

--+



*University of Sistan and Baluchestan*

*Department of Civil Engineering*

**Non-linear Study of Various Slit Shear Walls in Steel  
Structures**

**By**

**Yousef Nejati**

**Supervisor:**

**DR. MOHAMMAD REZA GHASEMI**

## **ABSTRACT**

Seismic retrofit strategies have been developed in the past few decades following the introduction of new seismic provisions and the availability of advanced materials and methods. It can be observed that new approaches to deal with more lateral forces are more innovative and more energy absorbent . In line with this, there is a growing trend toward the use of steel shear walls as a system with too much energy dissipation, low weight, and compatible architecture. This study has evaluated some Lateral Forces Resisting Systems (LFRS) for retrofitting of steel buildings specially Slit steel panels as an effective and economical method. The main concept of these panels is for the steel plate between the Slits to behave as a series of bending links, which undergo big flexural deformations relative to their shear deformation. Each flexural link can be examined independently from one another, and its behaviour can be approximated to a double curvature column in the sense that each flexural link develops plastic hinges at the ends. Some models in ABAQUS were conducted. The observations indicate that without changing the property of panel (i.e., material or dimensions), stiffness and strength can be finely-tuned by changing the geometric parameter. This thesis focuses on achieving the proper region for determining the geometry factors; in addition to brilliant design to obtain the acceptable responses in Slitted shear walls.

**Keyword:** Retrofitting, Steel Buildings Slit steel shear wall, damper device, Non-linear analysis

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The first author would like to gratefully acknowledge the spiritual guidance and proper feedback from Dr Ghasemi the supervisor of the research.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT.....	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	4
LIST OF TABLES.....	8
LIST OF FIGURES.....	9
LIST OF SYMBOLS.....	12

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Background.....	15
1.2 Objective and Scope.....	16
1.3 Chapter Overview.....	16

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **2. CASE-STUDY**

2.1 Introduction.....	19
2.2.1 What is the qualitative evaluation of buildings?.....	19
2.1.2 The stages of the qualitative evaluation:.....	20
2.1.3 Gathering primary information:.....	20
2.1.4 Employer requests.....	25
2.1.4 Employer requests.....	25
2.1.5 To investigate risk of the structure-site.....	25
2.1.6 The structure – site splitting danger.....	25

2.1.7	Design documents.....	26
2.1.8	Gathering information about the structure configuration.....	27
2.1.9	Detection of the information level .....	27
2.1.10	Conclusion.....	27
2.2	The quantitative evaluation on building .....	28
2.2.1	The quantitative evaluation stages:.....	28
2.2.2	Gathering and division of the present information about the structure.....	28
2.2.3	Following fact are studied to determine the structure configuration as brief summary...29	
2.2.4	Evaluation of the structural elements' properties.....	34
2.2.5	Conclusion .....	36
2.3	Comparison multiple lateral resisting Systems through the Assessment of Capacity Spectrum and Performance Point .....	39
2.3.1	Pushover Analysis.....	39
2.3.2	Introduction of Studied Models.....	40
2.3.3	Provision of Capacity Graph of Structures .....	43
2.3.4	Compare of system energy absorption.....	45
2.3.5	Determine the Performance Points of Models.....	43
2.3.6	Conclusion.....	45

## **CHAPTER 3**

### **3. STEEL SHEAR WALL**

3.1	Introduction.....	47
3.2	Previous research on steel plate shear walls .....	48
3.3.1	Introducing the frame.....	54
3.3.2	Introducing Elements and Materials` Details in ANSYS.....	55

3.3.3	Boundary Conditions and Loading .....	56
3.3.4	Non-linear Static Analysis Results of Frames .....	56
3.3.5	Deliberation of how to form stress and strain in the models.....	58

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **4. PROVISION OPTIMIZED STIFFENERS CONFIGURATION IN STEEL SHEAR WALLS**

4.1	Introduction.....	63
4.2	Models Introduction.....	63
4.3	Combination of Models.....	66
4.4	Result of Nonlinear Analysis (graphs of displacement-based shear force).....	67

## **CHAPTER 5**

### **5. STEEL SLIT SHEAR PANELS**

5.1	Introduction.....	73
5.2	Review in first research.....	75
5.3	Application history of steel slit shear wall.....	75
5.4	Introduce the features of slitted steel panel .....	77
5.5	Formulation for estimate of the stiffness and strength of slit steel panels.....	78
5.6.1	Study on stress Distribution in Slit Steel Shear Wall.....	80
5.6.2	Results of study on stress Distribution:.....	81
5.7.1	Strategy of slit configuration design.....	86
5.7.2	Results of strategy for slit configuration design.....	89

5.8.1	Study in seismic behaviour of slit steel panels.....	99
5.8.2	Steel slit panels studied with different connection details.....	100
5.8.3	Results steel slit panels studied with different connection details.....	103

## **CHAPTER 6**

### **6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

6.1	Introduction.....	106
6.2	Summary and Conclusion.....	106
6.3	Recommendation for future research.....	111

<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>111</b>
------------------------	------------

<b>APPENDIX.....</b>	<b>115</b>
----------------------	------------

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1 - Hasheminejad dormitory common specifications

Table 2.2 - General structure information

Table 2.3 - Structure technical specifications

Table 2.4 - Structure element details

Table 2.5 - Knowledge coefficient:

Table 2.6 - The introduced sondages to take information

Table 2.7 - Types of columns

Table 2.8 - The allowable capacity for load-carry of soil

Table 2.9 - properties of secondary beams

Table 2.10 - Properties of secondary beams

Table 2.11 - Properties of columns

Table 2.12 - The steel tension experiment results

Table 2.13 - The concrete stress resistance experiment results

Table 2.14 - Shear experiment results

Table 2.15 - Low boundary and Expected strength

Table 2.16 - Information's about the members DCR

Table 2.17 - Structure loading

Table 2.18 - sections of beams and columns

Table 2.19 - Performance Points of Models

Table 3.1 - Members Properties

Table 5.1 - Test Parameters

Table 5.2 - Estimate stiffness and strength TYPE A



Table 5.3 - Estimate stiffness and strength TYPE B

Table 5.4 - Test Specimens properties

Table 5.5 - estimate of strength and stiffness

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Fig. 2.1- Dormitory southern facade

Fig. 2.2- Dormitory northern facade

Fig. 2.3- Underground story plan

Fig. 2.4- Other stories plan

Fig. 2.5- ID of sondage FL1\_AX\_A2\_B2

Fig. 2.6- ID of sondage FL1\_AX\_A3\_B3

Fig. 2.7-ID of sondage FL1\_AX\_ B2\_C2

Fig. 2.8- ID of sondage FL1\_AX\_ B2\_C2

Fig. 2.9- ID of sondage FON\_AX\_B3

Fig. 2.10- sections of girders

Fig. 2.11- sections of columns

Fig. 2.12- studied frame in main plan

Fig. 2.13- evaluated models in X direction (2-BK)

Fig. 2.14-evaluated models in Y direction (E-25)

Fig. 2.15- Capacity Spectral Graphs of Type 1 and 2

Fig. 2.16- Capacity Spectral Graphs of Type 3 and 4

Fig. 2.17- Comparison of energy dissipation

Fig. 2.18-Detection of Performance Point of V Type 4

Fig. 2.19- Detection of Performance Point of X Type 3

Fig. 3.1- view of Thin Shear Wall

Fig. 3.2- Specimen (Roberts and Sabouri-Ghomi 1992) (a) Perforated Shear Panel  
and (b) Hinge

Fig. 3.3- Four-storey test specimen (Driver et al. 1997)

Fig. 3.4- Four-storey shake table test specimen (Rezai 1999)

Fig. 3.5- 3D view of case-study

Fig. 3.6- elevations E and 2-BK

Fig. 3.7- Force -displacement of control point

Fig. 3.8- Detection of stress in frame 2

Fig. 3.9- Deformations out of Plate of Second Frame

Fig. 3.10- Detection of stress in frame 1

Fig. 3.11- Detection concentration of stress in column

Fig. 4.1- Schematic View of Sample A, B, C respectively, from left to right

Fig. 4.2- Capacity Graph of Sample A

Fig. 4.3- Capacity Graph of Sample B

Fig. 4.4- Capacity Graph of Sample C

Fig. 4.5- Nominate of Strengthen Steel Plates with Stiffeners

Fig. 4.6- graphs of based shear force-displacement

Fig. 4.7- graphs of based shear force-displacement

Fig. 4.8 - graphs of based shear force-displacement

Fig. 4.9- comparison between various models of T60.6

Fig. 4.10- comparison between various models of T40.4

Fig. 5.1- Slitted Shear Wall Application

Fig. 5.2- retrofitted building plan and elevation and Slitted shear panels

Fig. 5.3- Components of Slitted Steel Panel

Fig. 5.4- Stress distributions in the conventional Shear Wall with 2% drift

Fig. 5.5- Stress distributions in the conventional Shear Wall with 4% drift

Fig. 5.6- Stress distribution in two rows of Slits Steel Shear Wall with 2% drifts

Fig. 5.7- Stress distribution in two rows of Slits Steel Shear Wall with 4% drifts

Fig. 5.8- Stress distribution in three rows of Slits Steel Shear Wall with 2% drifts

Fig. 5.9- Stress distribution in three rows of Slits Steel Shear Wall with 4% drifts

Fig. 5.10- Strain distribution in three rows of Slits Steel Shear Wall with 2% drifts

Fig. 5.11- Strain distribution in three rows of Slits Steel Shear Wall with 4% drifts

Fig. 5.12- Models of series A

Fig. 5.13- Models of series B

Fig. 5.14- displacement-based shear force graphs in series A

Fig. 5.15- displacement-based shear force graphs in series B

Fig. 5.16- Strength vs.  $\alpha$  in series A

Fig. 5.17- Stiffness vs.  $\alpha$  in series A

Fig. 5.18- Strength vs.  $\beta$  in series B

Fig. 5.19- Stiffness vs.  $\beta$  in series B

Fig. 5.20- Stress distribution of R1- $\alpha$ 5.4

Fig. 5.21- Stress distribution of R2- $\alpha$ 2.7

Fig. 5.22- Stress distribution of R3- $\alpha$ 1.8

Fig. 5.23- Stress distribution of R4- $\alpha$ 1.35

Fig. 5.24- Stress distribution of R5- $\alpha$ 1.1

Fig. 5.25- Stress distribution of  $\beta$ =40%

Fig. 5.26- Stress distribution of  $\beta$ =50%

Fig. 5.27- Stress distribution of  $\beta$ =60%

Fig. 5.28- Stress distribution of  $\beta$ =70%

Fig. 5.29- Stress distribution of  $\beta$ =80%

Fig. 5.30- TYPE A( Fully welded connection)

Fig. 5.31- TYPE B(Partially welded connection)

Fig. 5.32- TYPE C( Fully welded connection)

Fig. 5.33- displacement-based shear force

Fig. 5.34- stresss distribution in model B

Fig. 5.35- stresss distribution in model A

## **LIST OF SYMBOLS**

B = width of shear wall panel;

b = width of flexural link;

E = Young's modulus of steel;

G = shear modulus of steel;

h = height of wall;

$K_{we}$  = measured initial stiffness;

$K_{wo}$  = shear stiffness of panel without Slits;

$K_{wt}$ =estimated initial stiffness;

$k$  =shape factor for rectangular section =1.2

$k(\alpha,\beta)$  = multiplier reflecting flexural link's imperfect rigidity on its ends;

$L$  = the frame bay width

$t_p$  = the infill plate thickness

$l$  = length of flexural link;

$m$  = number of flexural link layers;

$n$  = number of flexural link in one layer;

$Q_{wo}$  = shear yield strength of steel panel without Slits;

$Q_{wt}$ = full plastic strength of flexural link neglecting effect of shear stress

$F_{yp}$  =is the yield strength of the infill plate

$A_b$  = cross-sectional areas of the storey beam

$A_c$  = cross-sectional areas of the column

$I_c$  = The moment of inertia of the column

$I_b$  = The moment of inertia of the beam

$V_{yp}$  = Probable storey shear strength:

$\alpha$ =shear span aspect ratio

$\beta$  =ratio of total link length in the vertical direction to the wall height

**CHAPTER 1**  
**INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Background

Seismic retrofitting is the modification of existing structures to make them more resistant against seismic activity, ground motion, or soil failure due to earthquakes. With better understanding of seismic demand on structures and with our recent experiences with large earthquakes near urban centres, the need of seismic retrofitting is well acknowledged. Prior to the introduction of modern seismic codes in the late 1960s for developed countries (US, Japan etc.) and late 1970s for many other parts of the world (Turkey, Iran etc.), many structures were designed without adequate detail and reinforcement for seismic protection.

In recent decades, most of the structural engineers with the introduction of systems with high energy dissipation, concentrate on retrofitting structures and suggest new approaches dealing with more ductility.

According to the seismicity and the increasing need of retrofit design based on performance levels, necessity of selecting systems which are seismic resistant, relied on documented scientific researches rather than more engineering judgments, is felt more than ever. Modern design codes are increasingly necessary to have ductility tendency related to the Lateral Force Resisting System (LFRS). We know that perhaps over 90% of the buildings in our country are required to retrofit because they are designed based on old codes and almost all of them have lack of satisfying LFRS. As it is clearly known, there is no exact answer to choose LFRS for special case study. But with the improvement of our knowledge about seismic behaviour of LFRS can assist our engineering judgments. Numerous research programs have confirmed the introduction of LFRS with strategy of avoiding brittle fractures of the frame. To reach this idea, it is needed to use nonlinear analysis methods such as rigid-plastic hinges, to approximate the post-yield behaviour of structural elements.

To sum up, this thesis is a brief review in some LFRS choices for retrofitting of steel structure.

## **1.2 Objective and Scope**

The initial motivation and scope of this thesis is based on study and evaluation of some different LFRS for using in retrofitting project and it specially concentrates on steel shear wall as one of the best selections with high energy dissipation in the structural system.

To comply with this serious need, this research proposes an alternative method for seismic which Strengthened with LFRS especially for steel structural system. This thesis first demonstrates an application of conventional LFRS such as X-brace or Chevron, by introducing special case-study and presentation of quantitative and qualitative study, but it has a special focus only on improving seismic parameters of construction for lateral force.

Another choice that is evaluated in this thesis is the steel shear wall to resist lateral earthquake forces in both new construction and seismic upgrade of existing structures.

This study never aimed at going into great details of retrofitting; it only draws the analogy among some LFRS as some options for retrofitting.

Finally, to bring the thesis to a conclusion, it should be noted that the main idea of the thesis is proposing the new generation of steel shear walls that are called *steel Slit shear walls* (Toko Hitaka and Chiaki Matsui) as development of a passive damper device, consisting of a steel plate shear wall with vertical Slits.

## **1.3 Chapter Overview**

This section provides an overview of remaining content of the thesis.



Chapter 2 provides quantitative and qualitative study for special case study and presents push over analysis for different X-brace system and chevron system in two direction of x and y actually this chapter is an excuse to remember quantitative and qualitative study stages. On the other hand, chapter 2 only decides to shape thinking about different LFRS and is not entered in details of retrofitting stages or achieving the exact solution for case-study. Fundamentally, this chapter is a kind of introduction for the other chapters.

Chapter 3, at first, presents a brief chronological summary of previous research on conventional steel plate shear wall, and then uses this LFRS for case-study of chapter 2 and finally discusses some problems that are involved in this system and recommends two methods for the solution of these problems: 1. Design of optimal Configuration for stiffener 2. The use of Slit steel panels.

17 steel panel specimens with the same geometry and different Stiffeners Configuration are compared with one another by the push over analysis in chapter 4 providing so, optimal design as a solution for problem that proposed in the previous section.

Chapter 5 introduces Steel Slit shear panels and presents philosophy of this system. After that, it discusses procedures of stress Distribution in Slit panels and then presents a formulation for the estimation of the stiffness and strength of Slit steel panels.

The next section investigates the effect of varying term  $k$  ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) on seismic capacity of the wall. Observations make significant recommendation on the range of geometric notations ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) for design of slitted panels.

In the final part of this chapter, the effect of connection details between Slit plate and adjacent column was evaluated. To achieve this purpose, 3 three story frames in 1/3 scale were modeled in ABAQUES and the results were compared.

Chapter 6 supplies a summary of the research conducted and presents conclusions and suggestions for future studies.

**CHAPTER 2**  
**CASE-STUDY**

## **2.1 Introduction**

May be over 90% of buildings in our country are required to retrofit since they are designed by old codes. They also have less than 18 meter height. In fact, most structures which engineers are dealing with them for retrofitting are limited to short structures. This object demonstrates the necessity of important focus on this type of structures. On the other hand, one of the most common ways to brace steel structures is, using X-brace system bracing and also chevron system. It is clear that these options with an acceptable stiffness can be considered a proper complementary for flexural frames which have high ductility. However, the question which is discussed for structures with specific geometry conditions is that which of the special bracing systems has better performance levels as well as has the higher seismic capacity graph(pushover graph). This chapter first demonstrates an application of conventional Lateral Forces Resisting System (LFRS) such as X-brace or Chevron, with introducing a special case-study and presenting quantitative and qualitative study but it has a special focus only on improving seismic parameters of construction for lateral force. The case study related to a dormitory in Mashhad, centre of khorasan province. This case study is introduced because it has no lateral resisting force system. And the objective of this thesis is to present some procedures for retrofitting of buildings to deal with lateral forces.

### **2.1.1 What is the qualitative evaluation of buildings?**

The qualitative evaluation is the first stage of the seismic strengthening. In this stage, the general recognition is obtained based on structure seismic behaviour, and primary information is collected based on structure site and its specifications. Considering that they have seismic region conditions and based on previous earthquakes experiences, and regarding to the fact that qualitative methods have seismic region conditions, particular forms of gathering information is used.

If gathering information of qualitative studies cannot be done correctly based on qualitative evaluation, in some cases demand or lack of demand of retrofitting in the same stage can be recognized ; and it can be avoided to do quantitative evaluation stage, which it is much time-consuming and high- cost whether it is to calculating information or not. Generally, the qualitative evaluation is a introduction to the quantitative evaluation; and when it is critical to the structure seismic strength situation in the qualitative evaluation, it can be made a correct decision about changing application or destructing it in the same qualitative evaluation stage, and it can be avoided to start the quantitative evaluation stage and finally to investigate the structure strength-making approaches.

### **2.1.2 The stages of the qualitative evaluation:**

In this section, we are not going into details because the main goal of this study is focusing on LFRS.

### **2.1.3 Gathering primary information:**

#### **Location:**

The structure topology is in Razavi khorasan province, Mashhad

#### **Structure specifications:**

There is no design document of the structure by considering the year of built (1350). This structure has four roofs, which include the steel frame with arching roof, also it should be noted additionally that there is not any column in two end sections of the buildings and resistance of the lateral forces are supported by proper walls that not exist in underground story. Fig.2.1 to 2.4 show facades and plans of dormitory. And tables2.1 to 2.4 has some general informative data about building.