بسم الله الرحين الرحيم



Allameh Tabataba'i University Faculty of Persian Literature and Foreign Languages Department of English Language and Literature

Sociocultural Aspects and Pedagogical Implications of Iranian EFL Students' Biculturality and Bilinguality Within Cultural Awareness

A Dissertation Submitted to the Graduate Studies Office in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Ph.D. in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL)

Advisor: Dr. Mohammad Khatib

Readers:

Dr. Zia Tajeddin Dr. Fahimeh Marefat

By: Hossein Samadi Bahrami

Tehran, Iran 2012



Allameh Tabataba'i University Faculty of Persian Literature and Foreign Languages Department of English Language and Literature

We hereby recommend that this dissertation by:

Hossein Samadi Bahrami

Entitled:

Sociocultural Aspects and Pedagogical Implications of Iranian EFL Students' Biculturality and Bilinguality Within Cultural Awareness

Be Accepted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL).

Committee on Final Examination

	Advisor:	Dr. Mohammad Khatib	
	Reader:	Dr. Zia Tajeddin	
	Reader:	Dr. Fahimeh Marefat	
	Examiner:	Dr. Mansoor Fahim	
	Examiner:	Dr. Sasan Baleghizadeh	
	Head of the	Department: Dr. Mohammad Khatib	
Tehran, Iran			

2012

To My Teachers

Having reserved the thought That:

My Grandparents were my *Dreamland* Teachers, My Parents are my *Real-life* Teachers, My Students my *All-time* Teachers, My Friends my *Best-time* Teachers, &

My *Teacher* Teachers' badge of honour I'll behold loud and proud.

Abstract

This study, based on the *Investment* Hypothesis of language learning, attempted to investigate MA EFL Iranian students' personal identity development in the course of their L2 learning and its due effect on their achieved proficiency in English language. L2 learners' investment to reconstruct their identity in the course of their L2 learning was investigated in this work. Five personality features, recognized as Multicultural Personality Traits (MPTs), Cultural Empathy (CE), Open-mindedness (OM), Social Initiative (SI), Emotional Stability (ES), and Flexibility (FL) were measured in the community of Iranian EFL students by means of using Multicultural Personality Questionnaire (MPQ). Participants in this study were 104 BA, 80 MA, 31 Ph.D. EFL students, 72 Persian language and 30 English language native students.

MA EFL students' biculturality, measured in English-language-dominated context and Persian-language-dominated context, revealed that their total MPTs in these two contexts were not significantly different, but their manifested CE, OM, and FL in English and Persian contexts were found to be significantly different. Iranian MA EFL students' divergence from their L1 cultural norms investigated revealed that MPTs and OM of EFL and Persian language students were significantly different. Investigation on MA EFL students' convergence on their L2 cultural disclosed that there was a statistically significant difference at the $p \le 05$ level in MPTs, CE, and OM among EFL, Persian language and English language native students.

Iranian EFL students' degree of MPTs development in the course of their EFL studies from BA to MA and to Ph.D. was found to be significantly different in these three stages of their academic studies. The investigations on the relationship between MA EFL students' MPTs and their English language proficiency revealed that there were significant positive high correlations between TOEFL & MPTs [r = .62] in the high-proficiency EFL students, whereas there were no significant correlations between TOEFL and MPTs in the low-proficiency EFL students. These findings proved that the higher the EFL proficiency, the higher the MPTs, and consequently the shorter the distance between EFL and English language native students' MPTs. Finally the effect of teaching EFL with a cultural consciousness raising orientation on MPTs development revealed no significant difference from T_1 to T_2 in total MPTs of the participants, but their CE was found to be significantly different from T_1 to T_2 . An independent sample *t*-test conducted on post-tests data of CG and EG found no significant differences.

The findings of this study concluded that advancement in EFL students' academic career resulted in a concomitant development in their MPTs. It also supported the understanding that language learning and cultural acquisition develop abreast, a unified process that gradually reconstructs EFL students' identities in a style different from their monolingual counterparts.

Acknowledgements

First of all, I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude to **Dr. Khatib**, whose passionate and humanistic approach to TEFL during my studies deeply impressed me and constituted the very first seeds of this study; furthermore, it was for his kind and corrective guidelines, as the advisor of my thesis, that this Ph.D. work came into existence and was finally accomplished.

I also owe a great deal of debt to **Dr. Marefat** and **Dr. Tajeddin**, whose full support and significant guidelines throughout this study helped me direct my chaotic thoughts into an academic frame. I am really appreciative of their kindness.

I am extremely grateful to all my dear professors at Allameh University, especially **Dr. Fahim**, whose encouraging words, in the very first place, helped me to start my Ph.D. studies and whose ideas have always been a source of inspiration of innovative and humanitarian thoughts to me.

The respected professors at TEFL and Translation Departments who provided me with opportunities to conduct the experiments and questionnaires in their classes and meanwhile gave me very useful suggestions to enrich my work have carved sign on my soul as if on stones.

I would like to express my best regards to **Pro. Van Oudenhoven**, Professor at The University of Groningen in the Netherlands, who let me have a copy of his MPQ plus the permission to use it in this study and **Dr. Behjo** who took the responsibility of conducting MPQ at Indiana University in the USA among English language native students and sent me the collected data.

Finally, I am appreciative of the kindness of my friends and the students' who spent their precious time completing the questionnaires: *thanks, thanks, thanks.*

Last but not the least; I would like to present my best words to my extended family members who were always on my side helping me by their tolerance of the hard times I had created for them in these years.

IV

Table of Contents

Dedication	Ι
Abstract	II
Acknowledgements	IV
Table of Contents	V
List of Abbreviations	IX
List of Tables	Х
List of Figures	XIV
List of Appendices	XV
Chapter 1. Introduction	
1.1. Background	2
1.2. Statement of the Problem	6
1.3. Significance of the Study	11
1.4. Purpose of the Study	14
1.5. Research Questions	16
1.6. Null Hypotheses	18
1.7. Definition of the Key Terms	20
1.8. Limitations and Delimitations of the Study	24
Chapter 2. Review of the Related Literature	
2.1. Overview	27
2.2. Theoretical Base of Identity Construction in LL	28
2.3. Language and Perception	31
2.3.1. Linguistic Relativity	34
2.3.2. Linguistic Determinism	
2.3.3. Language Influence on Concept Formation	37
2.3.3.1. Language and Mind	37
2.3.4. Mind, Language, Thought, Culture and Identity	39
2.3.5. Linguists' Reading of Language and Identity	42
2.4. Culture	45
2.4.1. Sociolinguistics and Culture	47

2.4.2. Constituents of Cultural Knowledge	
2.4.3. Biculturality and Bilinguality	52
2.4.3.1. Acculturation	54
2.4.4. Merits of Biculturality	57
2.5. Identity	58
2.5.1. Socialization and Identity	61
2.5.1.1. Social Interactions and Identity	62
2.5.2. Poststructuralists and Identity	64
2.5.2.1. Rationalist/Constructivist and Identity	65
2.5.2.2. Investment Hypothesis in identity construction	65
2.5.2.3. Imagined Community and Identity	
construction	67
2.5.3. Investment, Identity Construction and LL	68
2.5.4. Investment in English as an Additional Language	73
2.5.5. Collective Social Identity	74
2.5.5.1. Group Membership and Identity	75
2.5.5.2. Language, Culture and National Identity	78
2.5.6. Identity and Roles	79
2.5.7. Personality and Linguistic Contexts	82
2.5.8. Convergence and Divergence	85
2.5.8.1. Accommodation	87
2.6. Personality Traits Measurements	88
2.7. Concluding and Leading Forward	89
Chapter 3. Methodology	93
3.1. Overview	94
3.2. Participants	94
3.2.1. English Native Speakers	95
3.2.2. Iranian MA EFL Students	95

3.2.3. Iranian MA Persian Language Students	96
3.2.4. Iranian BA EFL Students	97
3.2.5. Iranian Ph.D. Students	97
3.2.6. Participants of the Experimental Part	98
3.3. Instruments	99
3.3.1. MPQ in English	99
3.3.2. MPQ in Persian	101
3.3.3. TOEFL	106
3.4. Data Collection Procedure	107
3.4.1. Descriptive Phase	108
3.4.2. Experimental Phase	110
3.4.2.1. Treatment Applied in the EG	111
3.4.2.2. The Approach in the EG	112
3.4.2.3. Classroom Tasks and Activities	115
3.4.2.4. Assignments	117
3.5. Data Analysis	118
Chapter 4. Results and Discussions	123
4.1. Overview	124
4.2. Biculturality of Iranian MA EFL Students	124
4.3. Iranian MA EFL Students' Divergence	132
4.4. Iranian MA EFL Students' Convergence	137
4.5. MPTs Development in EFL Studies	144
4.6. MPTs and EL Proficiency	150
4.7. High-Proficiency EFL Students' Rate of Divergence	154
4.8. High-Proficiency EFL students' Rate of Convergence	161
4.9. MPTs Similarity between EFLS and EL Native Speakers	164
4.10. Cultural Consciousness Raising Orientation and MPTs	166
4.11. Results on Iranian EFL Students' Biculturality	170
4.12. Discussions on EFL Students' Biculturality	171

4.13. Discussions on EFL Students' Divergence	173
4.14. Discussions on EFL Students, Convergence	173
4.15. Discussions on EFL Students' MPTs Development	174
4.16. Discussions on EFL Students' MPTs and EFL Pro.	176
4.17. Discussions on HP and LP EFL Students' Divergence	177
4.18. Discussions on HP and LP EFL Students' Convergence	177
4.19. Discussions on EFL and Per, Language Students' Convergence	178
4.20. Discussions on Cultural Consciousness	179

Chapter 5. Conclusion, Pedagogical Implications, and Suggestions

for Further Research	180
5.1. Overview	181
5.2. Conclusion	181
5.3. MPTs Development	183
5.4. Theoretical and Pedagogical Implications	185
5.5. Suggestions for Further Research	194
References	198

List of Abbreviations

CE:	Cultural Empathy
L1C:	1 st Language Culture
L2C:	2 nd Language Culture
EADL:	English as an Additional Language
IEFLS:	Iranian EFL Students
ES:	Emotional Stability
Fl:	Flexibility
IMAEFLS:	Iranian MA EFL Students
MPQ:	Multicultural Personality Questionnaire
MPTs:	Multicultural Personality Traits
OM:	Open-Mindedness
SAD:	Sociocultural Acquisition Device
SI:	Social Initiative

List of Tables

• Table 2.1: Strategies taken in bidimensional model of acculturation	55
• Table 3.1: Descriptive Statistics of all participants	98
• Table 3.2 Items specification of the original and Persian-translated	
MPQs	103
Table 3.3: Descriptive Statistics of pilot administration of English	
and Persian versions of 91 and 80-item MPQs	104
• Table 3.4: Different measurements taken by all the participants	
in the Descriptive part	109
• Table 3.5: Presentation of MAEFL students' biculturality	
in their linguistic contexts	119
Table 3.6: Convergence and divergence performed by Iranian	
MA EFL students	120
Table 3.7: The rate of change in MPTs form BA to MA and to	
Ph.D. in EFL students.	120
• Table 3.8: MA EFL BI students' classification based on their	
TOEFL and MPT scores	121
• Table 3.9: Participants in experimental part of the study	121
• Table 4.1: Frequency table of bilingual Iranian MA EFL Students	
taking MPTE/P	124
• Table 4.2: Descriptive statistics of MPTs of MA EFL bilingual students in	
English and Persian contexts	125
• Table 4.3: Paired samples <i>t</i> -test of MA EFL Iranian	
students' MPTs in English and Persian language contexts	126
• Table 4.4: Descriptive statistics <i>Male</i> MA EFL bilingual Iranian students'	
MPTs in English and Persian	128
• Table 4.5: Paired Samples <i>t</i> -test of <i>Male</i> MA EFL Iranian	
students' MPTs in English and Persian language context	129

• Table 4.6: Descriptive statistics of <i>Female</i> MA EFL bilingual Iranian	
students' MPTs in English and Persian contexts	130
• Table 4.7: Paired Samples <i>t</i> -test comparisons of <i>Female</i> MA	
EFL Iranian students' MPTs in English and Persian language	
contexts	131
• Table 4.8: Descriptive statistics of MA EFL Bilingual Iranian Students'	
MPTs in Persian context	133
• Table 4.9: Descriptive statistics of monolingual Iranian MA Persian	
language Students' MPTs	133
• Table 4.10: Independent-samples <i>t</i> -test of MPTs of MA EFL students	
and MA Persian Language & Literature students	134
• Table 4.11: Descriptive statistics of MA EFL students and	
MA Persian Language & Literature students, sorted by gender	135
• Table 4.12: Independent-samples <i>t</i> -test of MPTs of Male EFL	
and Persian Language students	136
• Table 4.13: Independent-sample <i>t</i> -test of MPTs of <i>Female</i> MA EFL	
students and Female MA Persian Language & Literature students	136
• Table 4.14: Descriptive statistics of MPTs of Persian language,	
EFL and English native speakers	139
• Table 4.15: Test of Homogeneity of Variances	140
• Table 4.16: ANOVA of MPTs between and within groups	140
• Table 4.17: Multiple Comparisons of MPTs between Persian language,	
EFL and English Language Native Speakers	142
• Table 4.18: Descriptive statistics of PhD, MA and BA students	
MPTs	145
• Table 4.19: Test of Homogeneity of Variances of PhD, MA and	
BA students	146

• Table 4.20: ANOVA of MPTs for PhD, MA and BA EFL	
ranian students	147
• Table 4.21: Robust Tests of Equality of Means for PhD, MA and	
BA students	148
• Table 4.22: Multiple Comparisons Post Hoc Tests of MPTs of PhD,	
MA and BA EFL Iranian students	149
• Table 4.23: Descriptive statistics of means of MA EFL students'	
TOEFL and MPTs	150
• Table 4.24: Descriptive statistics of MA EFL students' TOEFL	
& MPTs scores classified as high and low groups based on their	
TOEFL scores	150
• Table 4.25: Correlation between all MA EFL students' TOEFL	
and MPT scores	151
• Table 4.26: Correlation between MPTs, its sub-ordinate traits and	
TOEFL of all MA EFL students	151
• Table 4.27: Correlation between MA EFL students' TOEFL and MPTs	
scores, classified as High and Low EFL proficiency groups	152
• Table 4.28: Correlation between MA EFL students' TOEFL and	
MPTs scores in the High-Proficiency group	153
• Table 4.29: Correlation between MA EFL students' TOEFL and	
MPTs' scores in the Low-Proficiency group	153
• Table 4.30: Descriptive statistics of MPTs between 30 High group EFL	
students and 70 Persian Language students	155
• Table 4.31: Independent Samples <i>t</i> -Test of MPTs between High EFL	
group and Persian Language students	155
• Table 4.32: Descriptive statistics of MPTs between High EFL, Low	
EFL and Persian language students	157

• Table 4.33: ANOVA of High EFL, Low EFL and Persian language	
students	158
• Table 4.34: Multiple Comparisons of Means of MPTs of High EFL,	
Low EFL and Persian language students	160
• Table 4.35: ANOVA of MPTs of English language Natives, High &	
Low proficiency EFL students	162
• Table 4.36: Tukey HSD Multiple Comparisons of MPTs of Natives,	
High and Low EFL	163
• Table 4.37: Independent Samples <i>t</i> -test of MPTs of English native	
speakers and Persian language students	165
• Table 4.38: Independent Sample <i>t</i> -test for English native speakers	
and Iranian MA EFL students	166
• Table 4.39: Descriptive statistics of the experimental group's MPTs	
in Post and Pre-tests	167
• Table 4.40: Paired samples <i>t</i> -test statistics MPTs between Post and	
Pre-tests of the experimental group	168
• Table 4.41: Descriptive statistics of Control Group's MPTs in Post and	
pretests	169
• Table 4.42: Descriptive statistics of Experimental and Control groups'	
MPTs and its subcategories in Post-test	169
• Table 4.43: Independent samples <i>t</i> -test statistics for Post-test MPTs	
between Experimental and Control Groups' MPTs	170

List of Figures

•Figure 2.1: Sign-Concept associations in L1 acquisition and	
L2 learning	33
•Figure 2.2: The chronological phylogenetic order of Human	
intellectual development	40
• Figure 2.3: The chronological ontogenetic order of human enfant	
development, the weak version of linguistic relativism/determinism	41
•Figure 2.4 The chronological ontogenetic order of human enfant	
development, the strong version of linguistic relativism	41
•Figure 2.5: constituents of a verbal interaction leading towards	
identity construction	51
•Figure 2.6: The cline of individuation (from Martin 2006: 24)	77
•Figure 2.7: The cline of individuation (from Knight 2010:38).	77
• Figure 2.8: Sierpinski Gasket of variety of roles played by a	
unified single identity	80
•Figure 4.1: Mean Plot of OP of PhD, MA and EFL	
Iranian students	161
•Figure 5.1:Mapping F/F in learning and socialization	189
•Figure 5.2:Mapping F/F in an integrated process	
in investment theory of L2 learning	191

List of Appendices

Appendix A: MPQ (91-item, original English version)	212
Appendix B: MPQ (91-item, Persian-translated version)	215
Appendix C: Answer key to the MPQ 91-item version	218
Appendix D: MPQ (80-item in English)	219
Appendix E: MPQ (80-item in Persia	221
Appendix F: Answer key to the MPQ, 80-item version	223
Appendix G: TOEFL	224
Appendix H: Answer key for the TOEFL	234
Appendix I: Reading Compression Texts used in EG	235

Chapter One Introduction

1.1. Background

There is no doubt that language and culture are intertwined with each other and neither one's supremacy can be established over the other one. It is almost impossible to understand all the subtleties of a foreign culture without mastering its language (Kramsch, 2005). On the other hand mastering a foreign language without a complete understanding of its culture is also next to impossible (Peterson & Coltrane, 2003). Kramsch (2005) in describing the integration between language and culture believes that language expresses, embodies, and "symbolizes cultural reality." That is why almost all language learning programs and materials either intentionally or inevitably include cultural aspects of language alongside its linguistic features. Agar (1994 cited in Risager 2006, p. 112) states that "culture is in language and language is loaded with culture." Chastain (1988, p. 298) states that "language and culture are inseparably bound" and Eglin (2000, p. 27) asserts that "language and culture are inseparable." Studies on L2 learning with an eye on its cultural aspects also support the understanding that language learning is concomitant with cultural acquisition and this process which results in identity construction is materialized through socializations or meaningfully contextualized interactions (Fogle 2007; Hinkel, 2006). Therefore, it can be concluded that a seamless bound between culture and language creates a unified body and it becomes very difficult to have cases of L2 learning without its culture (L2C) juxtaposing itself upon the learning process.

There have been attempts to coin linguistic terms to present the unanimity of language and culture. "Languaculture" is introduced by Agar (1994, cited in Risager, 2006) to capture the unanimity of culture and language and it is defined as the sum of what one possess, i.e. culture and what one is the "spokesman of", i.e. language. Therefore,