Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman Faculty Of Mathematics and Computer Science Department of Mathematics

DEDEKIND MODULES AND DIMENSION OF MODULES

By

S. Karimzadeh

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF PH.D AT SHAHID BAHONAR UNIVERSITY OF KERMAN HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA MARCH 2010

© Copyright by S. Karimzadeh, March 2010

SHAHID BAHONAR UNIVERSITY OF KERMAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

The undersigned hereby certify that they have read and recommend to the Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science for acceptance a thesis entitled "DEDEKIND MODULES and DIMENSION of MODULES" by S. Karimzadeh in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Ph.D.

Dated: March 2010

Supervisor:

Prof. R. Nekooei

Readers:

Prof. E. Eslami

Prof. R. Ameri

Prof. S. Hedaiat

SHAHID BAHONAR UNIVERSITY OF KERMAN

Date: March 2010

Author:	S. Ka	rimzadeh	
Title:	DEDEKIND MODULES and DIMENSION of		
	MOD	ULES	
Department:	Mathe	ematics	
Degree: M.S	Sc.	Convocation: March	Year: 2010

Permission is herewith granted to Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman to circulate and to have copied for non-commercial purposes, at its discretion, the above title upon the request of individuals or institutions.

Signature of Author

THE AUTHOR RESERVES OTHER PUBLICATION RIGHTS, AND NEITHER THE THESIS NOR EXTENSIVE EXTRACTS FROM IT MAY BE PRINTED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHOR'S WRITTEN PERMISSION.

THE AUTHOR ATTESTS THAT PERMISSION HAS BEEN OBTAINED FOR THE USE OF ANY COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL APPEARING IN THIS THESIS (OTHER THAN BRIEF EXCERPTS REQUIRING ONLY PROPER ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IN SCHOLARLY WRITING) AND THAT ALL SUCH USE IS CLEARLY ACKNOWLEDGED.

Table of Contents

Ta	able of Contents	iv	
Li	st of Tables	v	
Li	st of Figures	vi	
A	cknowledgements	vii	
Ał	bstract	viii	
In	troduction	1	
1 2	Preliminaries 1.1 Prime Submodules	2 3 8 12 16 17	
3	On Dimension of modules3.1Lying over Theorem for modules3.2Going Down and Incomparability Theorems for Modules3.3On The Dimension of a Modules	31 32 41 45	
In	dex	50	
Bi	Bibliography		

List of Tables

List of Figures

Acknowledgements

At first, I am very grateful to GOD since I have felt his presence and his supports all my life.

I would like to thank my dear supervisor Dr. Reza Nekooei for his support and guidance throughout my graduate studies.

Special thanks go to my precious husband M. Saheli for his unconditional love and support in helping me to achieve my goals, and thanks for being a part of my life. I also cannot forget my parents for their support, encouragement and sacrifices they have done through to allow me to be where I am today.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Dr. E. Eslami, Dr. R. Ameri and Dr. S. Hedaiat for reviewing my thesis.

S. Karimzadeh

March, 2010

Abstract

In this thesis, at first we obtain some equivalent conditions for a module over a Prüfer domain and derive properties of Dedekind modules over such a domain. We then obtain equivalent conditions for a finitely generated Dedekind module over an integrally closed ring and we characterize multiplication Dedekind modules. Finally, we prove the lying over and the going down theorems for modules and apply them to prove some results on the dimension of a module and its submodules.

This thesis has been partially supported by the linear Algebra and Optimization Center of Excellence of Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman.

Introduction

Dedekind domains have been studied for many years. Dedekind modules, were first defined by A.G.Naoum and F.H.Al-Alwan in 1996([5]). They generalized the notion of Dedekind domain to module theory. Later, M.Alkan, Y.Tiras in 2004 ([4]), M.Alkan, B.Sarac and Y.Tiras in 2005 ([3]) and B.Sarac, P. F.Smith and Y.Tiras in 2007([21]) generalized some other notions such as the notion of integrally closed modules to in order to characterize Dedekind modules and obtain some properties of Dedekind modules that are similar to the properties in ring theory. We aim to characterize Dedekind modules and submodules of Dedekind modules and D_1 -modules. Finally, we shall prove the lying over and going down theorems for modules, and then

prove some results on the dimension of a module and its submodules.

Chapter 1 Preliminaries Throughout this thesis, unless stated otherwise, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. In this chapter, we first introduce the notion of a prime submodule. Secondly we introduce Dedekind modules and we shall review some researches into the topics related to Dedekind modules.

1.1 Prime Submodules

Definition 1.1.1. ([9]) An *R*-module $M \neq 0$ is called a *simple module* if it has no submodules except 0 and *M*.

Let R be a ring and let N be a submodule of an R-module M. The set

$$\{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$$

is denoted by (N: M) and (0: M) is denoted by $Ann_R(M)$.

Definition 1.1.2. ([13]) Let M be an R-module. A submodule Q of M is primary if for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, $rm \in Q$ and $m \notin Q$ imply that $r^n M \subseteq Q$ for some positive integer n.

If Q is a primary submodule of M then $\sqrt{(N:M)}$ is a prime ideal of R.

Definition 1.1.3. ([14]) A submodule N of an R-module M is called *prime* if $N \neq M$ and given $r \in R, m \in M, rm \in N$ implies $m \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$.

We note that when R is a noncommutative ring, the statement " $rm \in N$ " in the above definition is replaced by " $rRm \subseteq N$ ". Clearly, every prime submodule of a module is primary. Let N be a submodule of M. It is easy to see that N is a prime submodule of M if and only if p = (N : M) is a prime ideal of R and the $\frac{R}{p}$ -module $\frac{M}{N}$ is torsion-free. We shall call N a p-prime submodule of M. Clearly, an ideal of a ring R is prime if and only if it is a prime submodule of R as R-module. In contrast with rings, modules may have no prime submodules. For example, when p is a prime integer, the **Z**-module $\mathbf{Z}(p^{\infty})$ has no prime submodule.

Let M be an R-module. The set of all prime submodules and maximal submodules of M are respectively denoted by Spec(M) and Max(M).

Definition 1.1.4. [15] The radical submodule N of R-module M is given by $rad_M(N) = \cap P$ where the intersection is over all prime submodules of M containing N. If there is no prime submodule containing N, then we put $rad_M(N) = M$.

we denote the intersection of all prime submodules of an R-module M, by $rad_M(0)$ and the intersections of all proper maximal submodules by Rad(M). The radicals of R and an ideal I of R are denoted by N(R) and \sqrt{I} respectively.

A ring R is called Von Neumann regular ring if for every $a \in R$ there exists an element $b \in R$ such that a = aba.

Corollary 1.1.1. ([14, Corollary page 62]) If M is a module over a Von Neumann regular ring, then every primary submodule of M is prime.

Proposition 1.1.2. ([17, Proposition 1.2]) Let R be any ring, M and M' left Rmodules, and $\varphi \in Hom_R(M, M')$. Let N be a prime submodule of M' such that $\varphi(M) \nsubseteq N$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M. **Definition 1.1.5.** Suppose that M is an R-module and P is a prime ideal of R. Put $S_P = R \setminus P$. Define the *distinguished submodule* $PM(S_P)$ as $\{x \in M : sx \in PM, \text{ for some } s \in S\}$ of M.

Note: If $PM(S_P) \neq M$ then $PM(S_P)$ is a prime submodule of M.

Example 1.1.3. (i) Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ be a Z-module. If P is a prime ideal of Z then $PM(S_P) = P \oplus P$ and $PM(S_P)$ is a prime submodule.

(*ii*) Let M = R be an *R*-module. If *P* is a prime ideal of *R* then $PM(S_P) = P$.

Definition 1.1.6. ([1]) The dimension of M is the maximal positive integer k, such that there exist a chain of prime distinguished submodules of M as follows:

$$N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_k$$

and we set $dim M = \infty$ if there is a chain of the above kind for every value of n.

Definition 1.1.7. ([7] An *R*-module *M* is called a *multiplication R*-module, provided for every submodule *N* of *M*, there exists an ideal *I* of *R* such that N = IM.

For example every ring R is a multiplication R-module.

Proposition 1.1.4. ([7, Proposition 3.4]) Let M be a faithful multiplication Rmodule. Then M is finitely generated if and only if $M \neq pM$ for all minimal prime ideals p of R.

In particular, Proposition 1.1.4 shows that if R is a domain then any faithful multiplication module is finitely generated.

Notation. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times n}(R)$ and F be the free R-module $R^{(n)}$. We shall

use the notation $\langle A \rangle$ for the submodule N of F generated by the rows of A, and the notation $(r_1, \ldots, r_m)A, r_i \in R$, for an element of N.

Lemma 1.1.5. ([10, Lemma 1.2]) Let R be a principal ideal domain (PID) and $A \in M_n(R)$, $det(A) \neq 0$ and $A' = (a'_{ij})$ be the adjoint matrix of A. Then $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \langle A \rangle$, for some $x_i \in R$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ if and only if $det(A) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a'_{ij}$, for every j, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 1.1.8. ([10]) Let R be a principal ideal domain (PID) and $J = \{j_1, ..., j_\alpha\}$ be a subset of $\{1, ..., n\}$ and let $p \in R$ be a prime element. A matrix $A \in M_n(R)$, $A = (a_{ij})$, is said to be a *p*-prime matrix (or simply prime) if A satisfies the following conditions:

(i) A is upper triangular.

(*ii*) For all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, a_{ii} = p$ if $i \in J$ and $a_{ii} = 1$ if $i \notin J$.

(*iii*) For all $i, 1 \le i \le j \le n, a_{ij} = 0$ except possibly when $i \notin J$ and $j \in J$.

Sometimes we call J the set of integers associated with A and denote it by J_A .

By (i) and (ii) it is clear that $det(A) = p^{\alpha}$.

Example 1.1.6. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $J = \{2, 4, 6\}$ and let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime element.

Then matrix A is prime matrix and $det(A) = p^3$.

Theorem 1.1.7. ([10, Theorem 2.5]) Every full rank prime submodule of $R^{(n)}$ is the row space of a prime matrix and vice versa.

Example 1.1.8. ([10]) For every prime element
$$p \in \mathbf{Z}$$
, the prime submodules N of $\mathbf{Z}^3 = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ such that $(N : \mathbf{Z}^3) = p\mathbf{Z}$ are as follows:
 $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 \le a_{ij} \le p - 1, 1 \le i < j \le 3$.

1.2 Dedekind Domains and Prüfer Domains

Definition 1.2.1. ([13]) Let R be a ring. An element $a \in R$ is called a *zero-divisor* if there is an element $b \in R$, $b \neq 0$, such that ab = 0.

An element of R which is not a zero-divisor is called *regular*.

Definition 1.2.2. ([13]) Let R be a ring. A subset $S \subseteq R$, is called a *multiplicatively* closed set in R if $0 \notin S$, $1 \in S$ and $ab \in S$ whenever $a \in S$ and $b \in S$.

Example 1.2.1. If p is a prime ideal of R then $S = R \setminus p$ is a multiplicatively closed set in R.

Definition 1.2.3. ([13]) Let M be an R-module and S be a multiplicatively closed set in R. The *localisation* of M with respect to S is constructed as follows.

Consider the set $M \times S$. Define a relation \sim on $M \times S$ by condition, $(x, s) \sim (y, t)$ if and only if $s_1(tx - sy) = 0$, for some $s_1 \in S$. It is easy to verify that \sim is an equivalence relation on $M \times S$. The set of equivalence classes is denoted by $S^{-1}M$. Any element of $S^{-1}M$ being an equivalence class containing (x, s) is denoted by the symbol $(\frac{x}{s}, x \in M, s \in S$. In particular for M = R, the set $S^{-1}R$ is defined.

If p is a proper prime ideal of R and $S = R \setminus p$ then we denote $S^{-1}R$ by R_p and called it the quotient ring of R with respect to p.

If S is the set of all regular elements of R, then S is a multiplicatively closed set in R. The ring $S^{-1}R$ is called the *total quotient* ring of R.

Definition 1.2.4. ([13]) A valuation ring is an integral domain R with the property that if I and J are ideals of R then either $I \subseteq J$ or $J \subseteq I$.

Example 1.2.2. (i) Any field K is a Valuation ring.

(ii) Let K be a field and

$$R = \{ \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} : f(x), \ g(x) \in K(x), \ deg \ f \le deg \ g \}.$$

R is a valuation ring.

Definition 1.2.5. ([13]) A *fractional ideal* of a ring R is a subset A of the total quotient ring K of R such that:

- (i) A is an R-module;
- (*ii*) There is a regular element d of R such that $dA \subseteq R$.

For example every ideal of R is a fractional ideal of R.

Definition 1.2.6. ([13]) A nonzero fractional ideal A of a ring R is said to be *invertible* if $A^{-1}A = R$, where $A^{-1} = \{x \in K : xA \subseteq R\}$.

Definition 1.2.7. ([13]) A domain R is called a *Prüfer domain* if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of R is invertible.

For example every principal ideal domain is a Prüfer domain.

The following theorem contains some basic properties of Prüfer domain.

Theorem 1.2.3. ([13, Theorem 6.6]) If R is an integral domain, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) R is a Prüfer domain;
- (*ii*) Every nonzero ideal of R generated by two elements is invertible;
- (iii) R_p is a valuation ring, for every prime ideal p of R.

Definition 1.2.8. ([13]) If R is a ring and K is its total quotient ring, then any ring T such that $R \subseteq T \subseteq K$ is called an *overring* of R.

We give two characterizations of Prüfer domain in terms of their overrings.

Theorem 1.2.4. ([13, Theorem 6.10]) An integral domain R is a Prüfer domain if and only if every overring of R is a flat R-module.

Corollary 1.2.5. ([13, Corollary 6.11]) If an integral domain R is a Prüfer domain then every overring of R is a Prüfer domain.

Theorem 1.2.6. ([13, Theorem 6.13]) An integral domain R is a Prüfer domain if and only if every overring of R is integrally closed.

Theorem 1.2.7. ([8, Theorem 2.7, page 155]) A finitely generated torsion-free module M over a Prüfer domain R is projective, and hence finitely presented. Moreover,

$$M \cong I_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus I_n$$

where $I_1, ..., I_n$ are finitely generated ideals of R.

Proposition 1.2.8. ([9]) Let M be an R-module. The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) Any non-empty collection of submodules of M has a maximal element.

(ii) For any increasing sequence of submodules of M, $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset ... \subset M_n \subset ...$, there exists some integer m such that $M_k = M_m$ for all $k \ge m$.

(iii) Every submodule of M is finitely generated.

Definition 1.2.9. ([9]) An R-module M is called *Noetherian* if it satisfies any one of the above equivalent conditions.

Definition 1.2.10. ([9]) A ring R is called a *Noetherian ring* if the R-module R is a Noetherian.

Example 1.2.9. (i) Any field R is a Noetherian ring.

(ii) Any finite ring R is Noetherian.

(*iii*) Any principal ideal ring is Noetherian.

Definition 1.2.11. ([13]) An integral domain R is a *Dedekind domain* if every proper ideal of R is a product of prime ideals.

Example 1.2.10. (*i*)The class of Dedekind domain is precisely the class of Noetherian Prüfer domains.

(*ii*) The ring $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{5} | a, b \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is a Dedekind domain.

There are large number of equivalent conditions for a Noetherian integral domain to be a Dedekind domain. The equivalence of some of these conditions are stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2.11. ([13, Theorem 6.20]) If R is a Noetherian integral domain, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) R is a Dedekind domain;
- (*ii*) Every nonzero ideal of R generated by two elements is invertible;
- (iii) For every maximal ideal P of R, the ring of quotients R_P is a valuation ring;

(iv) (A+B): C = (A:C) + (B:C) for all ideals A, B, C of R;

 $(v) \ C: (A \cap B) = (C:A) \ + \ (C:B) \ for \ all \ ideals \ A, \ B, \ C \ of \ R;$

(vi) If P is a maximal ideal of R, then every P-primary ideal of R is a power of P.
(vii) If P is a maximal ideal of R, then the set of P-primary ideals of R is totally ordered by inclusion.

1.3 Dedekind Modules

The concept of an invertible submodule was introduced in [5] as a generalization of the concept of an invertible ideal and several authors have extensively studied invertible submodules and Dedekind modules.

Following Al-Alwan and Naoum [5]

Proposition 1.3.1. ([5]) Let M be an R-module and let S be the set of non-zero divisors of R. Then $T = \{t \in S : tm = 0 \text{ for some } m \in M \text{ implies } m = 0\}$ is a multiplicative set.

Definition 1.3.1. ([5]) Let $T^{-1}R$ be the localization of R at T in the usual sense and let N be any submodule of M. If $x = \frac{r}{t} \in T^{-1}R$ and $n \in N$, then we say that $xn \in M$ if there is a $m \in M$ such that tm = rn.

Definition 1.3.2. ([5]) A nonzero submodule N of M is *invertible* if N'N = M, where $N' = \{x \in T^{-1}R : xN \subseteq M\}$ and M is a *Dedekind module* provided that M is nonzero and each nonzero submodule of M is invertible.

Note that N' is an *R*-submodule of the quotient field *K*.

Furthermore, M is said to be a D_1 -module if each non-zero cyclic submodule of M is invertible. It is clear that every Dedekind module is a D_1 -module; however the converse is false.

Example 1.3.2. (i) The Prüfer group $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ has no proper invertible submodule.

(*ii*) Let R be a ring, then R is a D_1 -module if and only if R is an integral domain.

(iii) **Q** is a Dedekind **Z**-module.