائل کشور های مسلمان، به هنگام گزارش احوال اهالی با اصالت هندی جای خود را به همدردی و دلسوزی می دهد که نشان می دهد نابیل خود به عنوان محصولی از سیستم فکری و آموزش غربی نمی تواند به صورت مستقل در این مسائل ابراز عقیده کند.

ث. نتیجه گیری و پیشنهادات: پس از آنکه نایپل در سال 2001 برنده ی جایزه ی نوبل در ادبیات شد، افراد بیشتری به صف منتقدین و پیروان وی پیوستند و نویسندگان بسیاری به توصیف ناعادلانه ی نایپل از دنیای شرق و کشورهای اسلامی که در سال 1979 به آنها سفر کرد پرداختند. لیکن تحقیقی در باب نگرش دوسویه ی وی به کشورهایی که به آنها سفر میکند ثبت نشده است. به دلیل کثرت آثار وی و گستردگی جغرافیایی سفرهایش، جای تحقیقات بیشتر در این زمینه خالی است.

صحت اطلاعات مندرج در این فرم بر اساس محتوای پایان نامه و ضوابط مندرج در فرم را گواهی مینماییم.

رئیس کتابخانه:

سمت علمي: دانشيار

نام دانشکده: ادبیات فارسی و زبانهای خارج

To

Mom

8

Dad

Abstract

The independence of most colonized states in the world by the 1960's brought with itself a new brand in literature. The post-colonial literature emerged after years of anticipation and according to Ashcroft, Griffiths and Tiffin in the 'Empire Writes Back' it was the product of various evolving stages of writing throughout the years.

The first texts produced in the colonies were usually by the representatives of the imperial power. These works provided the reader with descriptions from the landscape, culture, customs and language, but being in service of the imperial power they would never dare deviating from it or neglecting its interests in any form whatsoever.

The Second group of texts was those usually produced by educated upper class natives under direct observation of the imperial power (5). Being under close surveillance, these writers would never dare to fully explore their anti-imperial intentions (6). It was with the gained independence in the sixties that the writers finally felt free to explore the grounds previously forbidden. The changes started to emerge and writers chose to replace the language and text (6) with notions more native and compassionate to the centuries of hardship caused by the Western domination.

Among these writers was V.S. Naipaul, a native of Trinidad with Indian ancestry who moved to England upon earning a scholarship from Oxford University. He was among the very first to approach post-colonial literature in its new liberalized form and by depicting the various mishaps and hardships of those living under foreign rule, gained a reputation as a defender of the colonized. Though he does seem sympathetic of the colonized Orientals he depicts in various works; his reaction is rather controversial when it comes to those easterners depicted in his travel writings. The sympathetic writer of the oppressed looks at the converted countries as remainders of the medieval age who are giving ground to faith instead of logic by choosing Islamic rule and are therefore bound to be doomed.

After a closer look upon Orientalism theories and its pioneers, this study will closely examine Naipaul's double-edged glance towards the eastern world which had led many to call him an Orientalised Oriental. This shall be pursued in two of his works, 'The Mimic Men' and 'Among Believers'.

Acknowledgements

I am particularly grateful for the friendly encouragement and excellent advice of my thesis adviser, Dr. Seyed Mohammad Marandi who spent a great amount of his precious time guiding me with this thesis despite his extremely tight and busy schedule. I feel gratuitous and indebted to my thesis reader, Dr. Kamran Ahmadgoli, who so kindly read my thesis and made constructive and shrewd comments.

I would also like to thank my dear friend, Susan Poursanati, for her never ending support and friendship, from whose vast knowledge and amiable manner I have learnt and benefited tremendously.

I wish to make due acknowledgement to all the people who supported me during my MA studies.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ix
Acknowledgements	X
Table of Contents	X
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Review of Literature	3
2. Chapter II: Definition of Key Terms and Theories	6
2.1. Orientalism	7
2.1.1. A brief History	7
2.2. Orientalism and Colonialism	11
2.3. Definitions and Theories	12
2.3.1. Edward Said	17
2.3.1.1. The Discourse of Orientalism	19

2.3.1.2. Latent and Manifest Orientalism		
2.3.1.3. Contemporary Orientalism	22	
2.3.1.4. Principle Dogmas of Orientalism Today	23	
2.3.2. Orientalized Oriental	24	
3. Chapter III: Naipaul, Life and Works		
4. Chapter IV: Among the Believers: An Islamic Journey	31	
4.1. Introduction	32	
4.2. Synopsis	33	
4.3. Reoccurring themes	36	
4.3.1. Dream	36	
4.3.2. Hysteria, Confusion, Chaos & Rage	37	
4.3.3. Medieval Images and Antiquity	43	
4.3.4. Ignorance, second hand sources, sweeping generalizations	46	
4.3.5. Suspicion	57	

5. Chapter V: The Mimic Men	
5.1. Synopsis	62
5.2. Order and Disorder	63
5.3. Mimic Men	66
5.4. Race	69
6. Chapter VI: Conclusion	72
6.1. Conclusion	73
6.2. Indian Sympathy	74
Bibliography	78

Chapter I

Introduction and Review of the Related

Literature

1.1. Introduction

The first half of the twentieth century witnessed the independence of many colonized states from dominating Imperial powers. Happy at the hardly gained independence and full of hopes for the future, the inhabitants of these states were suddenly struck by a new blow. A whole new set of problems emerged; the biggest of which being their lack in originality and identity. They had all been brought up as children of the empire, attending western schooling and acquiring western tastes. Once on their own, they realized that the only method of ruling they ever knew was the western one (king 71) and considering their long fight for freedom to practice their own desired rules, this turned out to be dreadfully disappointing. In *The Mimic Men*, Naipaul successfully depicts this disillusionment present in every moment of the post-colonial individual's life. Various ethnical groups are clashing and the absence of unity is highly sensible in purpose and in ideas (King 72). On the other hand In Among the Believers, to the utmost astonishment of his readers and critics, Mr. Naipaul picks on the same unity in purpose and ideas he had declared missing in the post-colonial world of the Caribbean. Here this unity is depicted as a threat upon the individuality of the people. Here, paradoxically, the people's dependence on the west and its rules are not what seem to be void, but the people's strong hold to tradition and

religion convey no meaning for Naipaul and are therefore condemned. It is this double-edged glance and paradoxical set of ideas in the two mentioned works that this study aims to discover. Ideas by various critics and references shall be examined throughout the study; yet a greater emphasis shall be placed on the reviews and articles by Edward Said.

1.2. Review of Literature

As other acclaimed writers, Naipaul too has his line of admirers and critiques. Those praising him make it clear that it's because of the alleged objectivity he employs in only reporting what he sees in his books. Among them Bruce King claims that Naipaul doesn't take sides, rather he observes what happens (195). King goes further on to claim that the reasons for disagreement between Naipaul and other intellectuals are all due to political divisions (194). According to King, Said and many others attack Naipaul because he doesn't see the world in a way they do (196). Said on the other hand in his *Reflections on Exile and other Essays* expresses his dissatisfaction on the ground that Naipaul's work is in a way considered as a reliable sourcebook for the countries he has traveled to and the readers are easily tempted to believe Naipaul's observations as mere facts.

Ziauddin Sardar, another critic of Naipaul's orientalized glance towards the Eastern believers goes as far as placing him under a heading of 'Brown Sahib or Orientalized Oriental'(85). Sardar extracts various examples from 'Among the Believers' and takes on his argument by pointing out the faults and misleading information provided by Naipaul to prove him prejudiced and ignorant.

In the *Empire Writes Back*, Bill Ashcroft and his colleagues also notice the fact that Naipaul "is paradoxically drawn to the center even though he sees it constructing the "periphery" as an area of nothingness"(89).

Meanwhile scholars such as Lilian Feder have taken the time to review and scrutinize Naipaul's critics closely and have reached the conclusion that Naipaul's own statements about his childhood and the autobiographical nature in many of his works have played a misleading role and provided false evidence for those who insist he is trying to be British (King 199).

As a follower of Said, Rob Nixon, the controversial author of 'London Calling V.S. Naipaul-Postcolonial Mandarin' also express his dissatisfaction that narrow minded and dismissive writers like Naipaul, should be considered as authorities on the Third world (199).

Yet even defenders of Naipaul admit that specially his early travel books can appear to be written by someone who feels superior to the rest (King 202) and that he is in a sense, like the nineteenth century novelists,

interested in depicting a whole culture and society through the words of a mere sample often unfit to be exemplified.

Many believe that his books often raise a series of deep and vexing questions and leave the reader with a series of question marks and unanswered questions (Donadio 3). After detailed scrutiny of his works, Edward Said takes the liberty call Naipaul's writings intellectual Catastrophes and condemns him for his ever racist remarks.

Chapter II

Definition of Key Terms and Theories