In the Name of God, The All-Merciful, the All-Compassionate

Ministry of Science, Research and Technology



Allameh Mohaddes Nouri University

(Non-Governmental, Non-Profit)

Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences English Department

A Vygotskian Perspective on Teachers' Zone of Proximal Development (TZPD) in an EFL Context

Supervisor:

Dr. Karim Shabani

Advisor:

Dr. Amir Marzban

Student:

Seyyedeh Modaser Sadati

THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS IN TEACHING ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE

ALLAMEH MOHADDES NOURI UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT

NOUR, IRAN *FEBRUARY*, 2015

WE HEREBY RECOMMEND THAT THIS THESIS

BY:

Seyyedeh Modaser Sadati

ENTITLED:

A Vygotskian Perspective on Teachers' Zone of Proximal Development (TZPD) in an EFL Context

BE ACCEPTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS IN TEFL

COMMITTEE ON ORAL EXAMINATION

Dr. Karim Shabani

Dr. Amir Marzban

Dr. Reza Mobashernia

Head of the English Department

Dr. Karim Shabani

DEDICATION

To My Family

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, all praise is due to Allah.

I would like to pay my great debt of gratitude to Dr. Karim Shabani, my supervisor, for his approval to be my supervisor and for his endless support along this academic journey. I express my deep appreciation to Dr. Amir Marzban, my advisor. I'm also grateful to Dr. Asadi. I would like to thank the staff members of English department of Allameh Mohaddes Nouri University. My sincere appreciation goes to the participants of the study.

My special thanks to Prof. Ruth R. Chung Wei who provided this research with the original PACT survey. She also gave the permission to use the survey freely. I am also grateful to Prof. Jaan Valsiner for his heartwarming comments, and René Van der Veer for his critical way of thinking, which had a great impact on the study.

ABSTRACT

Vygotsky's zone of proximal development (ZPD) is a key concept used in different fields of study nowadays. This thesis employed Vygotsky's ZPD, and his sociocultural theory (SCT) as its theoretical framework, and aimed to explore the teachers' professional development from a neo-Vygotskian perspective, meaning to uncover the overlapping zones of development namely ZPD, ZPA (zone of promoted action), ZFM (zone of free movement) and IZ (illusionary zone) in language teachers (Blanton, Westbrook, and Carter, 2005; Valsiner, 1987; Vygotsky, 1978) in Iranian context. To this aim, a piloted questionnaire-based survey was adapted. The questionnaire was administered online through the Google Forms. Among the 2000 randomly-selected EFL teachers, 121 teachers from all over Iran, teaching in different educational settings, having different educational degrees (ranging from BA to PhD), with different years of experience, participated in this research. The gathered data were analyzed and the results revealed internet and technology, TESOL discourse, teacher education, collaboration, journal or diary writing, action research, access to resources, curriculum and assessment requirements, salary and income, cultural context and sociopolitical context as contributing factors to teachers' professional development. It is concluded that an ideal place for teaching and development is where the identified boundaries are limited or removed and the identified sources of assistance are promoted; in such a situation teachers' potential can be actualized. The findings of this study bear useful theoretical and pedagogical implications for teacher education in general and for TEFL area in Iran in particular.

Key Words:

Professional Development, Zone of proximal development (ZPD), Zone of promoted action (ZPA), Zone of free movement (ZFM), Illusionary zone (IZ).

Table of Contents

| Title | Page |
|---|------|
| Acknowledgments | V |
| Abstract | vi |
| List of Figures | ix |
| List of Tables | xi |
| List of Abbreviations and Transcription Conventions | |
| Introduction | 0 |
| 0.1. Introduction | |
| 0.2. Purpose of the Study | |
| 0.3. Significance of the Study | |
| 0.4. Statement of the Problem | |
| 0.5. Research Questions and Hypotheses | |
| 0.6. Definition of Key Terms | |
| 0.7. Limitations and Delimitations of the Study | |
| 0.8. Summary | |
| 0.9. Organization of the Thesis | |
| Chapter 1: Review of the Related Literature | 11 |
| 1.1. Introduction | |
| 1.2. Theories of Professional Development | |
| 1.2.1. Theories and Models of Expertise | |
| 1.2.1.1. Information Processing (IP) model | |
| 1.2.1.2. Dreyfus and Dreyfus' model | |
| 1.2.1.3. A Theory from Cognitive Psychology | |
| 1.2.2. Vygotsky's Genetic Domains of Development | |
| 1.3. Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory | |
| 1.3.1. Constructivism | |
| 1.3.2. Situated Learning | |
| 1.3.2.1. Scaffolding | |
| 1.3.2.2. Modeling | |
| 1.3.2.3. Collaborating | |
| 1.3.3. Community of Discourse and Community of Practice | |
| 1.4. Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development | |
| 1.4.1. ZPD in Children | |
| 1.4.2. Subjective ZPD vs. Objective ZPD | |
| 1.5. Neo-Vygotskian approaches | |
| 1.5.1. Valsiner's Zone theory | |
| 1.5.1.1. Zone of Free Movement (ZFM) | |
| 1.5.1.2. Zone of Promoted Action (ZPA) | |
| 1.5.2. Blanton, et al.s' Illusionary Zone | |
| 1.5.2.1. Illusinary Zone (IZ) | |
| 1.6. Resources for Teachers' Professional Development | |
| 1.6.1. Technology | |
| 1.6.2. Journal and Diary Writing | |
| 1.6.3. Teacher Education | |
| 1.7. Summary | |
| · · J | |

| Chapter 2: Methodology | 29 |
|---|-----|
| 2.1. Introduction | |
| 2.2. Research Design | |
| 2.3. Participants and Sampling | |
| 2.4. Instrumentations. | |
| 2.4.1. Questionnaire and Piloting | |
| 2.4.2. Reliability | |
| 2.4.2. Validity | |
| 2.5. Procedure | 40 |
| 2.6. Data Collection | 40 |
| 2.7. Data Analysis | 41 |
| 2.8. Summary | 42 |
| | |
| Chapter 3: Results | |
| 3.1. Introduction | |
| 3.2. Factor Analysis | |
| 3.3. Teachers' Zone of Proximal Development | |
| 3.4. Teachers' Zone of Promoted Action | |
| 3.5. Teachers' Zone of Free Movement | |
| 3.6. Teachers' Illusionary Zone | |
| 3.7. Teachers' Professional Development and their Gender | |
| 3.8. Teachers' Professional Development and their Age Range | |
| 3.9. Teachers' Professional Development and their Educational Degree | |
| 3.10. Teachers' Professional Development and their Years of Teaching Experience | |
| 3.11. Teachers' Professional Development and their Educational Setting | |
| 3.12. Teachers' Professional Development and the Provinces where they teach | 93 |
| 3.13. The Results of Open-ended Questions | 94 |
| 3.13.1. Teachers' ZPD | |
| 3.13.2. Teachers' ZPA | |
| 3.13.3. Teachers' ZFM | |
| 3.13.4. Teachers' Ideal Situation | |
| 3.14. Summary | 98 |
| Chapter 4: Discussion, Conclusion, and Implications | 99 |
| 4.1. Introduction | |
| 4.2. Summary of the main findings | |
| 4.3. Discussion | |
| 4.4. Conclusion | |
| 4.5. Implications | |
| 4.5.1. Theoretical Implications | |
| 4.5.2. Pedagogical Implications | |
| 4.6 Directions for Future Research | |
| | |
| APPENDICES | |
| Appendix A | |
| Appendix B | |
| Appendix C | 138 |
| REFERENCES | 157 |

List of Figures

| Figure | 2.1. Percentage distribution of participants by gender | 32 |
|--------|--|----|
| Figure | 2.2. Percentage distribution of participants by their age range | 32 |
| | 2.3. Percentage distribution of participants by their educational degree | |
| Figure | 2.4. Percentage distribution of participants by their years of teaching experience | 34 |
| Figure | 2.5. Percentage distribution of participants by their educational setting | 34 |
| Figure | 2.6. Percentage distribution of participants by the provinces | 36 |
| Figure | 3.1. Scree plot for factor analysis (n = 24) | 46 |
| Figure | 3.2. Frequencies of responses to the statement about planning instructions and designicurriculum ability | _ |
| Figure | 3.3. Frequencies of responses to the statement about the ability to use assessment guide learning and teaching | to |
| Figure | 3.4. Frequencies of responses to the statement about the ability to support all studer and develop appropriate teaching practice | |
| Figure | 3.5. Frequencies of teachers' responses to the statement about the ability to monitor a to provide feedback | nd |
| Figure | 3.6. Frequencies of responses to the statement about the ability to create producti learning environment | |
| Figure | 3.7. Frequencies of responses to the statement about reflective teaching ability | 57 |
| Figure | 3.8. Frequencies of responses to the statement about internet and technology as a sour of assistance. | |
| Figure | 3.9. Frequencies of responses to the statement about TESOL discourse as a source assistance | of |
| Figure | 3.10. Frequencies of responses to the statement about teacher education as a source assistance | |
| Figure | 3.11. Frequencies of responses to the statement about collaboration as a source assistance. | of |
| Figure | 3.12. Frequencies of responses to the statement about journal or diary writing as a sour of assistance | |
| • | 3.13. Frequencies of responses to the statement about action research as a source assistance | of |
| Figure | 3.14. Frequencies of responses to the statement about lack of access to resources as source of constraint | |
| Figure | 3.15. Frequencies of responses to the statement about curriculum requirements as source of constraint | |
| Figure | 3.16. Frequencies of responses to the statement about salary and income as a source constraint | |
| Figure | 3.17. Frequencies of responses to the statement about sociopolitical context as a sour of constraint | |
| Figure | 3.18. Frequencies of responses to the statement about students and cultural context sources of constraint | |
| Figure | 3.19. Frequencies of responses to the statement about supervising teacher as a source constraint | of |
| Figure | 3.20. Frequencies of responses to the statement about supporting diverse learners | 80 |

| Figure | 3.21. Frequencies of responses to the statement about developing curriculum and |
|--------|--|
| | organizing subject matter for students' learning82 |
| Figure | 3.22. Frequencies of responses to the statement about constructing a positive social |
| | environment in classroom83 |
| Figure | 3.23. Frequencies of responses to the statement about creating and maintaining an |
| | effective learning environment84 |
| Figure | 3.24. Frequencies of responses to the statement about engaging all students in learning 85 |
| Figure | 3.25. Frequencies of responses to the statement about making a significant educational |
| | difference in the lives of the students86 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1. Distribution of participants by gender31 | |
|--|--|
| Table 2.2. Distribution of participants by their age range32 | |
| Table 2.3. Distribution of participants by their educational degree | |
| Table 2.4. Distribution of participants by their years of teaching experience33 | |
| Table 2.5. Distribution of participants by their educational setting34 | |
| Table 2.6. Distribution of participants by the provinces | |
| Table 2.7. Internal consistency reliability component correlation matrix through Varimax | |
| rotation (four factors extracted) | |
| Table 3.1. Component correlation matrix through Varimax rotation46 | |
| Table 3.2. Distribution of high loading items through PCA extraction method47 | |
| Table 3.3. Factors contributing to teachers' professional development | |
| Table 3.4. Descriptive statistics of responses to the items related to teachers' ZPD49 | |
| Table 3.5. Teachers' responses to the statement about planning instruction and designing curriculum ability | |
| Table 3.6. Teachers' responses to the statement about the ability to use assessment to guide learning and teaching | |
| Table 3.7. Teachers' responses to the statement about the ability to support all students and | |
| develop appropriate teaching practice53 | |
| Table 3.8. Teachers' responses to the statement about the ability to monitor and to provide | |
| feedback54 | |
| Table 3.9. Teachers' responses to the statement about the ability to create productive learning environment | |
| Table 3.10. Teachers' responses to the statement about reflective teaching ability56 | |
| Table 3.11. Summary of responses (frequencies and percentages) to statements about teachers' ZPD | |
| Table 3.12. Descriptive statistics of responses to the items related to teachers' ZPA59 | |
| Table 3.13. Teachers' responses to the statement about internet and technology as a source of | |
| assistance60 | |
| Table 3.14. Teachers' responses to the statement about TESOL discourse as a source of | |
| assistance | |
| Table 3.15. Teachers' responses to the statement about teacher education as a source of | |
| assistance63 | |
| Table 3.16. Teachers' responses to the statement about collaboration as a source of assistance 64 | |
| Table 3.17. Teachers' responses to the statement about journal or diary writing as a source of | |
| assistance65 | |
| Table 3.18. Teachers' responses to the statement about action research as a source of assistance6 | |
| Table 3.19. Summary of responses (frequencies and percentages) to statements about teachers' | |
| ZPA68 | |
| Table 3.20. Descriptive statistics of responses to the items related to teachers' ZFM69 | |
| Table 3.21. Teachers' responses to the statement about lack of access to resources as a source of | |
| constraint70 | |
| Table 3.22. Teachers' responses to the statement about curriculum requirements as a source of | |
| constraint | |

| Table 3.23. Teachers' responses to the statement about salary and income as a source of constraint |
|---|
| Table 3.24. Teachers' responses to the statement about sociopolitical context as a source of constraint |
| Table 3.25. Teachers' responses to the statement about students and cultural context as sources of constraint |
| Table 3.26. Teachers' responses to the statement about supervising teacher as a source of constraint |
| Table 3.27. Summary of responses (frequencies and percentages) to statements about teachers' ZFM78 |
| Table 3.28. Descriptive statistics of responses to the items related to teachers' IZ79 |
| Table 3.29. Teachers' responses to the statement about supporting diverse learners80 |
| Table 3.30. Teachers' responses to the statement about developing curriculum and organizing subject matter for students' learning |
| Table 3.31. Teachers' responses to the statement about constructing a positive social environment in classroom |
| Table 3.32. Teachers' responses to the statement about creating and maintaining an effective learning environment |
| Table 3.33. Teachers' responses to the statement about engaging all students in learning85 |
| Table 3.34. Teachers' responses to the statement about making a significant educational |
| difference in the lives of the students86 |
| Table 3.35. Summary of responses (frequencies and percentages) to statements about teachers' IZ |
| Table 3.36. Chi-square tests of teachers' professional development and gender88 |
| Table 3.37. Chi-square tests of teachers' professional development and age range89 |
| Table 3.38. Chi-square tests of teachers' professional development and educational degree90 |
| Table 3.39. Chi-square tests of teachers' professional development and years of teaching experience |
| Table 3.40. Chi-square tests of teachers' professional development and educational setting92 |
| Table 3.41. Chi-square tests of teachers' professional development and province93 |
| Table 3.42. The result of content analysis on the open-ended question about teachers' ZPA95 |
| Table 3.43. The result of content analysis on the open-ended question about teachers' ZFM96 |
| Table 3.44. The result of content analysis on the open-ended question about Teachers' Ideal |
| Situations97 |

List of Abbreviations and Transcription Conventions

Abbreviation/Symbol Meaning **EFL** English as a Foreign Language H0Null Hypothesis IP **Information Processing** IZIllusionary Zone **KMO** Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Performance Assessment for California Teachers **PACT PCA** Principal Component Analysis SCT Sociocultural Theory SD Standard Deviation **SPSS** Statistical Package for the Social Sciences **TEFL** Teaching English as a Foreign Language **TESOL** Teaching English as a Second or Other Language **TPA Teacher Performance Assessment ZAD** Zone of Actual Development Zona Blizaishego Razvitia **ZBR** Zone of Free Movement **ZFM ZPA** Zone of Promoted Action **ZPD** Zone of Proximal Development

Introduction

0.1. Introduction

The great Vygotsky (1986) once said: "We 'instruct' ourselves as others 'instruct' us through the use of 'words' as 'tools'". One of the most popular concepts advanced by Vygotsky was the notion of zone of proximal development (ZPD) in every learner. He didn't live long enough to widen its horizons, but this was done through the works of many keen researchers in different fields of studies. Researchers approached ZPD from many different angels, by altering their focus of attention to different elements of its definition. The zone of proximal development (ZPD) has been defined as "the distance between the actual developmental level as determined by independent problem solving and the level of potential development as determined through problem solving under *adult guidance*, or in collaboration with more *capable peers*" (Vygotsky, 1978, p. 86, emphases added). ZPD is believed to be a non-measurable intangible psychological concept, although many researchers have attempted to define borders for it in order to capture it in a statistical cage. ZPD is the English translation of the original Russian concept, Zona Blizaishego Razvitia (ZBR) proposed by Vygotsky (Valsiner, 2014). Another translation of the Russian term can be the zone of closest/nearest development.

Valsiner (1987) has broadened the interpretation of the concept of the ZPD to propose the existence of two additional zones, zone of free movement (ZFM) and zone of promoted action (ZPA). The ZPA is what adults (experts) are promoting, with no obligation for the child (learner) to receive what is being promoted. Further development of the zone when interpreting the ZPD of practitioner, Valsiner (1987, p. 193) found the presence of what he called "illusionary construction" (Galligan, 2008). Blanton et al. (2005) by the use of Valsiner's zone theory as a way to interpret the professional development of teachers in mathematics and science concluded that "understanding the zone of promoted action and the

zone of free movement the teacher organizes in the classroom can indicate the existence or absence of an illusionary zone (IZ) and thereby provide insight into a teacher's potential for development" (P. 5). These illuminating zone theories shed light on the concept of ZPD. Since the appearance of these concepts many researchers used them in order to identify the ZPD. The researches are varied in scope and variables; whether they are investigating the ZPD of children, learners, or teachers. All of them had the treating-the-participants-as-learners in common. But this research is going to be different; it tends to investigate the ZPD, or the potential development of teachers-as-learners as they teach, using Valsiner's and Blanton et al.'s zone theories along the way.

0.2. Purpose of the Study

The study tackles over the issue of learning and development in teachers-as-teachers not the student-teachers. Some researchers said that ZPD does not stand alone in the process of professional development; that there are other zones, other variables affecting learning. The present study should consider the topic from a sociocultural or a sociopolitical perspective. So we should look at this issue from different angels, by considering the other factors and variables such as the teachers' ZFM, ZPA, and IZ. This is what this research is going to investigate as its purpose.

The purpose of the study is to investigate the teachers' zone of proximal development with respect to the other zones as variables, and therefore to provide insights into teachers' professional development. The entire research proposal is going to be conducted and investigated in the EFL area, in Iran.

0.3. Significance of the Study

The concept of zone of proximal development (ZPD) was originally restricted to the children's mental development. Limited attention, if any, has been given to the ZPD of the teachers (TZPD), and almost all the researches that have ever been conducted about teachers' development were focused on novice teachers.

The published papers or articles, which directly investigate the concept, are rare. And most of these published ones are among other disciplines such as mathematics and science areas. The number of researches that have ever been done in the Iranian EFL area, concentrating exclusively on the TZPD would not surpass three.

The ZPD does not stand alone, and can only be conveyed by knowing the teachers' ZPA, ZFM, and even their IZ. So investigating TZPD is in close relation with investigating Valsiner's and Blanton et al.'s zone theories.

This research study is unique in the sense that it tends to investigate the ZPD of not just novice teachers but the experienced (not essentially expert) teachers as well. And it took the view of teachers-as-learners during their teaching not in their courses in teacher education where they are just learners.

The proposed study has many significant implications. It may benefit and help the future researcher as their guide. Lecturers, teachers, and principals, can consider these zone theories (e.g. ZPD, ZFM, ZPA, and IZ) before commencing a course and they also can plan for the improvements. It will help teachers to have a deeper understanding of their capabilities. By this study they will come up with easier and powerful program. It will also suggest that policy makers and executives to utilize the results in pedagogy and also in curriculum.

0.4. Statement of the Problem

Learning and professional development have always been the main concern in the area of EFL. Fortunately zone of proximal development (ZPD) came along to answer lots of questions about learning. Researchers approached ZPD with different perspectives, by focusing on different elements of its definition. The concept was originally restricted to the children's mental development. Today, researchers investigate the ZPD of not just children, but learners' ZPD and even teachers' ZPD is gaining attention too. Most of them are conducted in the area of teacher education where the teachers are not different from learners.

This research with a different view raises the questions about how learning occurs in teachers; how professional development occurs in teachers.

The process of learning is always important in all the areas of education. There is this view that teachers learn what they need as a teacher in their teacher education courses and after that they are fully prepared to teach for the rest of their lives. The world doesn't stand the same for eternity. The students of different generation are different. The students change, both literally and metaphorically. The world around teacher (society, politics, science, technology, and philosophy) is changing. Despite this fact, it is believed that the guidelines and manuals that were given to the teacher's on their graduation will serve them helpful till their retirement.

Teachers change, even after their graduation. They move along with the changing of the areas surrounding them. They also learn, not just learning the knowledge explicitly; they learn to teach; they learn to change; ultimately they learn to develop expertise. Not just students learn, but teachers also learn. So teachers also have ZPDs. What has been done till now is to shift the focus on learners' ZPD to teacher's ZPD. This has been done in the area of teacher education, where teachers are being seen as learners.

Teaching and learning does not take place in a vacuum. There are a lot of factors that influence learning. There are other zones involved in teachers' learning or changing. They should be taken into account when investigating their ZPD. These zones which influence ZPD are rooted in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory.

0.5. Research Questions and Hypotheses

According to the purpose of this thesis, which is investigating the teachers' potential for development, that is their ZPD, in relation with their ZFM, ZPA, and IZ, the following research questions are raised:

Research Questions:

- 1) What are the variables affecting teachers' ZPD?
- 2) What are the variables affecting teachers' ZPA?
- 3) What are the variables affecting teachers' ZFM?
- 4) What are the variables affecting teachers' IZ?
- 5) Is there any relationship between teacher's professional development and their gender?
- 6) Is there any relationship between teachers' professional development and their age range?
- 7) Is there any relationship between teachers' professional development and their educational degree?
- 8) Is there any relationship between teachers' professional development and their years of teaching experience?
- 9) Is there any relationship between teachers' professional development and their educational setting?

10) Is there any relationship between teachers' professional development and the province where they teach?

According to the research questions, the following null hypotheses are raised. It should be noted here that these hypotheses are raised with the intention of investigating the questions; they are going to be tested while there are no proof for them at the beginning.

Research Hypotheses:

- H01) There is no significant relationship between teachers' professional development and their gender.
- H02) There is no significant relationship between teachers' professional development and their age range.
- H03) There is no significant relationship between teachers' professional development and their educational degree.
- H04) There is no significant relationship between teachers' professional development and their years of teaching experience.
- H05) There is no significant relationship between teachers' professional development and their educational setting.
- H06) There is no significant relationship between teachers' professional development and the province where they teach.

0.6. Definition of Key Terms

The key terms that are used in this thesis are defined as follows:

0.6.1. ZPD (**Zone of Proximal Development**): The term has been defined as "the distance between the actual developmental level as determined by independent problem solving and