



Az-Zahra University
Faculty of Persian Literature, Foreign Languages and History

Thesis Title
The Relationship Between Task Type and Oral Communication
Strategies Used By Iranian EFL Learners

Thesis Advisor
E. Sotoudehnama , Ph.D.

By
Biaina Shamirian
Sep,2009

IN The Name Of The Almighty



Az-Zahra University
Faculty of Persian Literature, Foreign Languages and History

Thesis Title
The Relationship Between Task Type and Oral Communication
Strategies Used By Iranian EFL Learners

Thesis Advisor
E. Sotoudehnama , Ph.D.

Thesis Reader
S. S. Marandi , Ph.D.

By
Biaina Shamirian
Sep,2009

We hereby approve that this thesis by Biaina Shamirian Entitled "The Relationship Between Task Type and Oral Communication Strategies Used By Iranian EFL Learners" *accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Arts In TEFL*

Committee on the oral examination :

Thesis Advisor :

Dr . Elaheh Sotoudehnama

E. Sotoudehnama

Thesis Reader :

Dr. Seyyedeh Susan Marandi

S. Susan Marandi

The Head Of The English Department :

Dr. Seyyedeh Susan Marandi

S. Susan Marandi

Az-Zahra University

September,2009

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to Dr. Sotudehnama, my thesis adviser, for her critical reading of this manuscript and for her endless professional support and guidance throughout the entire course and in every aspect of this thesis. I am also very grateful to her moral support and encouragement which made many difficult tasks much easier and carried me through many rough times.

I would also like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Marandi, my honorable thesis reader, for the valuable suggestions and insightful comments she granted me in the course of completing this research project.

My best wishes and thanks to all the participants who took part in this study and made it possible for me to collect the data which I needed for the implementation of this project.

Last but not least, a truly cordial sense of thankfulness to and appreciation to all members of my tolerant and helpful family for all their kindness, patience and moral support.

Abstract

For communication to succeed, speakers and listeners need to work together and coordinate their individual actions and beliefs in order to build a mutual agreement on the content of their messages and it needs communication strategies (Tarone, 1981:288).

This study is an attempt to investigate communication strategies used by Iranian EFL learners during performing information gap, opinion gap and reasoning gap tasks and to study whether these tasks affect the use of communication strategies or not. Moreover the effect of language proficiency on selecting the communication strategies is being investigated. Participants of this study were 60 female EFL learners who took part in the tasks. The whole process of performing the tasks was tape recorded, then transcribed and the communication strategies were extracted from the text. The method of scoring was based on the frequency counts of communication strategies. Therefore chi-square was utilized. The results revealed that there is a statistically significant relationship between the kind of the task and communication strategies used by Iranian EFL learners. Also the results revealed that the high proficient learners have used more strategies than low proficient learners and high proficient learners used more complicated strategies (i.e. paraphrase) than low proficient learners.

Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgement	5
Abstract	6
Table of Contents	7
List of Tables	11
List of Figures	14
List of Abbreviation	15
Chapter One: Introduction	16
1.1. Introduction	17
1.2. Statement of the problem and purpose of the study	18
1.3. Significance of the study	20
1.4. Research Questions	21
1.5. Null Hypotheses	22
1.6. Definitions of the Key Terms	23
1.7. Limitations and delimitations of the study	26
Chapter Two: Review of the literature	28
2.1. Introduction	29
2.2. Linguistic Background	32
2.3. Communication	33
2.4. Communication Strategies	34

2.5. Definition of Communication Strategies	35
2.6. Classifying communication strategies	39
2.6.1. Resource expansion versus message adjustment Strategies	40
2.6.2. Reduction strategies versus achievement strategies	41
2.6.3. Adjusted meaning versus adjusted form strategies	42
2.7. Taxonomies of communication strategies	43
2.7.1. Tarone’s taxonomy (1977)	44
2.7.2. Faerch & Kasper’s taxonomy (1983)	46
2.7.3. Paribakht’s taxonomy (1985)	49
2.7.4. Willems’ taxonomy (1987)	50
2.7.5. Bialystok’s taxonomy (1990)	50
2.7.6. The Nijmegen Group’s taxonomy (1991)(Bongrates, Kellerman, Poulisse)	51
2.7.7. Poulisse’s taxonomy (1993)	52
2.7.8. Dornyei and Scott’s taxonomy (1995)	53
2.8. Task-based language learning and teaching	55
2.8.1.Required versus optional informational exchange tasks	56
2.8.2.Information gap: one way versus two way tasks	58
2.8.3. Task outcome: open versus closed tasks	58
2.8.4. Cognitive complexity	59
2.9. Tasks selected for this study	60
2.9.1. Information gap task	61

2.9.2. Opinion gap task	61
2.9.3 Reasoning gap task	62
Chapter Three: Method	63
3.1. Participants	65
3.2. Instrumentation	65
3.3. Procedure	66
3.4 Data analysis	67
Chapter Four: Results and Discussions	69
4.1. Restatement of the problem	70
4.2. Results and findings	71
4.2.1. Answer to the first subquestion of first main question	71
4.2.2. Answer to the second subquestion of first main question	74
4.2.3. Answer to the third subquestion of first main question	78
4.2.4. Answer to the first main research question	81
4.2.5. Answer to the fourth subquestion of second main question	85
4.2.6. Answer to the fifth subquestion of second main question	88
4.2.7. Answer to the sixth subquestion of second main question	90
4.2.8. Answer to the second main research question	92
4.3 Discussion	94

Chapter five: Conclusions, Implications and suggestions	101
5.1. Conclusions	102
5.2. Implications for language teaching	104
5.3. Implications for syllabus design	106
5.4. Implications for testing	107
5.5. Suggestion for further research	109
References	110
Appendices	123
AppendixA	124
AppendixB	153
AppendixC	155
AppendixD	157

List of Tables:

Tables	Pages
Table4-1. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in information gap task	57
Table4-2. Chi-square for subcategories of information gap task strategies	58
Table 4-3. Observed and expected frequencies of CSs in headcategories in information gap task	59
Table 4-4. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in opinion gap task	60
Table 4-5. Chi-square for opinion gap task strategies	62
Table 4-6. Observed and expected frequencies of CSs in headcategories in Opinion gap task	62
Table 4-7. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in reasoning gap task	63
Table 4-8. Chi-square for reasoning gap task strategies	65

Table 4-9. Observed and expected frequencies of CSs in headcategories in reason gap task

66

Table 4-10. Frequency of CSs in the three tasks in headcategories 67

Table 4-11. Chi-square for the three tasks 69

Table 4-12. Frequency of subcategories used by high and low proficient EFL learners in information gap task 71

Table 4-13. Frequency of headcategories used by high and low proficient EFL learners in information gap task 72

Table 4-14. Frequency of subcategories of strategies used by high and low proficient EFL learners

73

Table 4-15. Frequency of headcategories of strategies used by high and low proficient EFL learners in opinion gap task 74

Table 4-16. Frequency of subcategories of strategies used by high and low proficient EFL learners in reasoning gap task 75

Table 4-17. Frequency of headcategories of strategies used by high and low proficient learners in reasoning gap task 76

Table 4-18. Frequency of CSs used by high and low proficient learners during performing of three tasks 78

Table 4-19. Chi-square of high and low proficient learners during performing the tasks 79

List of Figures:

Figures	Pages
Figure 4-1. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in information gap task	58
Figure 4-2. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in opinion gap task	61
Figure 4-3. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in reasoning gap task	64
Figure 4-4. Frequency of CSs in subcategories in three tasks	70

List of Abbreviations:

CSs

Communication strategies

EFL

English as a foreign language

ESL

English as a second language

TOEFL

Test of English as a Foreign Language

Chapter One

Introduction

CHAPTER ONE

1-1. Introduction

When it comes to knowing about a language, ordinary people often tend to regard it as equal to speaking the language. “Are you able to get your message across in a foreign language?” “Will you be able to handle colloquialisms?” “How proficient a speaker are you?” You may have heard such typical questions from parents, friends, co-workers, and those who are interested in learning how to speak a second language. Speaking a second language as fluently and accurately as possible has become a dream for a lot of EFL students to come true. Luoma (2004) states that: “The ability to speak in a foreign language is at the very heart of what it means to be able to use a foreign language” (Cited in Wongsawang, 2006, p.44)

With the emergence of communicative language teaching in general, and some alternative approaches to language learning and teaching in particular, it seems that the focus of language learning process has shifted from audiolingualism to more communicative approaches. Though there are places where still audiolingualism is being practiced, most language institutes around the globe have turned their attention to more recent approaches to language learning and teaching and have adopted more communicative approaches to language learning and teaching. One approach that has accepted a lot of attention over the past twenty five years is a task-based approach to language teaching and learning. Nunan(1989) maintains that task-based language teaching (TBLT) is actually developed out of

communicative language teaching (CLT) and even considers it a strong version of communicative language teaching. Richards & Rodgers (2001) believe that in a task based approach the primary focus is away from language forms to negotiated meaning. Ellis (2003) also states that a task based approach engages learners in real life, authentic, communicative activities so that the meaningful negotiation is prompted very rapidly.

The present research project has made every possible effort to expose a group of language learners to a series of task-based activities such as information gap, opinion gap and reasoning gap activities to see whether these activities affect the oral communication strategies which EFL learners use during performing the activities.

The present study provides different definitions of task, its classification, definition of communication, and communication strategies. Theories of language and language learning and teaching researches underlying TBLT will also be discussed in detail in chapter two.

A comparison is also made between high intermediate and low intermediate EFL learners in using the oral communication strategies during performing of each task (Information gap, Opinion gap, Reasoning gap) to see whether high intermediate EFL learners differ significantly from the low intermediate ones in using these strategies or not.

1-2. Statement of the Problem and Purpose of the Study

As an English language teacher who has been teaching English for 6 years I have noticed that little attention has been paid to communication strategies (CSs) by

teachers and curriculum developers. It might be because little work has been done in the field of oral communication strategies and most of the teachers are not trained well-enough to implement oral communication strategies in the classroom. They either lack the skills or knowledge necessary to carry out these strategies in the classroom.

Teachers with limited language proficiency will experience great difficulties in carrying out oral communication strategies. Designing specific tasks is also another problem to be dealt with. Designing certain tasks with a communicative purpose in mind requires great skill and awareness on the part of the teacher. Critical features of a task must be born in mind when designing tasks. Task based instruction is a perspective within a CLT framework which views learning process as a set of communicative tasks which are directly linked to the curricular goals. Bachman (1990) states that there seems to be some types of task tests that are particularly susceptible to the effects of test taker's CSs, in that they can successfully complete such tasks by utilizing their CSs to compensate for deficiencies.

In recent years CLT has been the principal focus of language teachers. In CLT communication plays a central role which is the primary aim of each language learner. Furthermore, tasks are proposed within the framework of CLT. In CLT the communication and its strategies which are used to compensate deficiencies in communication are of utmost importance, consequently here emerges a need to examine the relationship between the tasks and communication strategies.

The present study aims at examining CSs during performing tasks (information gap, opinion gap, reasoning gap) in particular and communication in general. On the

other hand language proficiency level has always been a determining factor in the use of language hence, this study will also try to compare the CSs used by high and low proficient EFL Iranian learners in order to be able to find out the CSs used by participants with different levels of language proficiency.

1-3. Significance of the Study

With the advent of modern approaches to language teaching and learning, like communicative approach, the attention of those involved in this field has been turned from purely grammatical and structural features of language towards more communicative ones, developing new types of techniques for teaching foreign languages.

Brown (2001) found the following:

The “task based instruction” is not a new method. Rather, it puts task at the center of one’s methodological focus. It views the learning process as a set of communicative tasks that are directly linked to the curricular goals they serve, the purposes of which extend beyond the practice of language for its own sake. (p. 50).

Willis (1998) believes that ‘task’ is taken as goal oriented activity which has a clear purpose and which involves achieving an outcome creating a final product that can be appreciated. Techniques, principles and process/product-based approaches application of task based learning and their contribution to foreign language learning and acquisition have been among the hottest topics in the field of foreign language teaching since early nineties (Mc donough, 1995; Klapper, 2003).