

*In the name of God*

1.8208

۸۰۰۱۱۱۱  
۱۲۱۱۱۱



**Shiraz University  
Faculty of Literature and Humanities**

**M.A. Thesis in Teaching English**

**EVOLUTIONARY NATURE OF GENRE:  
ABSTRACTS IN TEFL AND ASTROPHYSICS**

**By  
HAJAR GHAFFARPOUR**

**Supervised by**

**N. RASHIDI, Ph.D**

**October 2008**

*1.82nd*



دانشکده ادبیات و علوم انسانی

پایاننامه کارشناسی ارشد در رشته آموزش زبان  
انگلیسی

سیر تکاملی ژانر:

چکیده در آموزش زبان انگلیسی به عنوان زبان خارجی  
و اختراقیزیک

توسط:  
هاجر غفارپور

استاد راهنمای:  
دکتر ناصر رسیدی

آبان ماه ۱۳۸۷

۱۹۸۷

IN THE NAME OF GOD

**EVOLUTIONARY NATURE OF GENRE:  
ABSTRACTS IN TEFL AND ASTROPHYSICS**

BY

HAJAR GHAFFARPOUR

M.A. THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT  
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS (M.A.)

IN

TEACHING ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE (TEFL)

SHIRAZ UNIVERSITY

SHIRAZ

ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

EVALUATED AND APPROVED BY THE THESIS COMMITTEE AS: EXCELLENT

*N.Rashidi*..... N. RASHIDI, Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF. OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE  
TEACHING (CHAIRMAN)

*M.Yamini*.... M. YAMINI, Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF. OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE  
TEACHING (READER)

*M.Rahimi*..... M. RAHIMI, Ph.D., ASSISTANT PROF. OF FOREIGN LANGUAGE  
TEACHING (READER)

OCTOBER 2008

***DEDICATED TO***

*My parents*

*&*

*My two brothers*

## *Acknowledgements*

I hereby appreciate and highly value the genuine assistance of all of my professors at Shiraz University, particularly, my thesis advisor, Dr. Rashidi whose insightful advises were of great help to me and to whom I really acknowledge my great indebtedness: My particular gratitude is also owed to my two readers, Dr. Yamini and Dr. Rahimi for their meticulous revision of my thesis.

It is high time that I can thank my family and dedicate my words of thanks to my parents for all of their support and to my two brothers for their encouragements and companionship.

## **ABSTRACT**

### **EVOLUTIONARY NATURE OF GENRE: ABSTRACTS IN TEFL AND ASTROPHYSICS**

**BY**

**HAJAR GHAFFARPOUR**

Seldom being seen to maintain static values, genres trigger the present study to investigate and compare their evolutions in two fields of TEFL and Astrophysics. As a corpus-based study, it consists of 120 research article abstracts, dated from 1975 to 2007. The study integrates syntactic and pragmatic analysis with rhetorical move analysis. Abstracts are analyzed rhetorically, using IMRC model and/or Swales' (1990) CARS model; then the move and abstract length is estimated. To probe the syntactic and pragmatic aspects of the abstracts, the amount of pronoun application, aspects of tense, voice and conjunction usage are taken into consideration. Additionally, Wordsmith tools has been applied for more detailed analysis. Results indicate that both fields increase in conformance to the IMRC model while this increase is more persistent in TEFL. Abstract length observes roughly a decrease, with the length of move C increasing in both fields, in line with Ayers (2008). Authors in both fields, particularly in Astrophysics, feel freer to apply first person pronouns to indicate their authorial presence. Concerning tense, more Present tense is being applied in abstracts with the IMRC model while Past tense domineers abstracts with the CARS model.

Conjunction application, in the two fields, observes slight decrease with some fluctuations, more noticeably, in Astrophysics. On the whole, the evolutionary patterns are similar in many cases in the two disciplines, though differing in intensities.

## Table of contents

Title	Page number
Chapter 1: Introduction to the study	
1.0. Introduction .....	1
1.1. Genre analysis.....	1
1.2. Defining genre .....	5
1.3. Genre and background knowledge .....	7
1.4. Genre evolution .....	8
1.5. Analyzing genre .....	11
1.5.1. Linguistics and genre analysis .....	11
1.5.2. sociology and gene analysis .....	12
1.5.3. psychology and genre analysis .....	13
1.6. Steps in analyzing genres .....	13
a. Analysis of lexico-grammatical features .....	14
b. analysis of text patterning or textualization .....	14
c. structural interpretation of the text-genre .....	14
1.7. Move analysis .....	15
1.8. Distinguishing moves .....	17
1.9. Objectives of the study .....	19
1.10. Research questions .....	19

1.11. Significance of the study .....	19
---------------------------------------	----

## Chapter two: Review of related literature

2.0. Introduction .....	21
2.1. Preliminaries .....	21
2.2. Text analysis .....	23
2.3. Genre evolution .....	24
2.4. Scientific evolution .....	25
2.5. Evolution in experimental reports .....	26
2.6. Reasons for change .....	28
2.7. Change in article length .....	29
2.8. Different fields .....	30
2.9. Different sections .....	32
2.10. Abstracts .....	33

## Chapter three: Methods and procedures

3.0. Introduction .....	38
3.1. Corpus-based genre analysis .....	38
3.2. The corpus .....	39
3.3. Data analysis procedures .....	40
3.3.1. Rhetorical analysis .....	40
All-Wordsmith-5 .....	41
3.3.1.1. Move analysis .....	41
3.3.1.2. Article length .....	46

3.3.2. Linguistic and pragmatic analysis .....	47
3.3.2.1. Use of pronouns .....	47
3.3.2.2. Voice .....	48
3.3.2.3. Tense .....	49
3.3.2.4. Use of conjunctions .....	49

## Chapter four: Results and discussion

4.0. Introduction .....	51
4.1. The rhetorical features of abstracts .....	51
4.1.1. Move structures .....	54
4.1.1.1. IMRC model .....	55
4.1.1.1.1. Astrophysics .....	55
4.1.1.1.2. TEFL .....	62
4.1.1.2. CARS model .....	66
4.1.1.2.1. Astrophysics .....	66
4.1.1.2.2. TEFL .....	67
4.1.1.2. Detailed rhetorical structure .....	68
4.1.1.2.1. IMRC model .....	68
4.1.1.2.1.1. Astrophysics .....	68
4.1.1.2.1.2. TEFL .....	74
4.1.1.2.2. CARS Model .....	78
4.1.1.2.2.1. Astrophysics .....	78
4.1.1.2.2.2. TEFL .....	80
4.1.1.3. Length .....	82
4.1.1.3.1 Length with the IMRC model .....	82
4.1.1.3.1.1. Move length .....	82

4.1.3.1.2. Abstract length .....	83
4.1.3.2. Length with the CARS model .....	84
4.1.3.2.1. Move length .....	84
4.1.3.2.2. Abstract length .....	86
4.2. Linguistic and pragmatic analysis .....	87
4.2.1. Use of pronouns .....	87
4.2.2. Voice .....	91
4.2.2.1. Abstracts with the IMRC structure .....	92
4.2.2.2. Abstracts with the CARS structure .....	95
4.2.3. Tense .....	98
4.2.3.1. Abstracts with the IMRC structure .....	99
4.2.3.2. Abstracts with the CARS structure .....	107
4.2.4. Conjunctions .....	112

## Chapter five: Summary, conclusion and implications

5.0. Introductions to the chapter .....	118
5.1. Summary of the study .....	118
5.1.1. Rhetorical analysis .....	118
5.1.1.1. Move structure .....	119
5.1.1.2. A model proposed .....	120
5.1.1.3. Length .....	122
5.1.2. Pragmatic analyses .....	122
5.1.2.1. Use of pronoun .....	122
5.1.2.2. Voice .....	123
5.1.2.3. Tense .....	124
5.2. Overview .....	125

5.3. Conclusion .....	126
5.4. Implications .....	127
5.5. Further research .....	129
<b>References .....</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Appendix .....</b>	<b>140</b>

## List of tables

Title	Page number
Table 1.1 Various methods for measuring moves .....	18
Table 2.1 Overview of the textual studies of the English RA .....	23
Table 4.1 Percentage of adherence to the IMRC and CARS models.....	54
Table 4.2 Astrophysics abstracts with IMRC model.....	61
Table 4.3 Move characteristics of TEFL abstracts with IMRC model.....	65
Table 4.4 Available CARS patterns in Astrophysics .....	67
Table 4.5 Available patterns of CARS model in TEFL .....	68
Table 4.6 Detailed step characteristics of Astrophysics abstracts with the IMRC model .....	73
Table 4.7 Detailed step characteristics of TEFL abstracts with the IMRC model.....	77
Table 4.8 Steps of Astrophysics abstracts with the CARS model .....	79
Table 4.9 Steps of TEFL abstracts with the CARS model.....	81
Table 4.10 Average length of moves per word in abstracts of the two fields with CARS model .....	86
Table 4.11 Use of pronouns in Astrophysics abstracts .....	89
Table 4.12 use of pronouns in TEFL abstracts .....	91
Table 4.13 Percentage of passive application in Astrophysics abstracts with IMRC model .....	93

Table 4.14 Percentage of passive application in TEFL abstracts with IMRC model .....	93
Table 4.15 Percentage of passive application in Astrophysics abstracts with CARS model .....	96
Table 4.16 Percentage of passive application in TEFL abstracts with CARS model .....	96
Table 4.17 Tense in Astrophysics abstracts with the IMRC model .....	100
Table 4.18 Tense in TEFL abstracts with the IMRC model .....	101
Table 4.19 Comparing tenses in three fields .....	104
Table 4.20 Tense in abstracts with the CARS model .....	111
Table 4.21 conjunction application in Astrophysics .....	114
Table 4.22 Conjunction application in TEFL abstract .....	116

## List of figures and graphs

Title	Page number
Figure 1.1 Language's stratified content form in relation to a stratified model of social context .....	3
Figure 1. 2 Genre and prior knowledge.....	7
Figure 1.3 Time frames and semogenesis .....	8
Figure 1.4 Language metaredounding with register, metaredounding with genre .....	9
Figure 1.5 Constituents of a move .....	16
Figure 2.1 The research article and other research-process genre .....	22
Figure 2. 2 Average article length .....	29
Figure 3.1 Selected disciplines and journals .....	40
Figure 3. 2 IMRC model .....	42
Figure 3.3 CARS model .....	43
Graph 4.1 Move evolutions in Astrophysics .....	55
Graph 4.2 Move evolutions in TEFL .....	62
Graph 4.3 Move length of Astrophysics abstracts with the IMRC model .....	82
Graph 4.4 Move length of TEFL abstracts with the IMRC model .....	83
Graph 4.5 Lengths of abstracts in TEFL and Astrophysics with IMRC model...	84
Graph 4.6 Move length in Astrophysics abstracts with CARS model .....	84
Graph 4.7 Move length in TEFL abstracts with CARS model .....	85
Graph 4.8 Article lengths with abstracts of the two fields with the CARS model .....	87

Graph 4.9 Evolutions of passive applications in abstracts with IMRC model of the two fields .....	94
Graph 4.10 Passive applications in different moves of abstracts with the IMRC model of the two fields .....	95
Graph 4.11 Passive applications in different moves of abstracts with the CARS model of the two fields .....	97
Graph 4.12 Evolutions of passive applications in abstracts with CARS model of the two fields .....	98
Graph 4.13 Evolutions of applications of Present tense in abstracts with the IMRC model in both fields .....	102
Graph 4.14 Evolutions of applications of Past tense in abstracts with the IMRC model in both fields .....	103
Graph 4.15 Evolutions of applications of Present perfect tense in abstracts with the IMRC model in both fields .....	105
Graph 4.16 Evolutions of applications of Future tense in abstracts with the IMRC model in both fields .....	106
Graph 4.17 Evolutions of applications of modal in abstracts with the IMRC model in both fields .....	106
Graph 4.18 Evolutions of applications of Present tense in abstracts with the CARS model in both fields .....	108
Graph 4.19 Evolutions of applications of Past tense in abstracts with the CARS model in both fields .....	108
Graph 4.20 Present perfect tense in abstracts with the CARS model in both fields .....	109
Graph 4.21 Future tense in abstracts with the CARS model in both fields ..	110

Graph 4.22 Modal applications in abstracts with the CARS model in both fields .....	110
Graph 4.23 Evolutionary pattern of conjunction application in the two fields.	112
Figure 5.1 The proposed model .....	121

## Table of abbreviations

IMRC= **Introduction, Method, Result, Conclusion**

CARS= **Create-A-Research-Space**

Subj= **subjective**

Poss= **possessive**

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY

### 1.0. Introduction

In this chapter an introduction to genre analysis is given by stating the significance of analyzing the genre. After having defined the genre, its relationship with background knowledge will be elaborated on. Then, considering the importance of genre, some methods for its analysis will be presented, the most prominent of which is Move analysis. Finally, the objective of the present study, the research questions and the significance of the study will be made mention of.

### 1.1. Genre analysis

Genre analysis has been considered one of the most prominent levels of text analysis.

As is stated by Bhatia (1993), applied discourse analysis consists of four levels:

1. functional language description which deals with register analysis;
2. surface-level linguistic description which deals with grammatical-rhetorical analysis;