In the Name of God
The Lord of the World

MYP.



#### Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman

### Faculty of Letters and Humanities English Language Department

# Interrelationship among Foreign Language Reading Anxiety, Reading Proficiency and Text Feature Awareness in the University Context

Supervisor:

Dr. Shariati

Advisor:

Dr. Langroudi

Prepared by: Anahita Bordbar





A Thesis Submitted as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (M.Sc.)

November 2008







دانشکده ادبیات و علوم انسانی گروه زبان انگلیسی

پایان نامه تحصیلی برای دریافت درجه کارشناسی ارشد آموزش زبان انگلیسی

Silver Charles

ار تباط میان میزان اضطراب خواندن متون انگلیسی ، مهارت خواندن متون انگلیسی و آگاهی از نمای متن درمحیط دانشگاهی

MAY VIALLA

استاد راهنما: دکترمحمد شریعتی

استاد مشاور: دکترجهانبخش لنگرودی

> مؤلف: آناهیتا بردبار

. آبان ماه ۸۷



# Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman Faculty of Letters and Humanities English Language Department

Hereby, we recommend that this thesis submitted by *Anahita Bordbar* be accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (M.Sc.).

#### **Committee Members:**

Supervisor: Dr. Shariati

Advisor: Dr. Langroudi

Referee: Dr. Sharifi Moghaddam

Referee: Dr. Sharififar

J. Langroud

Al. Sharifi M.

M. I har to far

**Head of Department** 

Dr. Hamid Zahedi

H. Zahedi

Dedicated to:

My Family

Who Give and Forgive

Whatever I Set and Forget

# Acknowledgments

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. Shariati, my thesis supervisor, whose insightful comments, criticism and suggestions have contributed a great deal to the development and completion of this research project. I am very grateful to him for answering my countless questions, reading several drafts of all the chapters, and for giving very clear, specific and practical advice on how to proceed at every stage.

I also, wish to acknowledge my sincere indebtedness to Dr. Langroudi for his careful reading of draft of my thesis and his constructive comments and criticism on it.

I am deeply grateful to Dr. Langroudi and Mr. Homayoonfar instructors of reading comprehension III at Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman and Mrs. Anjom Shoa, instructor of reading comprehension III at Kerman Azad University, who helped me generously in collecting data necessary for the study.

I also, feel beholden to the participants of the study, without whose cooperation the study would not have been completed.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to all my professors in B.A and M.A at the English Language Department of Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman.

#### Abstract

This study set out to serve three purposes related to the interrelationship of Foreign Language Reading Anxiety (FLRA), Reading Proficiency (RP) and Text Feature Awareness (TFA); (1) to investigate the relationship between reading anxiety and reading proficiency of English major university students (2) to determine the relationship between text feature awareness and reading proficiency of English major university students and (3) to investigate the relationship between reading anxiety and the text features awareness of English major university students. It is also sought to determine the influence of gender on these three constructs.

In order to answer the above questions, 74 English major university students enrolled in reading comprehension III at Shahid Bahonar university of Kerman were selected as participants. The subjects were instructed to do the reading test within the time limit and get the questionnaires afterward. The time set for Academic Reading Paper of IELTS was 60 minutes and the administration of 2 questionnaires took approximately 25 minutes. FLRAS and TFAQ have been shown to be reliable and valid, through a pilot study, with alpha coefficient of 0.88 and 0.86, respectively.

The data obtained from the results of the subjects performance on the test and questionnaires were analyzed and interpreted statistically by use of descriptive statistics, Pearson Product Moment correlation and independent t-test. The results of the descriptive statistics indicated that students are low anxious readers although they are aware of text feature. The following are some of the final results of analyzing the data by Pearson Product Moment correlation and independent t-test:

No significant relationship was found between reading anxiety and reading proficiency of all respondents. However, a positive significant relationship between reading proficiency and text feature awareness scores of all respondents was revealed. Furthermore, a negative significant relationship between reading anxiety and text feature awareness scores of all respondents was found.

In addition, the results revealed that there is no significant difference between reading proficiency, reading anxiety and text feature awareness scores of male and female respondents.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Dedication                              | iv         |
|---|------------|
| Acknowledgments                         | · v        |
| Abstract                                | v <u>i</u> |
| Table of Contents                       | viii       |
| Table of Tables                         | xii        |
| Table of Figures                        | xV         |
| List of Abbreviations                   | xvi        |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION               | . ′        |
|   |            |
| 1.1. Introduction                       | 1          |
| 1.2. Background                         | . 1        |
| 1.2.1. Foreign Language Anxiety         | 2          |
| 1.2.2. Text Features                    | 8          |
| 1.3. Statement of the Problem           | 9          |
| 1.4. Objectives of the Study            | 9          |
| 1.5. Significance of the Study          | 11         |
| 1.6. Theoretical Framework              | 12         |
| 1.6.1. Foreign Language Reading Anxiety | 15         |
| 1.6.2. Awareness of Text Features       | 18         |
| 1.6.2.1. Text Topic and Content         | 19         |
| 1.6.2.2. Text Type and Genre            | 19         |
| 1.6.2.3. Text Organization              | 20         |

| 1.6.2.4. Linguistic Variables                         | 22 |
|---|----|
| 1.6.2.5. Text Readability                             | 22 |
| 1.6.2.6. Text Length                                  | 23 |
| 1.6.2.7. Typographical Features                       | 23 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW                        |    |
| 2.1. Introduction                                     | 25 |
| 2.2. A Review of Literature on FL Anxiety             | 25 |
| 2.2.1. Foreign Language Class Anxiety                 | 25 |
| 2.2.2. Foreign Language Reading Anxiety               | 41 |
| 2.2.3. Foreign Language Speaking Anxiety              | 45 |
| 2.2.4. Foreign Language Writing Anxiety               | 46 |
| 2.2.5. Foreign Language Listening Anxiety             | 48 |
| 2.3. A Review of Literature on Text Features          | 50 |
| CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY                            |    |
| 3.1. Introduction                                     | 76 |
| 3.2. Pilot Study                                      | 76 |
| 3.3. Participants                                     | 77 |
| 3.4. Instruments                                      | 78 |
| 3.4.1. Academic Reading Paper of IELTS                | 78 |
| 3.4.2. Foreign Language Reading Anxiety Scale (FLRAS) | 79 |
| 3.4.3 Text Feature Awareness Questionnaire (TFAQ)     | 81 |

| 3.4.4. Issues of Reliability                           | 82  |
|--|-----|
| 3.5. Data Collection                                   | 83  |
| 3.6. Data Analysis                                     | 84  |
|  |     |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                   |     |
|  |     |
| 4.1. Introduction                                      | 86  |
| 4.2. Results   | 86  |
| 4.2.1. Descriptive Statistics                          | 87  |
| 4.2.2. Correlational Analysis                          | 92  |
| 4.2.3. Independent T-test                              | 95  |
| 4.3. Discussion and Interpretation                     | 98  |
| 4.3.1. Discussions of the Findings for Major Questions | 98  |
| 4.3.1.1. The Relationship between Reading              |     |
| Proficiency and Reading Anxiety                        | 98  |
| 4.3.1.2. The Relationship between Reading              |     |
| Proficiency and Text Feature                           |     |
| Awareness  | 100 |
| 4.3.1.3. The Relationship between Reading              |     |
| Anxiety and Text Feature Awareness                     | 102 |
| 4.3.2. Discussions of the Findings for Minor Questions | 103 |
| 4.3.2.1. The Difference between the Performances       |     |
| of Males and Females                                   | 103 |

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION

| 5.1. Introduction                                     | 108 |
|---|-----|
| 5.2. Summary of the Study                             | 108 |
| 5.3. Conclusion                                       | 110 |
| 5.4. Pedagogical Implications                         | 112 |
| 5.5. Limitations                                      | 115 |
| 5.6. Directions for Further Research                  | 116 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY  | 121 |
| APPENDICES  |     |
| Appendix 1. IELTS Reading Comprehension Test (2006)   | 145 |
| Appendix 2. Foreign Language Reading Anxiety Scale    |     |
| (Developed by Saito et al. 1999)                      | 159 |
| Appendix 3. Text Features Awareness Questionnaire     |     |
| (Developed by Mehrpoor, 2004)                         | 162 |
| Appendix 4. Farsi Version of Foreign Language Reading |     |
| Anxiety Scale (Developed by Saito <i>et al.</i> 1999) | 164 |

# TABLE OF TABLES

| Table 3.1. Reliability of FLRAS and TFAQ (Cronbach's alpha         |     |
|--|-----|
| Method)  | 82  |
| Table 3.2. Reliability of FLRAS and TFAQ (Split-half Method)       | 83  |
| Table 4.1. Descriptive statistics of the participants of the study | 87  |
| Table 4.2. Summary of the descriptive statistics of the            |     |
| participants' performance on reading tests                         | 87  |
| Table 4.3. Descriptive statistic information of RP scores of all   |     |
| respondents  | 88  |
| Table 4.4. Descriptive statistic information of FLRAS scores of    |     |
| all respondents  | 90  |
| Table 4.5. Descriptive statistic information of TFAQ scores of     |     |
| all respondents  | 9.1 |
| Table 4.6. Pearson Correlation test to identify the relationship   |     |
| between RP and FLRAS scores of all respondents                     | 92  |
| Table 4.7. Pearson Correlation test to identify the relationship   |     |

| between RP and TFAQ scores of all respondents                    | 93 |
|--|----|
| Table 4.8. Pearson Correlation test to identify the relationship | ,  |
| between FLRAS and TFAQ scores of all respondents                 | 94 |
|  |    |
| Table 4.9. Pearson Correlation test to identify the relationship | ٠. |
| between FLRAS, RP and TFAQ scores of all                         |    |
| respondents  | 95 |
| Table 4.10. Group statistics of RP                               | 95 |
| Table 4.11. Independent t-test comparing the means of scores of  |    |
| males and females on RP test                                     | 96 |
| Table 4.12. Group statistics of TFAQ                             | 96 |
| Table 4.13. Independent t-test comparing the means of scores of  |    |
| males and females on TFAQ  | 97 |
| Table 4.14. Group statistics of FLRAS                            | 97 |
| Table 4.15. Independent t-test comparing the means of scores     |    |
| of males and females on FLRAS                                    | 98 |
| Table 4.16. Summary of Pearson Correlation table to identify the |    |

| relationship between RP and FLRAS scores of an                   |     |
|--|-----|
| respondents  | 99  |
| Table 4.17. Summary of Pearson Correlation table to identify the | * * |
| relationship between RP and TFAQ scores of all                   |     |
| *  | 102 |
| respondents  | 102 |
| Table 4.18. Summary of Pearson Correlation table to identify the |     |
| relationship between FLRAS and TFAQ scores of all                |     |
| respondents  | 103 |
| Table 4.10 Symmony of Indonesidant tract table to identify the   |     |
| Table 4.19. Summary of Independent t-test table to identify the  |     |
| difference between RP scores of male and female                  |     |
| respondents  | 104 |
| Table 4.20. Summary of Independent t-test table to identify the  |     |
| difference between TFAQ scores of male and female                |     |
| respondents  | 105 |
|  |     |
| Table 4.21. Summary of Independent t-test table to identify the  |     |
| difference between FLRAS scores of male and female               | 3   |
| respondents  | 107 |
|  |     |

# TABLE OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1. A schematic representation of factors affecting reading |    |
|---|----|
| comprehension (Designed based on Alderson's 2005                    |    |
| taxonomy of factors affecting reading                               |    |
| comprehension)  | 13 |
|   |    |
| Figure 1.2. A schematic representation of factors affecting reading |    |
| comprehension (Designed based on Alderson's 2005                    |    |
| taxonomy of factors affecting reading comprehension                 |    |
| and Saito, Garza, & Horwitz, 1999)                                  | 13 |
|   |    |
|   |    |
| Figure 1.3. A schematic representation of factors affecting reading |    |
| comprehension (Designed based on Alderson's 2005                    |    |
| taxonomy of factors affecting reading                               |    |
| comprehension)  | 14 |
|   |    |
|   |    |

89

Figure 4.1. Histogram of RP scores

# LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

| English as a Second Language           | ESL                  |
|--|----------------------|
| English as a Foreign Language          | EFL                  |
| Foreign Language                       | $\operatorname{FL}$  |
| Foreign/ Second Language               | L2                   |
| Foreign Language Acquisition           | FLA                  |
| Foreign Language Class Anxiety         | FLCA                 |
| Foreign Language Class Anxiety Scale   | FLCAS                |
| Foreign Language Learning              | FLL                  |
| Foreign Language Listening Anxiety     | FLLA                 |
| Foreign Language Reading Anxiety       | FLRA                 |
| Foreign Language Reading Anxiety Scale | FLRAS                |
| Foreign Language Writing Anxiety       | FLWA                 |
| Native Language                        | L1                   |
| Mean                                   | M                    |
| Number                                 | . N                  |
| Reading Proficiency                    | RP                   |
| Second Language Acquisition            | SLA                  |
| Second Language Learning               | $\operatorname{SLL}$ |
| Significance                           | Sig                  |
| Standard Deviation                     | SD                   |
| Text Feature Awareness                 | TFA                  |
| Text Feature Awareness Questionnaire   | TFAQ                 |

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Introduction

This chapter consists of five main sections. It first provides background of the study basically concentrating on the importance of reading anxiety and awareness of text features. The second section of this chapter explains the statement of the problem. Thirdly, this chapter deals with the objective of the study and research questions, then the significance of the study is accounted for and finally the theoretical framework based on which the research has been conducted.

## 1.2. Background

Reading, through which we can access the world of ideas and feelings, is the most enigmatic language skill. From among the four language skills, namely, listening, speaking, reading and writing, reading comprehension is considered the most important skill, especially for second and foreign language learners (e.g., Alderson, 2005; Brown, 2000). Reading has been investigated from different perspectives by linguists, psychologists, educators and second language researchers. The importance of this skill to language learning

and teaching is to the extent that in one specific period in the history of language learning and teaching an approach called *reading* approach emerged that centered on reading as a tool for language learning (Brown, 2000).

Reading is an important element in learning a second or foreign language for a variety of reasons. In fact as Brusch (1991) stated, "Where there is little reading there will be little language learning" (p. 156).

Bright and McGregor (1970) also maintained that the student who wants to learn English will have to read himself into knowledge of it unless he can move into an English environment. While learners may read a text either in public or privacy, for those who are worried about reciting in front of their peers there exists an important psychological phenomenon in this regard. For them, reading publicly is one way of increasing anxious time with the foreign language.

Furthermore, reading privately in the foreign language for test may even give the learner a new sense of anxiety. Hence, a text with unfamiliar features may enhance anxiety and so, affect the process and product of reading significantly.

With these assumptions, one can easily feel the strong need for further research in this area.

## 1.2.1. Foreign Language Anxiety

A frequently-stated dichotomy of anxiety consisting of debilitating and facilitating anxiety is considered to be an asset to

performance. Debilitating anxiety, which is the more common interpretation of anxiety, is considered to be detrimental to performance (MacIntyre & Gardener, 1989). Mathews (1996) argued that to attain optimal performance, some degree of negative effect (anxiety) is to be considered necessary. Scovel (1978) also stated:

Facilitating anxiety motivates the learner to 'fight' the new learning task; it gears the learner emotionally for approach behavior. Debilitating anxiety, in contrast, motivates the learner to 'flee' the new learning task; it stimulates the individual emotionality to adopt avoidance behavior (p. 139).

Some scholars believe that anxiety should be reduced to the possible extent. However, Scovel (1978) has argued that a clear-cut relationship between anxiety in the classroom and foreign language achievement has not been established and that it is premature to relate anxiety to the comprehensive task of language acquisition. Furthermore, Mathews (1996) proposed that increasing anxiety in non-anxious or less anxious students will produce operational tension. This operational tension will stimulate negatively oriented students to perform better than they would in a low anxiety situation. Nevertheless, since anxiety can have a debilitating impact on foreign language learning, it seems plausible to identify students who suffer from high levels of anxiety.

Reducing this debilitating effect can help teachers provide a non-threatening affective setting which is the cornerstone. The