

In the Name of God



Islamic Azad University
Central-Tehran Branch
Faculty of Foreign Languages
English Department

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS IN
TEACHING ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE (TEFL)

***The Relationship among EFL Learners' Autonomy,
Tolerance of Ambiguity, Reading Strategies, and
Reading Comprehension***

ADVISOR:

MANIA NOSRATINIA Ph. D.

READER:

HAMID MARASHI ZADEH Ph. D.

BY:

HOSSEIN SHAKERI

December 2012

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The process I went through my MA course and composing this thesis helped me achieve many things. Thanks God for supporting me all the time. I feel also obliged to express my gratitude to a number of individuals whose contribution in assorted ways deserves special mention.

In the first place I would like to record my appreciation to Dr. Mania Nosratinia for her supervision, advice, and guidance. I have been blessed to have such a brilliant mentor to help me navigate the thesis process.

My heartfelt thanks go to my reader, Dr. Hamid Marashi Zadeh, whose insightful remarks and inspiration were unequaled over the years of my course. I am indebted to him more than he knows.

I would also like to express my sincere and deepest gratitude to Dr. Kouros Akef who took the burden as the external reader for this study.

I convey special acknowledgement to Mr. Alireza Beglari, Dr. Farhad Azimi, Dr. Fatemeh Yousefi Rad, and Mr. Khashayar Shahravan for giving me the opportunity to conduct this research in their classes.

Words fail me to express my appreciation to my family, whose boundless love and continuous support and encouragement have always paved the way toward my dreams. Dedicating this thesis, my heart goes to my beloved simply perfect parents and fiancé.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present study was to explore the relationship among EFL learners' autonomy, tolerance of ambiguity, reading strategies, and reading comprehension. To fulfill this objective, 130 sophomore and junior students majoring in English Translation who had passed at least 45 credits at Tehran and Qazvin Payam-e-Noor Universities were asked to take part in a piloted PET reading comprehension test and three questionnaires on learner autonomy, tolerance of ambiguity (SLTAS), and reading strategies (SORS). After discarding incomplete answer sheets, 106 acceptable cases were used in statistical analysis. Correlation analysis indicated a statistically significant relationship between autonomy and tolerance of ambiguity; autonomy and reading strategies; reading strategies and reading comprehension. However, a statistically significant relationship was not found between autonomy and reading comprehension; tolerance of ambiguity and reading comprehension; and tolerance of ambiguity and reading strategies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER I: BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Statement of the Research Questions	6
1.4 Statement of the Research Hypotheses	7
1.5 Definition of Key Terms	8
1.5.1 Learner Autonomy	8
1.5.2 Reading Comprehension	9
1.5.3 Reading Strategies	9
1.5.4 Tolerance of Ambiguity	10
1.6 Significance of the Study	10
1.7 Limitations and Delimitations	12
1.7.1 Limitations	12
1.7.2 Delimitation	12
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE	13
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Reading	14
2.2.1 Models of Reading	15
2.2.2 Components of Reading	17
2.2.3 Foreign Language Reading	18
2.3 Reading Comprehension	20
2.3.1 Definitions of Reading Comprehension	21
2.3.2 Categories of Reading Comprehension	23

2.3.3	Influential Factors in Reading Comprehension	24
2.4	Reading Strategies	26
2.4.1	Definitions of Reading Strategies	27
2.4.2	Categories of Reading Strategies	28
2.4.3	Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension	30
2.4.4	Reading Strategies Studies in Iran	32
2.5	Learner Autonomy	33
2.5.1	Definitions of Learner Autonomy	35
2.5.2	Characteristics of Autonomous Learners	37
2.5.3	Learner Autonomy and Language Proficiency	38
2.5.4	Learner Autonomy Studies in Iran	40
2.6	Tolerance of Ambiguity	41
2.6.1	Definitions of Tolerance of Ambiguity	43
2.6.2	Variation in Tolerance of Ambiguity	44
2.6.3	Tolerance of Ambiguity and Foreign language learning	46
2.6.4	Tolerance of Ambiguity Studies in Iran	47
CHAPTER III: METHOD		49
3.1	Introduction	50
3.2	Participants	50
3.3	Instrumentation	51
3.3.1	Learner Autonomy Questionnaire	51
3.3.2	Tolerance of Ambiguity Questionnaire	52
3.3.3	Reading Strategies Questionnaire	53
3.3.4	Reading Comprehension Test	54
3.4	Procedure	55
3.5	Design of the Study	58
3.6	Statistical Analysis	58
CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS OF DATA		60
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Descriptive Statistics of the Pilot Study	62
4.3	Descriptive Statistics of the Main Administration	64
4.3.1	Descriptive Statistics of the Learner Autonomy Questionnaire	65
4.3.2	Descriptive Statistics of the Tolerance of Ambiguity Questionnaire	66
4.3.3	Descriptive Statistics of the Reading Strategies Questionnaire	67
4.3.4	Descriptive Statistics of the PET Reading Comprehension Test	69
4.4	Testing the Hypotheses	70

CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS	76
5.1 Introduction	77
5.2 Procedure and Summary of the Findings	77
5.3 Discussion of the Findings	79
5.4 Pedagogical Implications	82
5.4.1 Implications for EFL Teachers	83
5.4.2 Implications for EFL Learners	84
5.4.3 Implications for Syllabus Designers	84
5.5 Suggestions for Further Research	85
REFERENCES	86
APPENDICES	97
Appendix A: Questionnaire of Learner Autonomy	98
Appendix B: Tolerance of Ambiguity Questionnaire (SLTAS)	101
Appendix C: Questionnaire of Reading Strategies (SORS)	102
Appendix D: Reading Comprehension Test	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics of the Learner Autonomy Questionnaire Piloting	62
Table 4.2 Reliability Statistics of the Learner Autonomy Questionnaire Piloting	62
Table 4.3 Descriptive Statistics of the SLTAS Piloting	63
Table 4.4 Reliability Statistics of the SLTAS Piloting	63
Table 4.5 Descriptive Statistics of the SORS Piloting	63
Table 4.6 Reliability Statistics of the SORS Piloting	63
Table 4.7 Descriptive Statistics of the PET Reading Comprehension Test Piloting	63
Table 4.8 Reliability Statistics of the PET Reading Comprehension Test Piloting	63
Table 4.9 Reliability Statistics of the PET Reading Comprehension Test Piloting after Deletion of 2 Items	64
Table 4.10 Descriptive Statistics of the Learner Autonomy Questionnaire Administration	65
Table 4.11 Descriptive Statistics of the SLTAS Questionnaire Administration	66
Table 4.12 Descriptive Statistics of the SORS Questionnaire Administration	68
Table 4.13 Descriptive Statistics of the PET Reading Comprehension Test Administration	69
Table 4.14 Correlation between Autonomy and Tolerance of Ambiguity	71
Table 4.15 Correlation between Autonomy and Reading Strategies	72
Table 4.16 Correlation between Autonomy and Reading Comprehension	73
Table 4.17 Correlation between Tolerance of Ambiguity and Reading Strategies	73
Table 4.18 Correlation between Tolerance of Ambiguity and Reading Comprehension	74
Table 4.19 Correlation between Reading Strategies and Reading Comprehension	75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1 Score Distribution of the Learner Autonomy Questionnaire	66
Figure 4.2 Score Distribution of the SLTAS Questionnaire	67
Figure 4.3 Score Distribution of the SORS Questionnaire	68
Figure 4.4 Score Distribution of the PET Reading Comprehension Test	70

CHAPTER I

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

1.1 Introduction

Reading is one of the most necessary skills for daily life. People usually read to be informed about a topic, to be educated, or just to be entertained. The importance of reading is far more serious for EFL learners. Stressing the fact, Farhadi, Jafarpoor, and Birjandi (1994) say, “reading is the most important of all skills for most language learners in general, and for EFL learners in particular” (P. 247).

In spite of the importance and complexity of reading, it is sometimes erroneously viewed just as a passive process of reconstructing the author’s intended meaning that is transmitted through language (Nunan, 1999). Rejecting such a perspective toward reading as a single skill that relies on a unitary cognitive process, current views of reading development hold it as a progressive attached sequence of variables that moves from the visual symbol recognition to the text comprehension (Kendeou, Lynch, Broek, Espin, White, & Kremer, 2005).

Reading comprehension as the “essence of reading” (Durkin, 1993, P. 4) occurs when a mental concept of meaning is created from the written text. To do this, “The reader extracts and integrates various information from the text and combines it with what is already known” (Koda, 2005, P. 4).

Due to the significance of reading skill in learning and assessing a foreign language, many attempts have been done in order to determine and identify

factors influencing in or correlating with the complex process of comprehension. In particular, many researchers have been interested in understanding what good readers typically do or possess while they read (e.g., Block, 1992; Brantmeier, 2002; Burns, Roe, & Ross, 1999; Erten & Topkaya, 2009; Heidari, 2010; Kondo-Brown, 2006; Lehr, Osborn, & Hiebert, 2005).

It is generally accepted that among the influential factors in reading comprehension, strategies are one of the most beneficial ones that any reader can use for ensuring success in reading. They are of interest for what they reveal about the way readers manage their interactions with written text, and how these strategies are related to reading comprehension (Carrell, Pharis, & Liberto, 1989). Emphasizing on the key role of reading strategies, Afflerbach, Pearson, and Paris (2008) characterize them as “deliberate, goal directed attempts to control and modify the reader’s efforts to decode text, understand word, and construct meanings out of text” (P. 15). They range from simple fix-up strategies such as simply rereading difficult segments and guessing the meaning of an unknown word from context, to more comprehensive strategies such as summarizing and relating what is being read to the reader’s background knowledge (Janzen, 1996).

Another influential factor in reading comprehension is learner autonomy. Autonomy is generally defined as the capacity to take charge of, or responsibility for one’s own learning (Holec, 1981, P. 3). It is both a social and an individual construct, which involves the personal development of each

student and, at the same time, interaction with others (La Ganza, 2001).

Research findings have provided evidence that autonomy is of general concern in second or foreign language learning (Dafei, 2007; Wenden, 1998; Zhang & Li, 2004). As a result, the trends in language teaching has recently moved toward making learners more autonomous and shifting the responsibility toward the learner (Wenden, 1998).

Since reading in a foreign language is a rather ambiguous issue that involves processing unknown linguistic and cultural input, tolerance of ambiguity could be another significant related variable in reading comprehension. Tolerance of ambiguity as the ability to accept uncertainties (Ely, 1989), has different levels. Because high tolerance may cause cognitive passivity and low tolerance may impede language learning, it is generally suggested that a moderate level in tolerance of ambiguity should be the most beneficial level for effective language learning and reading comprehension (Ely, 1995; El-Koumy, 2000). However, Kazamia (1999) states that this midpoint has not been fully defined.

Taking the role of all mentioned factors into consideration, each of these attributes could be just as a piece of the puzzle. The correlation between reading comprehension as a target and any of these variables on the one hand and the relationships between each pair of them on the other hand can provide us a more holistic yet precise approach toward reading.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Despite the importance and lots of research on reading, it would be argued that there is no single comprehensive perspective toward reading and subsequently characteristics of a good reader. According to Aebersold and Field (1997), “The act of reading is neither completely understood nor easily described” (P. 5). Moreover, needless to say that although reading comprehension as an ultimate goal of reading is a mechanism of interaction between writer and reader, it is also related to a variety of variables. According to Sweet and Snow (2002), there are multiple sources of difference in the reading comprehension process and outcomes which is strongly affected by variation in reader capacities.

Among these variables, reading strategies are of great significance. However, Brantmeier (2002), who comprehensively but not exhaustively, reviewed the research on reading strategies, stated, “because of the wide variety of participants, tasks, and reading materials employed in studies that examine L2 reading strategies, it is difficult to compare results across studies” (P. 2). Furthermore, many readers still do not know how to use effective strategies to facilitate their understanding of a text (Lau & Chan, 2003).

Learner autonomy is another influential factor in reading comprehension which its contribution has become a critical argument. According to Benson (2001) a reason for the issue is that, “researchers are increasingly beginning to

understand that there is an intimate relationship between autonomy and effective learning. However, to date, this relationship has largely been explored at the level of theory and lacks substantial empirical support” (P. 189).

In addition to the above mentioned effective variables, tolerance of ambiguity has also been shown to have a positive relationship with reading comprehension (El-Koumy, 2000). Regarding the point that apart from linguistic forms, making sense of different culture norms can also cause ambiguity (Lustig & Koester, 1993) on the one hand, and scarce studies in this field on the other hand, result in a partial notion (Erten & Topkaya, 2009).

Considering the above facts, it seems that inadequate localized attention to a set of effective variables in reading is among the reasons that contribute to the learners’ difficulties in reading comprehension. Therefore, to come up with a more in-depth picture, the present study aims to investigate the relationship among EFL learners’ autonomy, tolerance of ambiguity, reading strategies, and reading comprehension.

1.3 Statement of the Research Questions

The following research questions were formulated:

Q₁. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners’ autonomy and tolerance of ambiguity?

Q₂. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners' autonomy and reading strategies?

Q₃. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners' autonomy and reading comprehension?

Q₄. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners' tolerance of ambiguity and reading strategies?

Q₅. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners' tolerance of ambiguity and reading comprehension?

Q₆. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners' reading strategies and reading comprehension?

1.4 Statement of the Research Hypotheses

Based on the mentioned questions posed by the researcher, the following null hypotheses were stated:

H₀₁. There is no significant relationship between EFL learners' autonomy and tolerance of ambiguity.

H₀₂. There is no significant relationship between EFL learners' autonomy and reading strategies.

H0₃. There is no significant relationship between EFL learners' autonomy and reading comprehension.

H0₄. There is no significant relationship between EFL learners' tolerance of ambiguity and reading strategies.

H0₅. There is no significant relationship between EFL learners' tolerance of ambiguity and reading comprehension.

H0₆. There is no significant relationship between EFL learners' reading strategies and reading comprehension.

1.5 Definition of Key Terms

1.5.1 Learner Autonomy

The basis of learner autonomy, according to Holec (1981), is that learner takes charge of his/her learning. By his definition "autonomous learners assume responsibility for determining the purpose, content, rhythm, and method of their learning, monitoring its progress and evaluating its outcomes" (P. 3). In this study, learner autonomy is operationally defined as the obtained scores of respondents on the Persian version of Spratt, Humphreys, and Chan (2002) questionnaire of learner autonomy including 52 items in a Likert-scale, sequentially assigning values of 1,2,3,4, and 5 to options of "not at all", "a

little”, “some”, “mainly”, and “completely” in section one; counting 1 for “very poor” to 5 for “very good” in section two; setting 5 to 1 beside the first to the last choices in section three; and attributing values of 1,2,3, and 4 to options of “never”, “rarely”, “sometimes”, and “often” in section four.

1.5.2 Reading Comprehension

Reading comprehension is a “process of simultaneously extracting and constructing meaning through interaction and involvement with written language” (RAND Reading Study Group, 2002, P. 11). Reading comprehension is operationally defined in the study as the participants’ obtained score of a test excerpted from reading comprehension parts of PET Practice Tests developed by Quintana (2003). The test consists of six reading comprehension passages followed by four or five multiple-choice reading comprehension questions on each, lasting 25 minutes to answer. Regarding 28 questions in total, and one point for each correct answer, the maximum achievement score could be 28.

1.5.3 Reading Strategies

Reading strategies are defined as the behavior that a reader engages in at the time of reading and that is related to some goals. In other words, “They are ways of accessing text meaning which are employed flexibly and selectively in the course of reading” (Carter & Nunan, 2001, P. 225). In the present study, reading strategies are operationally defined as the participants’ yielded scores

on the Persian version of 30-item questionnaire of the Survey of Reading Strategies (SORS), developed by Mokhtari and Sheorey (2002) in a Likert-scale, where the candidates' responses to the questionnaire were scored by counting 1 for "never" to 5 for "always".

1.5.4 Tolerance of Ambiguity

Ely (1989) defines tolerance of ambiguity as the acceptance of uncertainties. In a more detailed way, Ellis (1994) defines this term as "an ability to deal with ambiguous new stimuli without frustration or without appeals to authority. It allows for indeterminate rather than rigid categorization" (P. 518). Tolerance of ambiguity in the present study is operationally defined by measuring the candidates' responses to the Persian version of 12-item questionnaire of Second Language Tolerance of Ambiguity Scale (SLTAS) developed by Ely (1995), in a Likert-scale, assigning values of 1,2,3, and 4 to choices of "strongly agree", "agree", "disagree", and "strongly disagree".

1.6 Significance of the Study

Since the importance of reading comprehension for EFL learners has generally been proved, the interest of language teachers, educators, and material developers toward the notion is steadily on the increase. Success in such a

complex process requires investigation regarding so many factors that might contribute to or correlate with the reading comprehension.

Feeling the same need and interest, this study was carried out due to the perceived gap in providing an exhaustive perspective toward reading comprehension. Although reaching to the point is difficult, if not impossible, the merit of this research is because of simultaneously taking a small number of mentioned variables into account.

In line with plenty of studies, the researcher's main focus was on three of these variables, namely reading strategies, autonomy, and tolerance of ambiguity. Determining the impact of context in the relationship of reading comprehension with reading strategies (Brantmeier, 2002), autonomy (McClure, 2001), and tolerance of ambiguity (Lustig & Koester, 1993) is a good reason why it is crucial to replicate research on these elements within different cultures and learning environment. Plus the fact that any reciprocal correlation between reading comprehension and any of these factors is of great significance, the degree of relationships between each pair of them which was another purpose of this study, is expected to have many implications for EFL learners and teachers.

The researcher hopes that the findings of this study might be beneficial to understand the processes involved in reading. It can also propose guidelines for EFL teachers who are looking for the best ways to equip their teaching procedure, techniques, and resources in order to help their students develop habits of effective reading not only in the class but also out of there.