

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH

**HYDRAULIC ALGORITHM OF INCLINED SIDE WEIRS IN
NON-PRISMATIC CHANNELS**

BY:

TOORAJ HONAR

THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE OFFICE OF VICE-CHANCELLOR FOR GRADUATE STUDIES IN
PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR
OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D.)

IN

IRRIGATION SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY

SHIRAZ, IRAN

EVALUATED AND APPROVED BY THE THESIS COMMITTEE AS: EXCELLENT

M. Javan

M. JAVAN, Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF. OF
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT (CHAIRMAN)

A. Keshavarzi

A. R. KESHAVARZI, Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF.
OF IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

S. A. A. Moosavi

S. A. A. MOOSAVI, Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF.
OF IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

FEBRUARY 2002

۲۹۲۲۲

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my dear mother and father and also to my wife,

Mahban.

۳۹۳۳۳

Acknowledgements

It is impossible to thank all persons who have co-operated during this study, but some people should be mentioned.

First, I would like to appreciate my thesis supervisor, Dr. Mahmood Javan and also other thesis committee members, Dr. Ali Reza Keshavarzi and Ali Akbar Moosavi for their encouragement, support and guidance.

Special thanks to Dr. Abedini of the Civil Engineering Department of Shiraz University for his valuable comments throughout my study.

I would like to extend my thanks to Mr. Shamsodini for his cooperation in the experimental setup.

I am also thankful to God for giving me the opportunity to study at Irrigation Department. I would also like to thank my teachers during the course of my education.

In addition, I would like to thank the head of Irrigation Department and administration of College of Agriculture for their help and support for setting up my physical model.

I am deeply indebted to my parents for their love and support. I have no words to express my feelings towards my wife for encouragement for the completion of this research.

Abstract

Hydraulic Algorithm of Inclined Side Weirs In Non-Prismatic Channels

By:

Tooraj Honar

Spatially varied flow has a nonuniform discharge resulting from the addition or diminution of water along the course of flow. The hydraulic behavior of a spatially varied flow is more complicated than that of a flow of constant discharge. In this study, the special type of gradually varied flow considered as decreasing discharge in the direction of the channel, is discussed. An example of this type is flow over side weir or flow over side-channel spillway.

This study first presents an overview of the literature on side weirs in both theoretical and experimental aspects. The literature review indicates that little attention was made on flow in non-prismatic channel and inclined side weirs. Therefore, the objective of this research is to investigate the effect of inclined side weir crest on overall discharge coefficient and elementary discharge coefficient along the inclined side weir in non-prismatic rectangular channel.

To investigate the effect of inclined side weir crest on discharge coefficient, an experimental study was carried out in a rectangular channel, 15 m long, 0.35 m wide and 0.45 m deep with a broad crested rectangular side weir.

In this study, three series of experiments were conducted, one in prismatic channel and two in non-prismatic rectangular channel. Also in all experiments downstream gate was used to control water depth on the vicinity of side weir. The gate was set in three positions, one open-end and two semi-closed-end. Wide range of variables were used and seven hundred tests were made.

The evaluation of thirty-two non-dimensional variables showed that the discharge coefficient is correlated to:

- The ratio of upstream and downstream bed width (b_1/b_2), side weir upstream Froude number (F_{r1}), water depth over upstream of side weir crest to side weir length ratio ($(Y_1 - P_1)/L$) and water depth over downstream side weir crest to side weir width ratio ($(Y_2 - P_2)/W$) for open-end condition.
- Upstream to downstream bed width (b_1/b_2), water depth over side weir crest to channel water depth in upstream of side weir ($(Y_1 - P_1)/Y_1$) and side weir crest slope related to channel bed (γ) for semi closed-end experiments.
- Upstream to downstream bed width (b_1/b_2), side weir upstream Froude number (F_{r1}), upstream side weir height to channel water depth on side weir upstream (P_1/Y_1) and water depth over upstream side weir crest to side weir length ratio ($(Y_1 - P_1)/L$) for all condition without considering prismatic factor and downstream control.

Finally, a model based on statistical analysis was proposed. The model can predict the discharge coefficient of side weir and in particular inclined broad crested side weir. The model was also verified by experimental data of this study and previous published data. The results showed that the absolute residual error for overall discharge coefficient model was less than 7% and for elementary discharge coefficient model was less than 6.1% for side weir discharge prediction.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page
List of tables	IX
List of figures	X
Chapter I. Introduction	1
Chapter II. Review of literature	5
2.1. Introduction	6
2.2. Previous studies	6
2.2.1. Smith (1973)	7
2.2.2. El-Khashab and Smith (1976)	9
2.2.3. Ranga Raju et al. (1979)	10
2.2.4. Uyumaz and Muslu (1985)	11
2.2.5. Hager (1987)	14
2.2.6. Kumar and Pathak (1987)	15
2.2.7. Cheong (1991)	18
2.2.8. Uyumaz and Smith (1991)	19
2.2.9. Uyumaz (1992)	20
2.2.10. Robinson and McGhee (1993)	22
2.2.11. Hager (1994)	23
2.2.12. Swamee et al. (1994a)	24
2.2.13. Singh et al. (1994)	25
2.2.14. Herbertson and Jasem (1994-1995)	26
2.2.15. Ramamurthy et al. (1995)	27
2.2.16. Bina (1997)	28
2.2.17. Das (1997)	29
2.2.18. Uyumaz (1997)	30
2.2.19. Agaccioglu and Yuksel (1998)	30
2.2.20. Borghei et al. (1999)	31
2.2.21. Muslu (2001)	33
2.3. Theoretical Consideration	34
2.3.1. Types of non-uniform flow	34
2.3.2. Lateral outflow	35
2.3.3. Lateral Inflow	37
2.3.4. Generalization of Gradually Varied Flow Equation	38
2.3.5. Solution of spatially varied flow	40
2.3.6. Spatially Varied Flow in Non prismatic Channel	43
2.4. Summary	44
Chapter III. Experimental setup and Data collection	54
3.1. Introduction	55
3.2. Experimental setup	56
3.2.1. Head tank	56

Content	Page
3.2.2. Main channel	56
3.2.3. Test reach or Side weir section	57
3.2.4. Lateral channel	57
3.2.5. Slide gate and outlet box	58
3.2.6. Measurement equipments	58
3.2.6.1. Measurement of water surface level	58
3.2.6.2. Measurement of discharge	60
3.3. Data collection	63
3.3.1. Experimental configuration	64
3.3.2. Inflow discharge control	65
3.3.3. Water level control	65
3.3.4. Data Collection	65
Chapter IV. Results and discussion	85
4.1. Introduction	86
4.2. Overall discharge coefficient	87
4.2.1. Discharge equation of side weirs	87
4.2.2. Dimensional analysis	88
4.2.3. Background	90
4.2.4. General function for side weir discharge coefficient	92
4.2.5. Variable development using Buckingham theorem	94
4.2.6. Experiment category	96
4.2.7. Variable selection	97
4.2.7.1. Multicollinearity	97
4.2.7.2. Sources of multicollinearity	98
4.2.7.3. Measures of multicollinearity	99
4.2.7.4. Multicollinearity detecting procedure	100
4.2.8. Overall discharge coefficient	101
4.2.9. Validation of the models	104
4.2.9.1. Comparison of predicted and observed discharge coefficient of present study	104
4.2.9.2. Comparison of predicted and observed discharge coefficient for independent data	105
4.2.9.3. Comparison of predicted and observed discharge coefficient for others reported data	105
4.2.9.4. Comparison with developed models by others	107
4.3. Elementary discharge coefficient analysis	108
4.3.1. Theoretical consideration	108
4.3.2. The role of PEST	109
4.3.3. Determination of the coefficients	110
4.3.4. Validation of the Elementary model	111
4.3.4.1. Comparison of predicted and observed discharge	112
4.3.4.2. Comparison of predicted and observed discharge	112

Content	Page
4.3.4.3. Comparison of predicted and observed discharge for data of Fararoei (2000)	113
4.3.4.4. Comparison with developed model by Swamee et al. (1994b)	113
4.4. Summary and conclusions	114
Chapter V. Conclusions	149
5.1. Further research	152
References	154
Appendix 1. Data from different runs	159
Abstract and title in Persian	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1. Values of coefficients B , C , M , and N in Uyumaz and Muslu (1985) study	47
2.2. A , B , C , R and σ coefficients of Agaccioglu and Yuksel (1998) model	48
3.1. Main channel V-notch weir calibration data	67
3.2. Lateral channel V-notch weir calibration data	68
3.3. Some criteria of the main channel in the models used by different authors	69
3.4. Weir geometry and equipments used in models of different authors	70
3.5. Tests performed in present study	71
3.6. The sample of data sheet records in each experimental	72
4.1. ARE values of discharge coefficient for different runs	116
4.2. ARE values of side weir discharge for different extra records	116
4.3. Model (this study) output by using Borghei (1999) experiment data	117
4.4. Model (this study) output by using Fararoei (2000) experiment data	119
4.5. Comparison of available models for predicted discharge coefficient	120
4.6. Statistical results of predicted Cd by the models of different authors with observed Cd	121
4.7. Parameters estimated for using Eq. 4.30 in different runs	122
4.8. ARE values of discharge predicted by using elementary model	123
4.9. ARE values of discharge predicted by for extra data by using elementary model	123
A.1. Data of open-end, run 1	160
A.2. Data of semi closed-end, run 1	162
A.3. Data of open-end, run 2	166
A.4. Data of semi closed-end, run 2	167
A.5. Data of open-end, run 3	171
A.6. Data of semi closed-end, run 3	173

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1. Modes of flow along side weir	49
2.2. Definition sketch for channel with discharge over side weir	49
2.3. Plan weir flow, (sharp-crested, broad-crested, round-crested weir sharp)	50
2.4. Lateral outflow geometry plan view (Prismatic, non-prismatic side weir)	50
2.5. Definition sketch of triangular side weir	51
2.6. Two dimensional conduit outlet model	52
2.7. Rectangular lateral weir in circular channel	52
2.8. Water surface profile at different flow condition	53
3.1. Schematic view of experimental setup	73
3.2. Main and lateral channel view	74
3.3. View of test reach and head tank	75
3.4. Outlet box with V-notch weir plate	76
3.5. Test reach with side weir frame view	77
3.6. Steel frame for installation of different side weir	78
3.7. Manometer board	79
3.8a. View of weir and weir plate after installation	80
3.8b. V-notch section (A-A)	80
3.8c. V-notch section (B-B)	80
3.8d. Detail of beveled edge and screw hole	80
3.9. View of point gauge and stilling tube	81
3.10. Discharge versus $h^{2.5}$ for main channel V-notch weir	82
3.11. Discharge versus $h^{2.5}$ for lateral channel V-notch weir	83
3.12. Definition sketch (plan and elevation of inclined side weir in rectangular non-prismatic channel)	84
4.1. Variation of discharge coefficient with $P1/Y2$ values for open-end run No. 1	124
4.2. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for open-end run No. 1 using Eq. 4.18	124
4.3. Variation of discharge coefficient with $P2/Y2$ values for semi closed-end test No. 1	124
4.4. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for semi closed-end run No. 1 using Eq. 4.19	125
4.5. Variation of discharge coefficient with $Fr1$ values for open-end run No. 2	125
4.6. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for open-end run No. 2 using Eq. 4.20	125

Figure	Page
4.7. Variation of discharge coefficient with P1/Y2 values for semi closed-end run No. 2	126
4.8. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for semi closed-end for run No. 2 using Eq. 4.21	126
4.9. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for open-end run No. 3 using Eq. 4.22	126
4.10. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for semi closed-end run No. 3 using Eq. 4.23	127
4.11. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for all open-end runs using Eq. 4.24	127
4.12. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for all semi closed-end runs using Eq. 4.25	127
4.13. Observed and predicted discharge coefficients for all runs using Eq. 4.26	128
4.14. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for open-end run No. 1 using Eq. 4.18	128
4.15. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for semi closed-end run No. 1 using Eq. 4.19	128
4.16. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for open-end run No. 2 using Eq. 4.20	129
4.17. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for semi closed-end run No. 2 using Eq. 4.21	129
4.18. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for open-end run No. 3 using Eq. 4.22	129
4.19. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for semi closed-end run No. 3 using Eq. 4.23	130
4.20. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for all open-end runs using Eq. 4.24	130
4.21. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for all semi closed-end runs using Eq. 4.25	130
4.22. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted for all runs using Eq. 4.26	131
4.23. Residual of discharge predicted for extra record of open-end run No.1	131
4.24. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end run No. 1	131
4.25. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end run No. 2	132
4.26. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end run No. 2	132
4.27. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end run No. 3	132
4.28. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end run No. 3	133

Figure	Page
4.29. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end of all run	133
4.30. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end of all run	133
4.31. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of all run	134
4.32. Residual of discharge predicted for Borghei (1999) experiment data	134
4.33. Residual of discharge predicted for Fararoei (2000) experiment data	134
4.34. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Ranga Raju et al. (1972) model	135
4.35. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Herbertson and Jasem-2 (1995) model	135
4.36. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Herbertson and Jasem-3 (1995) model	135
4.37. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Borghei et al. (1999) model	136
4.38. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Nadesamoorthy and Thomson (1972) model	136
4.39. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Yu-Tek (1972) model	136
4.40. Residual of discharge coefficient predicted by Swamme et al. (1994) model	137
4.41. Definition sketch (plan and elevation) of elementary model	138
4.42. Measured and modeled discharges in open-end run No. 1	139
4.43. Measured and modeled discharges in semi closed-end run No. 1	139
4.44. Measured and modeled discharges in open-end run No. 2	139
4.45. Measured and modeled discharges in semi closed-end run No. 2	140
4.46. Measured and modeled discharges in open-end run No. 3	140
4.47. Measured and modeled discharges in semi closed-end run No. 3	140
4.48. Measured and modeled discharges in all open-end runs	141
4.49. Measured and modeled discharges in all semi closed-end runs	141
4.50. Measured and modeled discharges in all runs	141
4.51. Residuals of discharge for open-end run No. 1 by using elementary model	142
4.52. Residual of discharge for semi closed-end run No. 1 by using elementary model	142
4.53. Residual of discharge for open-end run No.2 by using elementary model	142
4.54. Residual of discharge for semi closed-end run No. 2 by using elementary model	143
4.55. Residual of discharge for open-end run No. 3 by using elementary model	143

Figure	Page
4.56. Residual of discharge for semi closed-end run No.3 by using elementary model	143
4.57. Residual of discharge for all open-end runs by using elementary model	144
4.58. Residual of discharge for all semi closed-end runs by using elementary model	144
4.59. Residual of discharge for all runs by using elementary model	144
4.60. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end run No. 1 by using elementary model	145
4.61. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end run No. 1 by using elementary model	145
4.62. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end run No. 2 by using elementary model	145
4.63. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end run No.2 by using elementary model	146
4.64. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end run No. 3 by using elementary model	146
4.65. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end run No. 3 by using elementary model	146
4.66. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of open-end of all run by using elementary model	147
4.67. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of semi closed-end of all run by using elementary model	147
4.68. Residual of discharge predicted for extra records of all run by using elementary model	147
4.69. Residual of discharge for Fararooi (2000) data by using elementary model	148
4.70. Residual of discharge by Swamme (1994) model	148

Chapter I
Introduction

1. Introduction

Side weirs have been used extensively for water level control in irrigation and drainage canal systems, as a means of diverting excess water into relief channels for flood protection works, and as storm overflows from urban sewage systems. A complete analytical solution of the equations governing the flow in side weir channels is not possible, and until quite recently, approximate methods have been used, based on experiments conducted over a limited range of the many variables involved. In many cases, the use of such approximate methods has involved substantial errors in the calculated spill discharge.

The flow over side weir in a rectangular channel has been the subject of many investigations (Engels 1920; Coleman and Smith 1923; Tyler et al. 1929; Forchheimer 1930; Frazer 1954; Allen 1957; Collinge 1957; Kumar and Pathak 1987; Ranga Raju et al. 1979). Probably the first theoretical approach to the hydraulics of flow over a side weir in a rectangular channel was reported by De Marchi (1934). Theoretical and experimental studies for a side weir in a circular channel reported in the literature include Uyumaz (1982), Uyumaz and Muslu (1985). Their experimental works and theoretical analyses have been confined to the flow over side weir in rectangular and circular channels. Only one study pertinent to side weir exists for U-shaped channels (Hager et al. 1983).

Methods of analyzing spatially varied flow in a channel with a side weir have been developed to give accurate computations for certain cases. These cases include subcritical and supercritical flow in the upstream channel and along the weir (De Marchi 1934; El-Khashab 1975; El-Khashab, and Smith 1976).