In The Name of God

Dual-Code Thin Layer, Parabolized Navier-Stokes Solutions for Supersonic Flows Over Spinning Wing-Body Configurations

By Omid Abouali

Thesis

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph. D.)

> In Mechanical Engineering **Shiraz University** Shiraz, Iran

Evaluated and Approved by Thesis Committee as:

M.M. A. hshah. M. M. Alishahi, Ph. D., Prof. of Mechanical Engineering (Chairman)

W- Emolog H. Emdad, Ph. D., Assistant Prof. of Mechanical Engineering (Chairman)

Mechanical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering Mechanical Engineering

March 2003

· 8113

My Mother, My Sisters

And

My Brothers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to my major supervisors, Dr. Alishahi and Dr. Emdad for their suggestions and providing essential motivation throughout this study. Their encouragement and guidance throughout my graduate studies has enabled me to conduct research in a very fruitful manner.

I also wish to thank the members of committee, Dr. Yaghoubi and Dr. Goshtasbi Rad for their helpful discussion regarding to this work. I would also like to express my thanks to members of referee committee, Dr. Pishehvar and Dr. Darbandi for their valuable review. I would also like to thank the member of graduate study organization Dr. Javadpour for his encouragment and his time.

Abstract

Dual-Code Thin Layer, Parabolized Navier-Stokes Solutions for Supersonic Flows Over Spinning Wing-Body Configurations

By

Omid Abouali

In this study, an earlier developed Parabolized Navier-Stokes (PNS) code is combined with Thin Layer Navier-Stokes (TLNS) code to solve supersonic flows around the non-spinning and spinning wing-body combinations. The purpose of this dual-code strategy is to decrease the required memory and computer time for solving 3-D supersonic flow over complicated geometries. The process of the matching between two codes is done by a linearized interpolation subprogram. The flow field around the nose is solved by TLNS code and for the remainder of the body, before wing's juncture, PNS code is applied. The modeling is switched from PNS to TLNS in the vicinity of wing.

Explicit time marching technique with finite volume approach is used to implement the code. The three dimensional Thin Layer Navier-Stokes (TLNS) algorithm is based on Roe upwinding scheme for the discretization of inviscid fluxes and central differencing for viscous terms. The code can be employed in laminar and turbulent flow as well, in which the Baldwin-Lomax turbulent model is used. To compute the flowfield around spinning wing-bodies, the capability of solving the equations in both inertial and rotating frame of references is included in the code.

To validate the code firstly, laminar hypersonic flow with Mach number 7.95 around a cone at incidence angles of 20° and 24° are compared with experimental data. Then, the results of turbulent flow around a tangent-ogive with incidence angle of 6° and a secant-ogive with incidence angle of 10° at Mach

number 3 are compared with existing experimental data and Euler solution. The obtained results were acceptable.

Numerical results of dual-code strategy are presented in two sections. At the first section, this strategy is applied for non-spinning and spinning bodies. The numerical results of a supersonic flow over secant-ogive at Mach number 3 are compared with the experimental data and full TLNS results. It is found that the dual-code computer time is one order of magnitude less than that of TLNS code at comparable accuracy; thus, provides a useful tool in preliminary design of spinning bodies. Furthermore, it is shown that the final results are not much sensitive to the stream wise position of the matching plane.

At the second part, the dual-code procedure is applied for wing-body combinations. Two types of wing-bodies with sweepback and rectangular wings are considered. The comparison of the dual-code results with experimental data and full TLNS results shows that the matching plane should be located small distance before the wing juncture, especially for the sweptback wings. The pressure distribution on the body and wing surface for sweptback wing and normal force coefficient for rectangular wing are compared with the experimental data, which showed a reasonable accuracy. Finally, the dual-code strategy is used for a rotating wing-body combination with rectangular wings. The results show that the matching process works quite well.

Table of Contents

Content	Page
List of Figures	VIII
Nomenclature	XV
Chapter 1-Introduction and Literature Survey	1
Chapter 2- Physical Behavior of Magnus Effect	16
Chapter 3- Numerical Schemes	23
3.1- Governing Equations	25
3.2- Computation of Cell Volume	28
3-3. Computation of Cell-Face Metrics (Normals)	29
3-4-Numerical Inviscid Fluxes	29
3-5-Viscous Terms	32
3-6-Explicit Solution Procedure	33
3-7-Implicit Solution Procedure	34
3-8- Turbulence Modeling:	36
3-8-1- Baldwin-Lomax Model	36
3-8-2- Computation of the wall stress $\tau_{\rm w}$	39
3-9-Grid Generation	39
3-9-1-Simple Geometry	39
3-9-2-Wing-body Configuration	40
3-10-Rotating Frame of Reference	45
3-11-Boundary Conditions	47
3-12- Matching process	49

Chapter 4- Results and Discussion	51
4-1- Laminar hypersonic flow over a body	52
4-2- Turbulent supersonic flow over a body	56
4-3- Dual code strategy for supersonic flow over a	63
body	
4-4- Dual code strategy for supersonic flow over a	71
spinning body	
4-5- Dual code strategy for supersonic flow over a	73
wing-body combination	
4-5-1 Diamond-Shaped Planforms Wing	73
4-5-2- Rectangular Wing	86
4-6-Dual code strategy for supersonic flow over a	91
spinning wing-body combination	
4-7 Summary and Conclusion	100
References	102
Abstract and Title Page in Persian	

List of the Figures

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 1. Effect of angle of attack on Leeside flowfields [55].	16
Figure 2. Flow regions for a circular cylinder [55].	17
Figure 3. Effect of Mach number on circular cylinder drag [56].	18
Figure 4. Boundary-layer profile on a circular cylinder rotating at	19
$U_{\rm w}/U_{\infty}=1.$	
Figure 5. Magnus lift characteristics for initially subcritical flow conditions [55].	20
Figure 6. Magnus lift characteristics for initially supercritical flow conditions [55].	21
Figure 7. Magnus lift characteristics in the critical flow regime [47].	22
Figure 8. Computational volume cell.	28
Figure 9. Axial and circumferential sections of generated grid for	40
a secant-ogive with incidence angle of 10°.	
Figure 10. A sample multiple zone grid for a finned missile	41
configuration.	
Figure 11. A generalized quadrilateral region related to each zone.	42
Figure 12. A circumferential cross flow plane of generated grid for a wing-body configuration.	42
Figure 13. Grid for the case of constant number of elements at the	44
wing surface.	
Figure 14. Grid for the case of non-constant number of elements at	45
the wing surface.	
Figure 15. Position of the matching plane in dual-code strategy.	50

Figure 16. Pressure coefficient distribution for a cone config.	52
(TLNS, α =12°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 17. Cross flow Mach contours for a cone config.	53
(TLNS, α =12°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 18. Pressure coefficient distribution for a cone config.	53
(TLNS, α =20°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 19. Cross flow Mach contours for a cone config.	54
(TLNS, α =20°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 20. Pressure coefficient distribution for a cone config.	54
(TLNS, α =24°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 21. Cross flow Mach contours for a cone config.	55
(TLNS, α =24°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 22. Cross flow tangential velocity contours for a cone	55
config.	
(TLNS, α =24°, Mach =7.95, Re= 4.101 × 10 ⁶ /m, x = 0.1 m)	
Figure 23. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	56
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Euler, α =6°, Mach =3, x/D=1.56, Re=6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 24. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	57
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Euler, α =6°, Mach =3, x/D=3.13, Re=6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 25. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	57
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Euler, α =6°, Mach =3, x/D=4.14, Re=6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 26. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	58
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Euler, α =6°, Mach =3, x/D=5.77,Re= 6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 27. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive	59
config.	
(TLNS and Euler, $\alpha=10^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=1.56, Re=6.4 × 10 ⁶)	

Figure 28. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive	59
config.	
(TLNS and Euler, $\alpha=10^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=3.13,Re= 6.4×10^{6})	
Figure 29. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive	60
config.	
(TLNS and Euler, α =10°, Mach =3, x/D=4.14, Re=6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 30. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive	60
config.	
(TLNS and Euler, α =10°, Mach =3, x/D=5.77,Re= 6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 31. Axial pressure distribution for a secant-ogive config. at	61
the windward and leeward	
(TLNS, α =10°, Mach =3, Re= 6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 32. Cross-flow tangential velocity contours for a secant-	62
ogive config.	
(TLNS, $\alpha=10^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=5.77, Re= 6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 33. Cross-flow Mach number contours for a secant-ogive	62
config.	
(TLNS, $\alpha=10^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=5.77, Re= 6.4 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 34. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	64
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Dual-code, α =6°, Mach=3, x/D=1.56, Re=6.4× 10 ⁶)	
Figure 35. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	65
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Dual-code, α =6°, Mach =3, x/D=3.13, Re=6.4×10 ⁶)	
Figure 36. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	65
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Dual-code, α =6°, Mach =3, x/D=4.14, Re=6.4×10 ⁶)	•
Figure 37. Circumferential pressure distribution for a tangent-	66
ogive config.	
(TLNS and Dual-code, $\alpha=6^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=5.77, Re= 6.4×10 ⁶)	

Figure 38. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive 67 config. (TLNS and Dual-code, α =10°, Mach=3, x/D=1.56, Re= 6.4×10⁶) 68 Figure 39. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive config. (TLNS and Dual-code, $\alpha=10^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=3.13, Re= 6.4×10^{6}) Figure 40. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive 68 config. (TLNS and Dual-code, α =10°, Mach =3, x/D=4.14, Re=6.4×10⁶) 69 Figure 41. Circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive config. (TLNS and Dual-code, α =10°, Mach =3, x/D=5.7, Re=6.4×10⁶) Figure 42. Effect of different initial data plane on the 70 circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive config. (Dual-code, α =10°, Mach =3, x/D=4.14, Re= 6.4 × 10⁶) Figure 43. Effect of different initial data plane on the 70 circumferential pressure distribution for a secant-ogive config. (Dual-code, $\alpha=10^{\circ}$, Mach = 3, x/D=5.77, Re= 6.4 × 10⁶) Figure 44. Normal force and pitching moment coefficient for a 71 secant-ogive config. (Dual-code, Mach = 3, spin rate = $\omega D_V = 0.19$, Re= 7.3×10^6) 72 Figure 45. Magnus force and yawing moment coefficient for a secant-ogive config. (Dual-code, Mach = 3, spin rate = $\omega D/V_{\infty}$ = 0.19, Re= 7.3 × 10⁶) Figure 46. Normal force and side force coefficient for a secant-73 ogive config. at different spin rates. (Dual-code, Mach = 3, Incidence angle=4, Re= 7.3×10^6)

Figure 47. The model details of the wing-body configuration with	74
a diamond-shaped planforms Wing.	
Figure 48. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	77
of C _p on the body (fig. 47),	
(Mach=3, Incidence angle=0, Re_{∞} =9.84 × 10 ⁶ /m)	
Figure 49. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	77
of C_p on the wing (fig. 47),	
(Mach=3, Incidence angle=0, Re_{∞} =9.84 × 10 ⁶ /m)	
Figure 50. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	78
of C_p on the body (fig. 47),	
(Mach=3, Incidence angle= 4° , Re _{∞} = 9.84×10^{6} /m)	
Figure 51. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	78
of C_p on the wing (fig. 47),	
(Mach=3, Incidence angle= 4° , Re _{∞} = 9.84×10^{6} /m)	
Figure 52. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	79
of C _p on the body (fig. 47),	
(Mach=3, Incidence angle= 6° , Re _{∞} = 9.84×10^{6} /m)	
Figure 53. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	79
of C_p on the wing (fig. 47),	
(Mach=3, Incidence angle= 4° , Re _{∞} = 9.84×10^{6} /m)	
Figure 54. C _p distribution on the body (Windward in fig. 47),	80
(Dual-code, Mach=3, Incidence angle=0, Re_{∞} =9.84 × 10^6 /m)	
Figure 55. C _p distribution on the wing surface(fig. 47),	81
(Dual-code, Mach=3, Incidence angle=0, Re_{∞} =9.84 × 10^6 /m)	
Figure 56. C _p distribution on the body (Windward and Leeward in	82
fig. 47)	
(Dual-code, Mach=3, Incidence angle=4°, Re _∞ =9.84 × 10 ⁶ /m)	
Figure 57. C _p distribution on wing surfaces (fig. 47)	83
(Dual-code Mach=3 Incidence angle=4° Re =9.84 × 10 ⁶ /m)	

Figure 58. C _p distribution on the body (Windward and Leeward in	83
fig. 47)	
(Dual-code, Mach=3, Incidence angle= 6° , Re _{∞} = 9.84×10^{6} /m)	
Figure 59. C _p distribution on wing surfaces (fig. 47)	84
(Dual-code, Mach=3, Incidence angle=6°, Re_{∞} =9.84 × 10 ⁶ /m)	
Figure 60. C _p contours at a streamwise cross section	85
(Dual-code , Incidence angle= 4° , Mach = 3, Re_{∞} =9.84 × 10^{6} /m)	
Figure 61. A schematic of wing-body combination with data	85
location of the figure 60.	
Figure 62. Generic missile model, L/d=8.0.	86
Figure 63. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	87
of the pressure for a rectangular wing-body combination	
(Mach=4.28, Incidence angle=5°, Re_{∞} =13.6 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 64. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	88
of the pressure for a rectangular wing-body combination	
(Mach=4.28, Incidence angle=15°, Re_{∞} =13.6 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 65. Normal force coefficient for a rectangular wing-body	89
combination at different incidence angle	
(Dual-code, Mach = 4.28 , Re _{\infty} = 13.6×10^6)	
Figure 66. Pressure contour distribution at different axial sections	90
for a rectangular wing-body combination	
(Mach =4.28, Incidence angle =15°, Re_{∞} =13.6 × 10 ⁶)	
Figure 67. Comparison of Inertial frame and rotational frame	91
coordinate for pressure distribution over the body of a	
Tangent-Ogive, Mach = 3, spin rate $\omega D/V_{\infty} = 0.2$.	
Figure 68. Comparison of Inertial frame and rotational frame	92
coordinate for pressure distribution over the body with	
equation of the circle, Mach = 3, spin rate $\omega D_{V} = 0.2$.	

Figure 69. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	93
of the pressure for a rotating rectangular wing-body	
combination (points A and B, figure 70),	
(Mach=4, Incidence angle=0, spin rate $\omega D/V_{\infty} = 0.2$)	
Figure 70. Probe points for the pressure related to figures 65 and	94
67.	
Figure 71. The effect of the matching plane position on prediction	94
of the pressure for a rotating rectangular wing-body	
combination (points C and D, figure 70),	
(Mach=4, Incidence angle=0, spin rate $\omega D_{V_{\infty}} = 0.2$)	
Figure 72. Streamwise grid distribution for a rectangular wing	95
Figure 73. Pressure contours distribution on different axial planes	97
of the rotating wing-body combination	
(Mach=4, Incidence angle=0, spin rate $\omega D/V_{\infty} = 0.2$)	
Figure 74. Pressure contours distribution on different radial planes	98
of the rotating wing-body combination	
(Mach=4, Incidence angle=0, spin rate $\omega D/V_{\infty} = 0.2$)	
Figure 75. Normal force coefficient related to one fin for a	99
rectangular wing-body combination at different spin	
rates (Mach=4 Incidence angle=0)	

Nomenclature

C Speed of sound $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ Coefficient of pressure Normal force coefficient C_n D Diameter Ε Total energy E, F, G Inviscid fluxes E_{υ} , F_{υ} , G_{υ} Viscous fluxes $\widetilde{E},\widetilde{F},\widetilde{G}$ Fluxes in general curvilinear coordinates f_c Coriolis force f_{ce} Centrifugal Force $F_{Kleb} \\$ Klebanoff intermittence factor F_{Wake} Wake function J Jacobian of transformation h Enthalpy Thermal conductivity K M Mach number of the free stream Components of surface vector n_x , n_y , n_z Heat conduction terms q_x , q_y , q_z Primitive variable matrix Q P Pressure P_{e} Free stream pressure Pr Prandtl number R Radius

Reynolds Number

Right eigen vector

Re Rⁱ